



# An Evidence Map of the Women Veterans' Health Research Literature (2008 – 2015)

July 2017

## Prepared for:

Department of Veterans Affairs  
Veterans Health Administration  
Quality Enhancement Research Initiative  
Health Services Research & Development Service  
Washington, DC 20420

## Prepared by:

Evidence-based Synthesis Program (ESP) Center  
Minneapolis VA Health Care System  
Minneapolis, MN  
Timothy J. Wilt, MD, MPH, Director  
Nancy Greer, PhD, Program Manager

## Investigators:

Principal Investigator:  
Elisheva Danan, MD, MPH

## Co-Investigators:

Kristine Ensrud, MD, MPH  
Erin Krebs, MD, MPH

## Research Associates:

Eva Koeller, BA  
Roderick MacDonald, MS  
Tina Velasquez, MS



**VA**  
HEALTH  
CARE | Defining  
**EXCELLENCE**  
in the 21st Century

## PREFACE

The VA Evidence-based Synthesis Program (ESP) was established in 2007 to provide timely and accurate syntheses of targeted healthcare topics of particular importance to clinicians, managers, and policymakers as they work to improve the health and healthcare of Veterans. QUERI provides funding for four ESP Centers, and each Center has an active University affiliation. Center Directors are recognized leaders in the field of evidence synthesis with close ties to the AHRQ Evidence-based Practice Centers. The ESP is governed by a Steering Committee comprised of participants from VHA Policy, Program, and Operations Offices, VISN leadership, field-based investigators, and others as designated appropriate by QUERI/HSR&D.

The ESP Centers generate evidence syntheses on important clinical practice topics. These reports help:

- Develop clinical policies informed by evidence;
- Implement effective services to improve patient outcomes and to support VA clinical practice guidelines and performance measures; and
- Set the direction for future research to address gaps in clinical knowledge.

The ESP disseminates these reports throughout VA and in the published literature; some evidence syntheses have informed the clinical guidelines of large professional organizations.

The ESP Coordinating Center (ESP CC), located in Portland, Oregon, was created in 2009 to expand the capacity of QUERI/HSR&D and is charged with oversight of national ESP program operations, program development and evaluation, and dissemination efforts. The ESP CC establishes standard operating procedures for the production of evidence synthesis reports; facilitates a national topic nomination, prioritization, and selection process; manages the research portfolio of each Center; facilitates editorial review processes; ensures methodological consistency and quality of products; produces “rapid response evidence briefs” at the request of VHA senior leadership; collaborates with HSR&D Center for Information Dissemination and Education Resources (CIDER) to develop a national dissemination strategy for all ESP products; and interfaces with stakeholders to effectively engage the program.

Comments on this evidence report are welcome and can be sent to Nicole Floyd, ESP CC Program Manager, at [Nicole.Floyd@va.gov](mailto:Nicole.Floyd@va.gov).

**Recommended citation:** Danan E, Ensrud K, Krebs E, Koeller E, Greer N, Velasquez T, MacDonald R, Wilt, TJ. An Evidence Map of the Women Veterans' Health Research Literature (2008 – 2015). VA ESP Project #09-009; 2017.

This report is based on research conducted by the Evidence-based Synthesis Program (ESP) Center located at the **Minneapolis VA Health Care System, Minneapolis, MN**, funded by the Department of Veterans Affairs, Veterans Health Administration, Office of Research and Development, Quality Enhancement Research Initiative. The findings and conclusions in this document are those of the author(s) who are responsible for its contents; the findings and conclusions do not necessarily represent the views of the Department of Veterans Affairs or the United States government. Therefore, no statement in this article should be construed as an official position of the Department of Veterans Affairs. No investigators have any affiliations or financial involvement (eg, employment, consultancies, honoraria, stock ownership or options, expert testimony, grants or patents received or pending, or royalties) that conflict with material presented in the report.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ..... 1**

- Introduction..... 1
- Methods..... 1
  - Data Sources and Searches ..... 1
  - Study Selection ..... 1
  - Data Abstraction and Risk of Bias Assessment..... 2
  - Data Synthesis and Analysis..... 2
- Results..... 2
  - Results of Literature Search..... 2
  - Overview of Extracted Data..... 3
  - Summary of Results ..... 3
- Executive Summary Table 1. Healthcare Categories ..... 4
- Executive Summary Figure 1. Healthcare Categories<sup>a</sup> ..... 5
- Executive Summary Figure 2. Study Designs<sup>a</sup> ..... 5
- Executive Summary Figure 3. Number of Participants<sup>a</sup> ..... 6
- Executive Summary Figure 4. Proportion of Women<sup>a</sup> ..... 6
- Executive Summary Figure 5. Overview of Included Studies by Healthcare Category, Study Sizes, Study Design, and Proportion of Women ..... 7
- Discussion..... 8
  - Key Findings..... 8
  - Advances in Key Research Priorities..... 9
- Executive Summary Table 2. Mapping of Strategic Priority Areas with Evidence Map Health Care Categories ..... 10
  - Shortfalls and Limitations of the Literature..... 11
  - Future Directions ..... 12
  - Opportunities for Expanded Reviews ..... 13
  - Conclusions..... 13
- Abbreviations Table..... 14

