SEQUENTIAL AND COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF PAIN TREATMENT EFFECTIVENESS RESPONSE (THE SCEPTER TRIAL)

Matthew J. Bair, MD, MS

VA HSR&D Center for Health Information and Communication, Indiana University School of Medicine, and Regenstrief Institute, Indianapolis, IN



VA COOPERATIVE STUDIES PROGRAM PRIMER



COOPERATIVE STUDY

- Facilitates recruitment of patients sufficiently large to provide definitive answer to a research question
- Characteristics include:
 - investigators from 2 or more sites (e.g., VAMCs)
 - agreement to study a research question in a uniform manner
 - use a common protocol and central coordination

VA COOPERATIVE STUDIES PROGRAM

- National infrastructure for sponsoring, developing & executing:
 - Multi-site clinical trials
 - Epidemiological & population research
 - Genomic medicine research



- CSP provides central coordination & policies for conducting multi-site clinical research studies
- Biostatistical / epidemiological expertise
- Clinical expertise
- Safety and regulatory oversight
- Health economics expertise
- Pharmaceutical management
- Clinical research project & fiscal management

CSP CENTER LOCATIONS



CSP SCIENTIFIC REVIEW PROCESS



CSP STUDY PLANNING TEAM

- Principal Proponents
- Study Biostatistician
- Clinical Research Pharmacist
- Health Economist
- Subject matter experts
- Project managers
- Data managers
- CSP Coordinating Center Director

SCEPTER (Sequential and Comparative Evaluation of Pain Treatment Effectiveness Response)





Why study chronic low back pain?

Chronic low back pain is common

Enormous economic burden

Most common cause of disability

Low back pain is common

- ~ 20% of US population develops yearly
- 2nd most common symptom leading to MD visits
- ~ 80% of population will have LBP at some time in their lives; recurrence is common
- The prevalence of <u>chronic</u> low back pain is increasing:
 - <u>10.2%</u> (Increased from 3.9% in 1992) Freburger et al., JAMA Int. Med., 2009

Low back pain is common in Veterans

CLBP in ~ 50% of veterans with chronic pain

of Veterans w/ CLBP is growing

(Sinnott & Wagner, 2009)

Chronic low back pain is costly

- Costs > \$100 billion per year in the US
 - Evaluations and treatments
 - Compensation payments
 - Lost productivity

 Costs for spine pain treatment increasing more rapidly than overall healthcare costs (Martin et al. JAMA, 2008)

• One of most costly conditions in the VA (Yu et al., Med. Care Res. Rev., 2003)

Chronic low back pain is disabling

2010 Global Burden of Disease Study: CLBP is most common cause of disability in world

- In the US
 - Disability rates related to spine pain are increasing

Optimal Approach for Treating Chronic Low Back Pain?

Options – Many!

- Medications Injections Physical
- - **Psychological Surgery Complementary**

Evidence for individual approaches

- Many publications, but often of limited quality
- Limited time frames
- "Efficacy" rather than "Effectiveness"

Optimal treatment sequence is undefined

Chronic Low Back Pain Treatment Guideline

- Chou et al. Ann Intern Med.
 2007;147(7):478-491
- Authors suggest self-care, NSAIDS, acetaminophen first
- No order for other therapies suggested

	Low Back Pain Duration	Acute < 4 Weeks	Subacute or Chronic > 4 Weeks
	Advice to remain active	•	•
Self.	Books, handout	•	•
	Application of superficial heat	•	
	Acetaminophen	•	•
*	NSAIDs	•	•
9 4	Skeletal muscle relaxants	•	
her	Antidepressants (TCA)		•
H L	Benzodiazepines	•	•
	Tramadol, opioids	•	•
	Spinal manipulation	•	•
8	Exercise therapy		•
200	Massage		•
erap	Acupuncture		•
4th	Yoga		•
No.	Cognitive-behavioral therapy		•
	Progressive relaxation		•
	Intensive interdisciplinary rehabilitation		•