## EVIDENCE REPORT

**INTRODUCTION..... 15**

**METHODS ..... 16**

- Topic Development..... 16
- Search Strategy ..... 16



Study Selection ..... 16

Data Abstraction ..... 17

Risk of Bias Assessment..... 17

Data Synthesis..... 17

Rating the Body of Evidence ..... 17

Peer Review ..... 17

**RESULTS ..... 18**

Literature Flow..... 18

Overview of Extracted Data..... 19

    Healthcare Categories ..... 19

    Study Design ..... 22

    Number of Participants ..... 22

    Proportion of Women, Race, Age..... 22

    Research Setting..... 23

    Special Populations..... 24

    Follow-up/Study Duration ..... 24

    Administrative Database Study..... 25

    Period of Service..... 25

    Population ..... 26

    Outcomes Reported..... 26

    Funding Source ..... 27

Summary of Findings..... 27

    Healthcare Categories ..... 29

    Study Design..... 40

    Publication Year..... 42

    Sample Size..... 45

    Proportion of Study Subjects Who are Women ..... 46

    Funding Source ..... 47

**SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION ..... 48**

Advances in Key Research Priorities..... 48

    Priority Topics ..... 49

    Priority Populations ..... 49

    Research Funding..... 50

Shortfalls and Limitations of the Literature..... 50

    Gaps within Specific Healthcare Topics..... 50



Shortfalls in Study Design and Presentation..... 52

Future Directions ..... 52

    Capturing Ongoing Research..... 52

    Social and Cultural Transitions..... 53

    Veteran Engagement..... 53

Limitations ..... 53

    Study Review and Data Abstraction..... 53

    Applicability of Findings to the VA Population..... 54

Opportunities for Expanded Reviews ..... 54

Conclusions..... 54

**REFERENCES..... 55**

**TABLES**

Table 1. Healthcare Categories ..... 20

Table 2. Mapping of Strategic Priority Areas with Evidence Map Health Care Categories .... 21

Table 3. Study Designs ..... 22

Table 4. Number of Participants ..... 22

Table 5. Proportion of Women, Race, and Age for Women Veteran Participants ..... 23

Table 6. Research Setting ..... 24

Table 7. Special Populations..... 24

Table 8. Length of Follow-up ..... 25

Table 9. Administrative Database..... 25

Table 10. Period of Service..... 25

Table 11. Population ..... 26

Table 12. Outcomes Reported..... 26

Table 13. Funding Source ..... 27

Table 14. Advances in Key Research Priorities..... 49

Table 15. Shortfalls and Limitations within the Literature..... 51

**FIGURES**

Figure 1: Literature Flow Chart ..... 18

Figure 2. Overview of Included Studies by Healthcare Category, Study Sizes,  
Study Design, and Proportion of Women ..... 28

Figure 3. Number of Papers Published by Year and Healthcare Category..... 43

Figure 4. Number of Publications in Research Priority Areas, 2008-2011 and 2012-2015 ..... 44



**APPENDIX A. SEARCH STRATEGIES..... 84**

**APPENDIX B. PEER REVIEW COMMENTS/AUTHOR RESPONSES..... 85**

**APPENDIX C. SUMMARY TABLES AND FIGURES ..... 98**

Table 1. Overview of Studies by Healthcare Category..... 99

Table 2. Overview of Mental Health Studies..... 100

Table 3. Overview of Medical Studies ..... 101

Figure 1. Mental Health Studies by Sub-category, Sample Size, Study Design,  
and Proportion of Women..... 102

Figure 2. Medical Condition Studies by Sub-category, Sample Size, Study Design,  
and Proportion of Women..... 103



## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

### INTRODUCTION

Women currently comprise approximately 10% of all living US Veterans. This proportion is projected to rise to 15% by 2035 as the number and proportion of women serving in the US Military continues to increase. The demographics and life experiences of women Veterans are distinct from those of both non-Veteran women and male Veterans. Consequently, women Veterans face multiple unique health and healthcare concerns that were historically underserved by the Veterans Health Administration (VHA). In the past several decades, the provision of high-quality, evidence-based, accessible healthcare for women Veterans has become an increasingly vital strategic priority within VA. A growing body of literature addresses the health and healthcare concerns of women Veterans. The VA Women's Health Research Network, established in 2010, seeks to systematically improve women's healthcare and reduce sex/gender disparities by filling critical knowledge gaps in the evidence base related to women Veterans' health and healthcare needs.

Previous reviews have identified the literature related to women Veterans' health published through 2008. We created an evidence map of the literature published from 2008 through 2015. Topic stakeholders were interested in a broad overview of the growth and depth of research on health and healthcare for women Veterans. We framed our evidence map around healthcare topics of interest according to key study characteristics in order to facilitate planning of future VA research, policy, and clinical activities in women Veterans' health. The population of interest was US women Veterans. We included all interventions, comparators, outcomes, and settings. Due to the breadth of research included, we did not extract, evaluate, or present study findings.

### METHODS

#### Data Sources and Searches

We searched MEDLINE (Ovid), CINAHL, and the HSR&D database for articles published between 2008 and December 2015. The search included the MeSH terms Women; Women's Health; Women's Health Services; Veterans; Veterans Health; and Hospitals, Veterans.

#### Study Selection

Our exclusion criteria were as follows:

- Studies that were not relevant to health/ healthcare
- Studies that did not include women US Veterans
- Studies that only included active duty members of the military
- Case reports, letters, meeting abstracts, dissertations, editorials, narrative or systematic reviews, conceptual frameworks, and protocols
- Studies that included a very small proportion or absolute number of women Veterans
  - If total n < 100, excluded if proportion women < 10%
  - If total n = 100-1000, excluded if proportion women < 5%
  - If total n > 1000, accepted studies with any proportion of women
- Studies in which the proportion of Veterans is less than 75% and the article does not explicitly address the results of the study for Veterans

- Studies in which the proportion of women is less than 75% and the article does not explicitly address the results of the study for women

Abstracts (2,276) were independently reviewed by a trained investigator or research associate and 20% were dual-reviewed with good agreement. We excluded 1,092 studies at the abstract level. Full-text reports of 1,184 studies identified as potentially eligible were obtained for further review using the exclusion criteria described above. Each article was independently reviewed by an investigator or research associate and reasons for excluding a study at full-text review were noted. A second reviewer independently reviewed a random sample of studies and any additional studies that the original reviewer had questions about. If the 2 reviewers disagreed, a group arbitration system was used to determine eligibility.