Recent guideline and recommended treatments

	Acute low back pain (<6 weeks)	Persistent low back pain (>12 weeks)
Education and self-care		
Advice to remain active	First-line treatment, consider for routine use	First-line treatment, consider for routine use
Education	First-line treatment, consider for routine use	First-line treatment, consider for routine use
Superficial heat	Second-line or adjunctive treatment option	Insufficient evidence
Non-pharmacological therapy		
Exercise therapy	Limited use in selected patients	First-line treatment, consider for routine use
Cognitive behavioural therapy	Limited use in selected patients	First-line treatment, consider for routine use
Spinal manipulation	Second-line or adjunctive treatment option	Second-line or adjunctive treatment option
Massage	Second-line or adjunctive treatment option	Second-line or adjunctive treatment option
Acupuncture	Second-line or adjunctive treatment option	Second-line or adjunctive treatment option
Yoga	Insufficient evidence	Second-line or adjunctive treatment option
Mindfulness-based stress reduction	Insufficient evidence	Second-line or adjunctive treatment option
Interdisciplinary rehabilitation	Insufficient evidence	Second-line or adjunctive treatment option

Pharmacological therapy

Pharmacological therapy		
Paracetamol	Not recommended	Not recommended
Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs	Second-line or adjunctive treatment option	Second-line or adjunctive treatment option
Skeletal muscle relaxants	Limited use in selected patients	Insufficient evidence
Selective norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors	Insufficient evidence	Second-line or adjunctive treatment option
Antiseizure medications	Insufficient evidence	Role uncertain
Opioids	Limited use in selected patients, use with caution	Limited use in selected patients, use with caution
Systemic glucocorticoids	Not recommended	Not recommended

Interventional therapies & surgery

Interventional therapies		
Epidural glucocorticoid injection (for herniated disc with radiculopathy)	Not recommended	Limited use in selected patients
Surgery		
Discectomy (for herniated disc with radiculopathy)	Insufficient evidence	Second-line or adjunctive treatment option
Laminectomy (for symptomatic spinal stenosis)	Insufficient evidence	Second-line or adjunctive treatment option
Spinal fusion (for non-radicular low back pain with degenerative disc findings)	Insufficient evidence	Role uncertain

Chronic Low Back Pain Treatment Guidelines

- Lancet (Foster et al., 2018)
 - · Combined ACP (2017), Danish (2018), UK (2016) guidelines

	<u>First Line</u>	Second line
Ed/Self-Care	Advice, Education	
Non-Pharm	Exercise, CBT	Spinal Man., Massage,
		Acupuncture, Yoga, Mindfulness
Pharmacological		NSAIDs, SNRIs
Interventional		Epidural (Limited)
Surgery		Disc/Lami (Limited)

VA/DoD Guidelines (2017)

"Recommend"

"<u>Suggest</u>"

Self-Care Education/Activity

Multi-component self-mgt.

Non-Pharm CBT

Exercise, Spinal Manip, Yoga, Acup., Mindfulness

Pharm NSAIDS

Other

SNRIs

"Team Approach" Physical Component + Psych/Occ/Social



 5 sites (Indy, Ann Arbor, Palo Alto, San Diego, and West Haven)

 1000 surveys sent to Veterans with ICD10 code for chronic low back pain

Received 228 surveys back (22.8% response rate)

Key findings Age = 63 years (SD = 14)

- "Pain every day"—59%
- "Pain > 4 years"—78%
- "Very Interested" in participating—59%-72%
- Moderate to severe pain—82%-93%

Patient treatment preferences



Provider treatment preferences (n=44)





Teams; Expanded care management; Pharmacy Pain Care **Clinics; Pain Schools; Integrative Medicine; Signature Consent for COT**

1

Patient/Family/Caregiver Learning and Self Care

Nutrition/weight management, exercise/conditioning, ice & stretch; sufficient sleep; mindfulness meditation/relaxation techniques; engagement in meaningful activities; family & social support; safe environment/surroundings

Overall Study Description

SCEPTER is a:

- Sequential randomized
- Pragmatic
- 2-step comparative effectiveness trial
- To identify the optimal approach to chronic low back pain treatment
- Uses commonly recommended nonsurgical, non-pharmacological options
- Single-blinded (outcome assessors)

SCEPTER Study Design

Veterans with chronic low back pain



SCEPTER – Step 1



SCEPTER – Step 2 Step 1 non-responders and those Step 1 Step 1 participants not desiring a responders who desire further treatment, Step 2 treatment Month 3 proceed to Step 2 Step 2 randomization Arm 2 Arm 3 Arm 1 CBT SMT Yoga Step 1 treatment 6-month Step 2 post-treatment assessment Month 6 assessment Step 1 treatment 9- and 12-Step 2 treatment 6- and 9-month assessments Month 9, 12 month assessments Month 15 Step 2 treatment 12-month assessment

Study Objective #1

- To compare the effectiveness of:
 - Internet-based pain self-management program
 - "Enhanced physical therapy"
 - Usual care

Hypothesis #1

- The internet-based self-management program will significantly reduce pain interference and pain severity
- The enhanced physical therapy intervention will be more effective than the internet-based self-program program alone and usual care

Study Objective #2

- To compare the effectiveness of:
 - Cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT)
 - Spinal manipulation therapy (SMT)
 - Yoga
 - In veterans w/o a clinically meaningful response (Step 1 non-responders)

Step 1 Non-responders

- Participants who do not have:
 - 30% or 2-point reduction in Brief Pain
 Inventory (BPI) pain interference score after
 3 months of Step 1 treatments

Hypothesis #2

The proportion of treatment responders will significantly differ across the 3 step 2 treatments

Secondary Objectives

- To compare secondary outcomes and durability
- To evaluate safety, treatment adherence, and satisfaction
- To identify predictors of treatment response

Secondary Objectives

 To evaluate the feasibility, barriers and facilitators to implementation of treatments

 To perform a cost and budget impact analysis of treatments

Additional hypotheses

- Patient preferences, opioid use, emotional status, sleep and fatigue will predict treatment response
- Treatments will have different effects on opioid use, emotional status, sleep and fatigue
- Significant differences in cost effectiveness will be found between the treatment options
- The durability of treatment effects will differ

Internet-based pain self-management program

- Developed by Diana Higgins, PhD and Alicia Heapy, PhD
 - Pain EASE and COPES programs
- 10 pain coping skill modules:
 - pain education, setting goals, planning meaningful activities
 - physical activity, relaxation, healthy thinking patterns
 - pacing and problem-solving, improving sleep, effective communication, and planning for the future
- Pedometer-tracked step counts, sleep tracking, relaxation practice

Enhanced physical therapy

Combination of:

- Internet-based pain self-management program
- Tailored exercise and physical activity
- Guided by a physical therapist
- Up to 8 sessions
- Initial visit guided by Keele STarT Back Screening Tool
- Focus on walking in addition to motor control and stabilization exercises

Flexibility exercises if stiffness present

Treatment program being developed and led by Dan Riddle, PT, PhD

Usual care

- Non-standardized
- May involve pharmacological and nonpharmacological treatments for CLBP
- Current analgesics and non-pharmacological treatments may be continued by participants
- Will be discouraged from starting CBT, chiropractic (SMT), or yoga

Cognitive Behavioral Therapy

- Face-to-face individual (or group) treatment
- 10 treatment sessions (45 minutes in length)