### **Data Abstraction and Risk of Bias Assessment**

Study characteristics (category of healthcare, study design, number of participants, proportion women, population characteristics reported, presence of special populations, follow-up/duration, research setting, use of administrative database, period of service, Veteran engagement, population, outcomes reported, and funding source) were extracted onto evidence tables by one investigator or research associate. Extraction was verified by a second researcher for a randomly selected 10% sample of included studies. Discrepancies were infrequent and when present were resolved by group discussion. To ensure consistency in selection of categories within a characteristic, a research associate independently evaluated all included studies in categories that were inherently subjective (particularly “other” categories) and these were then reviewed by a second researcher. The principal investigator also performed additional checks while summarizing the findings by extracted categories. We did not rate the risk of bias of individual studies.

### **Data Synthesis and Analysis**

We summarize studies by category of healthcare, study design, year of publication, sample size, proportion of women in the study sample, and funding source. We did not analyze strength of evidence. We present our analysis as a map of the existing body of literature without commenting on the results or findings of individual studies.

## **RESULTS**

### **Results of Literature Search**

We reviewed 2,276 abstracts: 2,125 from MEDLINE, 65 from CINAHL, and 86 from the HSR&D database. We excluded 1,092 abstracts and reviewed the full-text of 1,184 references. During full-text review we excluded 750 articles leaving 434 eligible for inclusion. In addition, we reviewed the original studies cited in 11 systematic reviews and identified 5 references that were eligible but not identified by our literature search. During peer review of the draft of this report, one more reference was identified. The total number of included references was 440.

## Overview of Extracted Data

With input from the topic stakeholders, we established 36 healthcare categories of interest (Executive Summary Table 1). Each included study was designated by one primary category.

For studies that crossed multiple healthcare categories, we attempted to identify the primary focus of the study and categorize it under a single topic. If a study clearly did not belong to a single category, it was placed in one of 3 “multiples” categories. The 3 “multiples” categories are distinct from the 3 “Other” categories (Other Mental Health Topics, Other Medical Conditions, and Other), which were reserved for single-topic studies that did not fit into any of our identified categories.

Studies of prevention or screening were categorized as Prevention/Screening rather than by medical condition. Similarly, studies that related to medical or mental health topics but primarily addressed issues of healthcare organization and delivery, access and utilization, homelessness, or post-deployment health were placed in the latter groupings.

## Summary of Results


Of the studies identified in our search, most related to mental health (208/440 studies, 47%) or medical conditions (78/440 studies, 18%) (Executive Summary Table 1, Executive Summary Figure 1).

**Executive Summary Table 1. Healthcare Categories**

<b>Healthcare Category</b>		<b>Number of Studies<sup>a</sup></b>
<b>Mental Health</b> <b>Total: 208 articles</b>	PTSD and trauma	71
	Military sexual trauma	37
	Substance abuse	20
	Depression and anxiety	4
	Suicide	13
	Intimate partner violence	9
	Disordered eating	5
	Reproductive mental health	4
	Serious mental illness	3
	Personality disorders	0
	Other mental health topics	3
	Multiple mental health diagnoses	16
	Mental health comorbid with non-mental health	23
<b>Medical Conditions</b> <b>Total: 78 articles</b>	Cardiovascular disease	11
	Obesity	9
	Chronic pain	7
	Cancer	6
	Traumatic brain injury	5
	HIV/AIDS	5
	Tobacco	6
	Multiple sclerosis	4
	Diabetes	3
	Spinal cord injury	1
	Traumatic amputations	1
	Hypertension	0
	Comorbid medical conditions	7
Other medical conditions	13	
<b>Reproductive Health</b>		24
<b>Long-term Care/Aging</b>		13
<b>Prevention/Screening</b>		18
<b>Access and Utilization</b> <b>Total: 24 articles</b>	Barriers and facilitators of care	13
	Healthcare utilization	11
<b>Rural Health</b>		3
<b>Healthcare Organization and Delivery</b> <b>Total: 31 articles</b>	Comprehensive and primary care delivery	16
	Mental healthcare delivery	9
	Emergency care delivery	3
	Virtual or telehealth care delivery	3
<b>Homelessness</b>		12
<b>Post-deployment Health</b>		18
<b>Other</b>		11
<b>TOTAL NUMBER OF INCLUDED STUDIES</b>		440

<sup>a</sup> Each study included once


**Executive Summary Figure 1. Healthcare Categories<sup>a</sup>**



<sup>a</sup> Values on pie chart are numbers of articles

Among eligible studies there were few randomized controlled trials or controlled clinical trials (RCTs/CCTs) (8/440 studies, 2%) or secondary analyses of trials (12/440 studies, 3%) (Executive Summary Figure 2). Five percent of studies (23/440) were prospective cohort studies and 85% (375/440) were other observational studies including retrospective cohort, case-control, cross-sectional, and survey studies. The final 5% (22/440) were qualitative studies.


**Executive Summary Figure 2. Study Designs<sup>a</sup>**



<sup>a</sup> Values on pie chart are numbers of articles

The majority of studies had over 1,000 participants (Executive Summary Figure 3). Of the 249 studies with over 1,000 participants 177 (71%) were administrative database studies.


**Executive Summary Figure 3. Number of Participants<sup>a</sup>**



<sup>a</sup> Values on pie chart are number of articles

In the 427 studies of Veterans and/or non-Veterans as study subjects, we documented the proportion of women (Executive Summary Figure 4). The remaining studies enrolled clinicians or administrators as participants (eg, a survey of VHA emergency department directors focused on capacity to meet the needs of women Veterans).