Table 6.1. VA	CBT Chronic Pain
Session	Content
1	Interview and Assessment: Clinical pain evaluation and baseline assessment measures
2	CBT-CP Orientation: Pain education and familiarization with the CBT-CP approach to treatment
3	Assessment Feedback and Goal Planning: Clinical implications of assessment and development of treatment goals
4	Exercise and Pacing: Importance of movement and thoughtful approach to physical activities
5	Relaxation Training: Relaxation benefits and techniques
6	Pleasant Activities 1 and 2: Identification of meaningful and pleasurable activities/ Implementation of selected valued activities
7	Cognitive Coping 1: Understand automatic negative thoughts and how they impact pain experience
8	Cognitive Coping 2: Monitor and challenge automatic thoughts
9	Sleep: Strategies for improving sleep despite pain
10	Discharge Planning: Plan for flare-ups and review CBT-CP skills

Based on CBT-CP treatment manual developed by Jennifer Murphy, PhD

Spinal manipulation therapy (SMT)

- Up to 10 treatment sessions in 3 month treatment period
- Delivered by DCs

Therapy	Target	Indication
Spinal manipulation and/or mobilization	Lower thoracic, lumbar and/or sacroiliac joints	Findings of excessive stiffness, tenderness and/or pain provoked on clinical examination
Myofascial (massage) and/or stretching techniques	Lower thoracic, lumbar, gluteal and/or lower extremity muscles	Findings of excessive tightness, tenderness and/or pain provoked on clinical examination

Treatment program being developed and led by Paul Doughtery, DC



- Yoga for Veterans with CLBP program developed by Eric Groessl, PhD
- 10 weekly, 60-minute instructor-led sessions
- Emphasis on home practice
- Classical hatha yoga with influences from lyengar and Viniyoga yoga
- Series of 23 yoga poses (32 total variations) at a slowmoderate pace

Study Participants and Sites

•N = 2529 Veterans •Moderate to severe CLBP

20 VA Medical Centers

Study duration = 6 years

Site Selection

- Identified sites most likely to meet enrollment goals
- Targeted larger VA's with high numbers of veterans with chronic low back pain
- Targeted NODES sites and sites interested in participating based on site survey

Nominated sites

- Asheville, NC
- Atlanta, GA
- Baltimore, MD
- Bay Pines, FL
- Boston, MA
- Hampton, VA
- Indianapolis, IN
- Las Vegas, NV
- Loma Linda, CA
- Long Beach, CA

- Nashville, TN
- Orlando, FL
- Palo Alto, CA
- Phoenix, AZ
- Portland, OR
- Richmond, VA
- Salisbury, NC
- Salt Lake City, UT
- San Antonio, TX
- St. Louis, MO

Eligibility Criteria

Major inclusion criteria

- Chronic low back pain (cLBP) present for at least 6 months
- Numeric Pain Rating Scale ≥4/10
- Roland Morris Disability Questionnaire <u>></u>7
- Internet access

Major exclusion criteria

- Concomitant interventional study enrollment
- · Use within past 3 months Step 2 treatments (CBT, SMT, Yoga)
- Severe psychiatric or medical illness preventing participation and/or followup
- Undergoing evaluation for back surgery

Primary Outcome: Brief Pain Inventory- Interference

How has pain interfered with your...

- General activity
- Mood
- Walking ability
- Work
- Relationships
- Sleep
- Enjoyment of life

Additional Study Outcomes

<u>Domain</u>

Measure

Physical function Pain severity Psychological Pain Sleep Fatigue Treatment response

Generic HRQL Concomitant meds/Treat

Satisfaction Safety Health economics **Roland-Morris Disability Questionnaire** Numeric rating scale PHQ-9 Depression, GAD-7 Anxiety, PTSD, catastrophizing **PROMIS-Sleep PROMIS-Fatigue** Global Impression of Change General Physical Health-2, GMH-2 Outcomes EuroQuol Quality of Life/5 Opioids, Other medications Non-pharmacological cLBP treatments Pain treatment satisfaction AE/SAE Employment/Productivity, Caregiving Non-VA healthcare utilization

Implementation

- Monitor implementation fidelity and minimize variation
 - Assessment of how treatments are being provided, dose and fidelity
- Understand key challenges to implementation of study treatments to facilitate translation of findings into practice
 - Patient and treatment provider surveys of experiences, difficulties, etc.
- Identify possible provider level mediators or moderators of primary trial outcomes
 - Assessment of provider and organizational readiness for change

Led by Karleen Giannitrapani, PhD

Economic Analyses

- Costs of the stepped treatments have not been carefully defined
 - Intervention costs:
 - Self-mgt. (web-based), exercise therapy (individual), CBT (individual), SMT (individual), yoga (group)
 - Consequence costs:
 - Measures overall healthcare costs in the year following cLBP interventions
 - Integrated analysis:
 - A comparison of the costs of intervention relative to the costs of overall healthcare on a month-by-month basis
- Broader Economic Analyses
 - To be completed if particular treatments appear more effective
 - Formal cost-effectiveness analyses, budget impact analyses

Led by Erik Groessl, PhD

Key Needs and Impacts

- Trials of guideline-concordant therapy (especially for stepped-care options)
- Comparative effectiveness data
- Outcomes beyond pain and function
 - Anxiety/Mood, Sleep, QOL
- Predictors of responsiveness
- Incorporates treatment preferences into design
- Implementation and cost-effectiveness data

TENTATIVE TIMELINE

Mar 2019	Apr 2019	May 2019	Jun 2019	Jul 2019	Nov 2019	Dec 2019	Jan 2020	Mar 2020	Apr 2020	May 2020	Jun 2020	Jul 2020	Aug 2020	Sep 2020	Oct 2020
Opera	tional	Steps		_				_	_	_			-		
Funding Approval			Pre-Kick Off Meeting								Site		Kick Off		Study
	Site S	Section/App	proval								runung		Meeting		Launon

Data Management

	CRF Develop	ment			
			Study Da	ata Base	

Treatment Planning

		Treatme	ent Manual Development				Treatment Provider Training	
				Operat	ions Man	ual Devel	lopment	

Regul	atory			_		-							_		
	_														
Mar	Apr	Mav	Jun	Jul	Nov	Dec	Jan	Mar	Apr	Mav	Jun	Jul	Aua	Sep	Oct
2019	2019	2019	2019	2019	2019	2019	2029	2020	2020	2020	2020	2020	2020	2020	2020



Interventions need to "absorbed" by clinical programs – not funded by research

Competing trials/studies

Standardizing interventions across sites

Study Chairs Office

 David J. Clark, MD, PhD, Study Co-Chair, Palo Alto VA Medical Center

- Matthew J. Bair, MD, MS, Study Co-Chair, Indianapolis VA Medical Center
- Colleen Fitzsimmons, BS, National Study Coordinator, Palo Alto VA Medical Center

Cooperative Studies Program Coordinating Center, Palo Alto VA:

- Ilana Belitskaya-Lévy, PhD, Biostatistician
- Mei-Chiung Shih, PhD, Senior Biostatistician, CSP Director
- Lisa Zehm, MS, Study Project Manager

Cooperative Studies Program Coordinating Center, Palo Alto VA:

- Lauren Uyeda, MS, Data Manager
- Ania Ray, Research specialist
- Amy Morrow, Data manager
- Alison Quien, Data manager
- Lori Nielsen, Budget manager

Treatment Champions									
Champion	Treatment								
Diana Higgins, PhD	Internet-based pain self- management								
Dan Riddle, PT, PhD	Enhanced Physical Therapy								
Jennifer Murphy, PhD	Cognitive Behavioral Therapy								
Paul Dougherty, DC	Spinal Manipulation Therapy								

Safety Monitors

- Christina Clise, PharmD
- Alexandra Scrymgeour, PharmD
- Lawrence Calais, RN



 Grant Huang, MPH, PhD, Director for the Cooperative Studies Program (CSP)

Rachel Ramoni, DMD, ScD, Chief
 Research and Development Officer
 Office of Research & Development





Matthew.Bair@va.gov; mbair@iupui.edu