**Executive Summary Figure 4. Proportion of Women<sup>a</sup>**



<sup>a</sup> Values on pie chart are number of articles

A summary of the results is presented in Executive Summary Figure 5. Appendix C Tables 1-3 and Figures 1-2 provide more detail. In Executive Summary Figure 5, each dot represents one



## DISCUSSION

### Key Findings

- Nearly half of the included studies were related to mental health. Other specific health conditions or categories were noted in fewer than 10% of studies.
- More than 90% of studies were observational; we identified 8 (2%) RCTs or CCTs and 12 (3%) secondary analyses of trials.
- Of 6 key topic areas established at the 2010 VA Women's Health Services Research Conference, dramatic growth in the number of publications was noted for 4 areas:
  - Access to Care and Rural Health
  - Post-deployment Health
  - Reproductive Health
  - Mental Health
- Two key areas did not much increase in publications: primary care and prevention (except a subtopic area: organization and delivery of primary and comprehensive care for women Veterans) and complex chronic conditions/long-term care and aging
- Future directions for women Veteran's research include:
  - Capturing on-going research by reporting outcomes specifically for women Veterans in studies that include both Veterans and non-Veterans
  - Expanding research to address social and cultural shifts within the US military including vulnerable populations and the expanding role of women in combat
  - Engaging Veterans in research
  - Expanded, in-depth reviews of specific topics (*eg*, military sexual trauma, integration/coordination or mental healthcare with primary care, multimorbidity or primary care for racial/ethnic or sexual/gender minority women Veterans, post-deployment health, reproductive health, delivery of care for women Veterans)

This evidence map organizes and describes the broad field of research related to women Veterans' health published between 2008 and 2015. In the past 8 years, this literature base has grown and developed substantially. In 2010, Bean-Mayberry and colleagues published a systematic review of the women Veterans' health research completed between 2004 and 2008. Their review, presented at the 2010 VA Women's Health Services Research Conference, helped outline the existing knowledge gaps and develop directions for future research. In July-August 2011, Women's Health Issues devoted an entire supplemental issue to women Veterans' health and the outcomes of that conference, including an article by Yano et al that set forth an ambitious research agenda. The VA Women's Health Research Network has worked to support and advance this agenda. Whereas many independent researchers from both in and outside of VA contribute to the overall research base in this broad field, our analysis confirms a significant shift in topics and increase in research since 2011.

## Advances in Key Research Priorities

The 2010 VA Women's Health Services Research Conference resulted in the development of a research agenda with 6 key topic areas. The link between these key topic areas and the healthcare categories we used to create this evidence map is presented in Executive Summary Table 2. The 6 key topic areas for future research were defined as:

- Access to care and rural health
- Primary care and prevention
- Mental health
- Post-deployment health
- Complex chronic conditions/aging and long-term care
- Reproductive health.

An additional overarching goal was to begin transitioning from observational studies to interventional research. Cross-agency partnerships and collaborations were sought to help expand financial and intellectual resources for women's health research.

### *Priority Topics*

Of these 6 key topic areas, 4 (and a subsection of a fifth) have advanced considerably in the last 8 years. Three small areas, access to care and rural health, post-deployment health, and reproductive health, have grown dramatically in number of publications, with total counts rising up to seven-fold from the first half of our review period to the second half. The largest topic area, mental health research (particularly that related to PTSD and MST), has not only grown in numbers, but has also recently begun to shift from entirely observational to include a few interventional studies. Within the broad area of primary care and prevention, the subsection of research specifically related to the organization and delivery of primary and comprehensive care for women Veterans (categorized under Healthcare Organization and Delivery for the purposes of this evidence map), has also advanced considerably in both publication numbers and scope, including several qualitative studies and an RCT.

**Executive Summary Table 2. Mapping of Strategic Priority Areas with Evidence Map Health Care Categories**

Women’s Health Research Network Strategic Priority Areas	Evidence Map Healthcare Categories
Access to Care and Rural Health	Access and Utilization <i>Barriers and Facilitators of Care</i> <i>Healthcare Utilization</i> Rural Health
Primary Care and Prevention	Prevention/Screening Obesity Hypertension Tobacco Comorbid Medical Conditions Cancer Other Medical Conditions Healthcare Organization and Delivery <i>Comprehensive and Primary Care Delivery</i> <i>Virtual or Telehealth Care Delivery</i>
Mental Health	PTSD and Trauma Military Sexual Trauma Substance Abuse Depression and Anxiety Suicide Intimate Partner Violence Disordered Eating Reproductive Mental Health Serious Mental Illness Personality Disorders Other Mental Health Topics Multiple Mental Health Diagnoses Mental Health Comorbid with Non-mental Health Healthcare Organization and Delivery <i>Mental Healthcare Delivery</i>
Post-deployment Health	Post-deployment Health (includes readjustment, resilience, and well-being)
Complex Chronic Conditions/Aging and Long-term Care	Long-term Care/Aging (includes osteoporosis and dementia) Homelessness Diabetes Cardiovascular Disease Chronic Pain Spinal Cord Injury (SCI) Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) Traumatic Amputation Multiple Sclerosis HIV Healthcare Organization and Delivery <i>Emergency Care Delivery</i>
Reproductive Health	Reproductive Health
	Other



### *Priority Populations*

Research addressing priority populations has also increased substantially over the past 8 years. Returning Operation Enduring Freedom/Operation Iraqi Freedom/Operation New Dawn (OEF/OIF/OND) Veterans have dramatically shifted the demographics of current US Veterans, particularly for women. Over one-fifth of the articles included in this review specifically targeted Veterans from OEF/OIF/OND or the Persian Gulf conflicts and three-quarters of those studies were published since 2012. We also identified studies of potentially vulnerable sub-populations of women Veterans, including sexual and gender minorities, racial and ethnic minority, and homeless Veterans and found that the majority of those studies were published since 2012.

### *Research Funding*

The majority of included studies (302/440 studies, 69%) reported at least some VA funding. Only a small proportion of studies (94/440 studies, 21%) reported at least some non-VA governmental funding sources such as Department of Defense (DoD) or National Institutes of Health (NIH). However, the number of studies funded by these sources rose steadily throughout the study period. Notably, these funding sources accounted for 4 of the 8 randomized trials we identified.

## **Shortfalls and Limitations of the Literature**

### *Gaps within Specific Healthcare Topics*

Despite the advances in 4 of 6 priority topic areas noted above, 2 of the key areas identified within the future research agenda have failed to show significant growth: (1) primary care and prevention and (2) complex chronic conditions/long-term care and aging. These topic areas were initially difficult to identify within the literature, as most studies about medical conditions could not be clearly classified using these categories. Ultimately, we separated the articles specifically related to prevention, long-term care, or aging from those related to primary care and complex chronic conditions. The number of studies related to prevention and screening or long-term care and aging either remained steady or fell throughout the study period. Aside from the subsection of primary care articles devoted to the organization and delivery of healthcare, whose impressive growth was described above, relatively little research has been devoted to the vast array of medical conditions, specifically chronic diseases that affect women Veterans (*eg*, diabetes, hypertension, chronic pain). There were no randomized trials and few qualitative studies related to medical conditions. In addition, although the field of mental health research continues to grow, studies with primary focus on mental health topics most often encountered by primary care providers, including depression, anxiety, and postpartum depression, were largely absent from the literature.

### *Shortfalls in Study Design and Presentation*

The most obvious study design limitation of the literature base identified in this review is the very small number of experimental studies. We identified only 8 controlled intervention trials over the course of 8 years that related to women Veterans, and 2 of these had already been described in the previous review. Only half of the 8 RCTs were VA-funded and only 3 took place at multiple VA sites.

Another limitation noted in our review was the proportion of studies that address women Veterans solely in comparison to male Veterans. Describing differences or disparities between female Veterans and the remainder of the largely male VA population has been a necessary initial step in establishing this field. Looking forward, however, we encourage further study of the broad range of patient demographic, health condition, and social determinant characteristics that exists within the population of women Veterans. For example, comparing racial or socioeconomic subgroups of women Veterans across or within health conditions may help identify or describe needs of particularly vulnerable populations. This approach parallels that endorsed by the NIH's Office of Research on Women's Health 2010 strategic plan for women's health research. Expanding the outcomes of interest beyond gender differences and disparities will further advance women Veterans' health research.

Finally, a notable finding in our review was the large proportion of studies (1 in 5) that did not report a source of funding. This was a particular problem for the growing categories of post-deployment health and homelessness. Reporting the source of funding and role of the funder is considered a quality standard for both experimental (CONSORT – CONSolidated Standards Of Reporting Trials) and observational (STROBE – Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology) research studies. Though it is possible that much women Veterans' health research remains unfunded, only a small number of studies specifically identified an absence of funding. Far more studies simply did not address funding source within the text. This is an easily remedied shortfall that will strengthen the quality of the research base while providing information for stakeholders reviewing current and potential sources of funding to expand women Veterans' health research.

## **Future Directions**

### *Capturing Ongoing Research*

One of the initial limitations we encountered in developing this literature map was the large quantity of published articles that included women Veterans but did not provide explicit outcome results for women Veterans (instead providing results only for the complete study population). In this situation, study results cannot be directly interpreted and applied by women Veterans' providers and researchers. In fact, we identified over 350 articles that included women Veteran study subjects but were excluded from this review because sex-specific results were not reported. This number approaches the final quantity of included studies in the review. The need for sex-specific reporting of scientific research results has been recognized by both the NIH and the Institute of Medicine. Multiple challenges of sex-specific reporting with respect to study design, statistical analysis, and results reporting exist. Research related to Veterans, which often utilizes the national VA administrative databases, may be more likely to have the statistical power to report subgroup analysis by sex or gender than non-VA health research. Additionally, VA, as a source of research funding, may be positioned to require the inclusion of women and specific results-reporting for women in research studies. Women Veterans' health stakeholders should champion efforts to capitalize on the large body of research in which women Veterans are already participating.

### *Social and Cultural Transitions*

Social and cultural shifts within both the US military and American society will also provide opportunities for expanded research related to women Veterans health. Notable examples include

experiences of LGBT Veterans following the end of the “Don’t Ask, Don’t Tell” policy (2011) and the more recent move to allow openly transgender service members (2016). The expanding role of women in combat following the lifting of the Combat Exclusion Policy (2013) may have significant implications for research related specifically to women with TBI, SCI, and amputations. Increased combat exposure may also result in a higher burden of and shift in the etiology of PTSD among women Veterans. Finally, a transition in the national discussion of sexual assault, including the proliferation of “Affirmative Consent” policies on college campuses, may filter into future research and policy related to Military Sexual Trauma, which has unfortunately affected so many women Veterans.

### *Veteran Engagement*

VA is increasingly seeking to engage Veterans in research by including Veteran stakeholder perspectives in research processes such as development of study questions, selection of outcome measures, and interpretation of findings. None of our included studies described Veteran engagement as a component of their methods. Although several studies incorporated Veterans’ perspectives (*eg*, qualitative input to improve an intervention), they all adhered to a traditional model in which the women were study subjects, rather than research stakeholders or partners.

### **Opportunities for Expanded Reviews**

This broad evidence map identifies and describes 440 articles across 36 healthcare categories and 13 additional elements of study design and presentation. Advancing specific fields of research and the provision of quality healthcare to women Veterans will require additional in-depth reviews of study quality and bias, as well as a synthesis of outcomes, all of which were outside the scope of this review. This evidence map can be used to prioritize additional reviews and meta-analyses of specific determinants of or treatments for specific health conditions or populations.

### **Conclusions**

We reviewed the recent published literature related to all topics in women Veterans’ health. This large and varied body of research represents a growing evidence base that can be leveraged to improve the health of women Veterans. Though significant progress has been made toward achieving the ambitious research agenda set forth during the 2010 VA Women’s Health Services Research Conference, we have identified several persistent knowledge gaps and research shortfalls. VA research and clinical stakeholders can use this evidence map to help direct the future of women Veterans health research.

## ABBREVIATIONS TABLE

CCT	Controlled clinical trial
CINAHL	Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature
DoD	Department of Defense
HSR&D	Health Services Research and Development
LGBT	Lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender
NIH	National Institutes of Health
OEF/OIF/OND	Operation Enduring Freedom/Operation Iraqi Freedom/Operation New Dawn
RCT	Randomized controlled trial
VA	Veterans Affairs

# EVIDENCE REPORT

## INTRODUCTION

Women currently comprise approximately 10% of all living US Veterans. This proportion is projected to rise to 15% by 2035 as the number and proportion of women serving in the US Military continues to increase. The demographics and life experiences of women Veterans are distinct from those of both non-Veteran women and male Veterans. Consequently, women Veterans face multiple unique health and healthcare concerns that were historically underserved by the Veterans Health Administration (VHA). In the past several decades, the provision of high-quality, evidence-based, accessible healthcare for women Veterans has become an increasingly vital strategic priority within VA. A growing body of literature addresses the health and healthcare concerns of women Veterans. The VA Women's Health Research Network, established in 2010, seeks to systematically improve women's healthcare and reduce sex/gender disparities by filling critical knowledge gaps in the evidence base related to women Veterans' health and healthcare needs.

An early review identified the literature related to women Veterans' health published between 1978 and 2004,<sup>1</sup> and a subsequent update evaluated the studies published between 2004 and 2008.<sup>2</sup> For the current evidence map, we identified and examined the published literature related to women Veterans' health from 2008 through 2015. Topic stakeholders were interested in a broad overview of the growth and depth of research on health and healthcare for women Veterans. We framed our evidence map around healthcare topics of interest according to key study characteristics in order to facilitate planning of future VA research, policy and clinical activities in women Veterans' health. The population of interest was US women Veterans. We included all interventions, comparators, outcomes, and settings. Due to the breadth of research included, we did not extract, evaluate, or present study findings. We identified gaps in knowledge and future research needs in the broad field of women Veterans' health, one of the primary aims of an evidence map approach.<sup>3</sup>

## METHODS

### TOPIC DEVELOPMENT

This topic was nominated by Ruth Klap, PhD, Program Manager of the VA Women's Health Research Consortium, VA HSR&D Center for the Study of Healthcare Innovation, Implementation on behalf of the VA Women's Health Services Office of Patient Care Services and the VA Women's Health Research Network. This review updates the Systematic Review of Women Veterans Health Research 2004-2008.<sup>2</sup>

### SEARCH STRATEGY

We searched MEDLINE (Ovid), CINAHL, and the HSR&D database for articles published from 2008 to December 2015. Our search was limited to studies enrolling adults and published in the English language. The search included the MeSH terms Women; Women's Health; Women's Health Services; Veterans; Veterans' Health; and Hospitals, Veterans. The full search strategy is presented in Appendix A. We also obtained additional articles by reviewing references lists of relevant systematic reviews identified from our literature search.

### STUDY SELECTION

Abstracts (2,276) were independently reviewed by a trained investigator or research associate. Given the number of abstracts and the minimal exclusion criteria, we chose to dual review a randomly selected 20% sample. Most abstracts were either excluded for very clear reasons (*eg*, our "VA" search criteria produced many studies related to "visual acuity" or "vertebral artery") or else forwarded on for full-text review. Our exclusion criteria were as follows:

- Studies that were not relevant to health/ healthcare
- Studies that did not include women US Veterans
- Studies that only included active duty members of the military
- Case reports, letters, meeting abstracts, dissertations, editorials, narrative or systematic reviews, conceptual frameworks, and protocols
- Studies that included a very small proportion or absolute number of women Veterans
  - If total n < 100, excluded if proportion women < 10%
  - If total n = 100-1000, excluded if proportion women < 5%
  - If total n > 1000, accepted studies with any proportion of women
- Studies in which the proportion of Veterans is less than 75% and the article does not explicitly address the results of the study for Veterans
- Studies in which the proportion of women is less than 75% and the article does not explicitly address the results of the study for women

We excluded 1,092 references at the abstract stage. Full-text reports of the remaining 1,184 references identified as potentially eligible were obtained for further review using the exclusion criteria described above. Each article was independently reviewed by an investigator or research associate. A second reviewer independently reviewed a random 10% sample of studies and any additional studies that the original reviewer had questions about. If the 2 reviewers disagreed, a "group arbitration" system was used. We noted reasons for excluding a study at full-text review.

## **DATA ABSTRACTION**

We stratified our evidence map by 14 characteristics: 1) healthcare category, 2) study design, 3) number of participants, 4) proportion women, 5) population characteristics reported, 6) presence of special populations, 7) follow-up/duration, 8) research setting, 9) use of administrative database, 10) period of service, 11) Veteran engagement, 12) population, 13) outcomes reported, and 14) funding source. This information was extracted onto evidence tables by one investigator or research associate. For a randomly selected 10% sample of included studies, extraction was verified by a second researcher. Discrepancies were infrequent and when present with resolved by group discussion. To ensure consistency in selection of categories within a characteristic, an additional reviewer evaluated all included studies in categories that were inherently subjective (particularly “other” categories) and these were then double-reviewed by a second investigator. The principal investigator also performed additional checks while summarizing the findings by extracted categories.

A description of each of the study characteristics is presented at the start of the Results section.

## **RISK OF BIAS ASSESSMENT**

We did not rate the risk of bias of individual studies.

## **DATA SYNTHESIS**

We summarize studies by category of healthcare, study design, year of publication, sample size, proportion of women in the study sample, and funding source. We present our analysis as a broad literature map without commenting on the results or findings of individual studies.

## **RATING THE BODY OF EVIDENCE**

We did not rate the strength of evidence.

## **PEER REVIEW**

A draft version of this report was reviewed by content experts as well as clinical leadership. Reviewers' comments and our responses are presented in Appendix B and the report was modified as needed.

# RESULTS


## LITERATURE FLOW

We reviewed 2,276 abstracts: 2,125 from MEDLINE, 65 from CINAHL, and 86 from the HSR&D database (Figure 1). We excluded 1,092 abstracts and reviewed the full text of 1,184 references. During full-text review we excluded 750 articles leaving 434 eligible for inclusion. In addition, we reviewed the original studies cited in the 11 excluded systematic reviews and identified 5 references that were eligible but not identified by our literature search. During peer-review of the draft of this report, one more reference was identified. The total number of included references was 440.

Of the included references 208 were categorized as pertaining to Mental Health,<sup>4-210,460</sup> 78 Medical,<sup>211-288</sup> 13 Long-term Care/Aging,<sup>289-301</sup> 24 Reproductive Health,<sup>302-325</sup> 18 Prevention/Screening,<sup>326-342,461</sup> 31 Healthcare Organization and Delivery,<sup>343-372</sup> 3 Rural Health,<sup>373-375</sup> 24 Access and Utilization,<sup>376-399</sup> 18 Post-deployment Health,<sup>400-417</sup> 12 Homelessness,<sup>418-429</sup> and 11 Other.<sup>430-439,462</sup>

Nearly half (362/750 or 48%) of studies excluded during full-text review were excluded because study results were not explicitly provided for women. Only a small proportion (64/750 or 8.5%) was excluded because the study included too few women or because the authors did not provide results for Veterans (34/750 or 4.5%).

**Figure 1: Literature Flow Chart**



## OVERVIEW OF EXTRACTED DATA

### Healthcare Categories

With input from the topic stakeholders, we established 36 healthcare categories of interest (Table 1). Recognizing the potentially infinite methods for sub-dividing this broad literature, we defined and then refined our healthcare categories to maximally correspond to the topics identified as research priorities by the topic stakeholders, the topics of particular interest within VA generally, and to the actual topics found in the literature. Operationally, our 36 healthcare categories map closely to the Women's Health Research Network Strategic Priority Areas (Table 2). Notable differences include an inventory of specific medical and mental health conditions. We also separated articles addressing a clinical condition from those related to access, organization, or delivery of care for that condition. Finally, we created an "Other" category for studies that didn't fit into any of the 35 remaining categories (*eg*, studies on unemployment or overall mortality assessments).

Each included study was designated by one primary category. Category descriptions are included below, under "Summary of Findings: Healthcare Categories."

For studies that crossed multiple healthcare categories, we attempted to identify the primary focus of the study and categorize it under a single condition. If a study clearly did not belong to a single category, it was placed in one of 3 "multiples" categories: Multiple Mental Health Diagnoses, Mental Health Comorbid with Non-mental Health, or Comorbid Medical Conditions. All studies grouped in these categories were re-reviewed by at least 3 researchers to ensure that a single primary focus could not be identified. Though we ultimately counted these studies within "multiples" categories for the purposes of quantitative reporting in tables and figures, we also included descriptions of these studies in the Summary of Findings (below) under each healthcare category to which they pertained.

The 3 "multiples" categories are distinct from the 3 "Other" categories (Other Mental Health Topics, Other Medical Conditions, and Other), which were reserved for single-topic studies that did not fit into any of our identified categories.

Studies of prevention or screening were categorized as Prevention/Screening rather than by medical condition (*ie*, a study of screening for breast cancer was categorized as Prevention/Screening, not Cancer). Similarly, studies that related to medical or mental health topics but primarily addressed issues of healthcare organization and delivery (including care coordination and delivery of primary care, mental health, and emergency care), access and utilization, homelessness, or post-deployment health were placed in the latter groupings. As above, we also included text descriptions of these studies under each medical or mental health topic to which they pertained.


**Table 1. Healthcare Categories**

<b>Healthcare Category</b>		<b>Number of Studies<sup>a</sup></b>
<b>Mental Health</b> <b>Total: 208 articles</b>	PTSD and trauma	71
	Military sexual trauma	37
	Substance abuse	20
	Depression and anxiety	4
	Suicide	13
	Intimate partner violence	9
	Disordered eating	5
	Reproductive mental health	4
	Serious mental illness	3
	Personality disorders	0
	Other mental health topics	3
	Multiple mental health diagnoses	16
	Mental health comorbid with non-mental health	23
<b>Medical Conditions</b> <b>Total: 78 articles</b>	Cardiovascular disease	11
	Obesity	9
	Chronic pain	7
	Cancer	6
	Traumatic brain injury	5
	HIV/AIDS	5
	Tobacco	6
	Multiple sclerosis	4
	Diabetes	3
	Spinal cord injury	1
	Traumatic amputations	1
	Hypertension	0
	Comorbid medical conditions	7
Other medical conditions	13	
<b>Reproductive Health</b>		24
<b>Long-term Care/Aging</b>		13
<b>Prevention/Screening</b>		18
<b>Access and Utilization</b> <b>Total: 24 articles</b>	Barriers and facilitators of care	13
	Healthcare utilization	11
<b>Rural Health</b>		3
<b>Healthcare Organization and Delivery</b> <b>Total: 31 articles</b>	Comprehensive and primary care delivery	16
	Mental healthcare delivery	9
	Emergency care delivery	3
	Virtual or telehealth care delivery	3
<b>Homelessness</b>		12
<b>Post-deployment Health</b>		18
<b>Other</b>		11
<b>TOTAL NUMBER OF INCLUDED STUDIES</b>		440

<sup>a</sup> Each study included once

**Table 2. Mapping of Strategic Priority Areas with Evidence Map Health Care Categories**

Women’s Health Research Network Strategic Priority Areas	Evidence Map Healthcare Categories
Access to Care and Rural Health	Access and Utilization <i>Barriers and Facilitators of Care</i> <i>Healthcare Utilization</i> Rural Health
Primary Care and Prevention	Prevention/Screening Obesity Hypertension Tobacco Comorbid Medical Conditions Cancer Other Medical Conditions Healthcare Organization and Delivery <i>Comprehensive and Primary Care Delivery</i> <i>Virtual or Telehealth Care Delivery</i>
Mental Health	PTSD and Trauma Military Sexual Trauma Substance Abuse Depression and Anxiety Suicide Intimate Partner Violence Disordered Eating Reproductive Mental Health Serious Mental Illness Personality Disorders Other Mental Health Topics Multiple Mental Health Diagnoses Mental Health Comorbid with Non-mental Health Healthcare Organization and Delivery <i>Mental Healthcare Delivery</i>
Post-deployment Health	Post-deployment Health (includes readjustment, resilience, and well-being)
Complex Chronic Conditions/Aging and Long-term Care	Long-term Care/Aging (includes osteoporosis and dementia) Homelessness Diabetes Cardiovascular Disease Chronic Pain Spinal Cord Injury (SCI) Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) Traumatic Amputation Multiple Sclerosis HIV Healthcare Organization and Delivery <i>Emergency Care Delivery</i>
Reproductive Health	Reproductive Health
	Other



## Study Design

We classified studies as one of 5 study designs (Table 3). Observational (other) included retrospective cohort studies, cross-sectional studies, case-control studies, and surveys.

**Table 3. Study Designs**

Study Design	Number of Studies (%)
RCT/CCT	8 (2%)
Secondary or sub-group analysis of RCT/CCT	12 (3%)
Observational (Prospective cohort)	23 (5%)
Observational (Other)	375 (85%)
Qualitative	22 (5%)
TOTAL	440

RCT = randomized controlled trial; CCT = controlled clinical trial

## Number of Participants

Studies were categorized by the number of participants (Table 4). In the majority of studies, the participants were women Veterans. However, where providers or clinic administrators were the focus, the number of providers or administrators was documented. The study with number of participants “not applicable” was a study of facilities.<sup>141</sup>

**Table 4. Number of Participants**

Number of Participants	Number of Studies (%)	
	Studies Enrolling Patient	Studies of Clinics/Providers <sup>a</sup>
n < 100	52 (12%)	7 (54%)
n = 100 to 1,000	126 (30%)	5 (38%)
n > 1,000	249 (58%)	0 (0%)
Not applicable	0 (0%)	1 (8%)
TOTAL	427	13

<sup>a</sup> Studies of Clinics/Providers that did not also include patients

## Proportion of Women, Race, Age

For the 427 studies that enrolled women Veterans as participants, we documented the proportion of women and whether race and age was reported (Table 5).



**Table 5. Proportion of Women, Race, and Age for Women Veteran Participants**

<b>Proportion of Women</b>	<b>Number of Studies (%)</b>
Less than or equal to 10%	86 (20%)
11% to 50%	119 (28%)
51% to 99%	32 (7%)
100%	187 (44%)
Not reported	3
TOTAL	427
<b>Race Reported in Women</b>	<b>Number of Studies (%)</b>
Yes	278 (65%)
No	149 (35%)
TOTAL	427
<b>Age Reported in Women</b>	<b>Number of Studies (%)</b>
Yes	303 (71%)
No	124 (29%)
TOTAL	427

### Research Setting

Studies were categorized according to where the research was conducted (Table 6). Studies that recruited and/or collected data from participants in the community (*ie*, outside of a healthcare setting) were categorized as “Non-healthcare based.” The category “Multiple” was assigned to studies that utilized both VA and non-VA databases (*eg*, state mortality data, Area Resource File), enrolled both VA and non-VA patients, or combined data collected from patients directly (*eg*, in clinic, via telephone) with data obtained from administrative databases.

**Table 6. Research Setting**

Research Setting	Number of Studies (%)
Single-site VA (hospital or clinic)	70 (16%)
Multi-site VA (hospital or clinic)	95 (22%)
Administrative database – VA	179 (41%)
Non-VA healthcare setting	5 (1%)
Non-healthcare based	72 (16%)
Multiple	19 (4%)
TOTAL	440

VA = Veterans Affairs

### Special Populations

We identified 64 studies enrolling and explicitly addressing populations of particular interest to VA stakeholders and researchers and described them as “special populations” (Table 7).

**Table 7. Special Populations**

Population	Number of Studies
Incarcerated	1
Lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender (LGBT)	14
Racial or ethnic minorities	12
Homeless	19
Non-VA users	13
Physically disabled	5

### Follow-up/Study Duration

Prospective studies (8 RCTs/CCTs and 23 prospective cohort studies) were categorized by the length of follow-up (Table 8). We do not report follow-up length for other study designs.

**Table 8. Length of Follow-up**

Length of Follow-up	Number of Studies
Less than 1 month	1
1 to less than 6 months	5
6 to 12 months	15
Greater than 12 months	8
Not reported	1

### Administrative Database Study

We documented whether the study was conducted using VA administrative database and electronic health record data (Table 9). This may have been the sole source of data or a supplemental source (*eg*, in addition to a survey).

**Table 9. Administrative Database**

Administrative Database Study?	Number of Studies (%)
Yes	211 (48%)
No	229 (52%)
TOTAL	440

### Period of Service

The period of service for included Veterans was noted (Table 10). “Not specified/multiple” included studies that enrolled Veterans from any service period.

**Table 10. Period of Service**

Period of Service	Number of Studies (%)
OEF/OIF/OND/Persian Gulf	95 (22%)
Vietnam	12 (3%)
World War II	0 (0%)
Not specified/multiple	333 (76%)
TOTAL	440

OEF = Operation Enduring Freedom; OIF = Operation Iraqi Freedom; OND = Operation New Dawn

## Population

We identified whether the enrolled population was patients, clinics, providers, or policy makers (Table 11). “Other” was selected for a study that involved an expert panel (clinicians, researchers, educators, and policymakers) to develop a smoking cessation program and then piloted the program on a group of patients.<sup>251</sup> For “Population,” a study could be included under more than one category.

**Table 11. Population**

Population	Number of Studies
Patients	427
Clinics	19
Providers	17
Policy makers	0
Other	1

## Outcomes Reported

Outcomes were identified as clinical, resource utilization (eg, hospital or clinic visits, or treatment use and access to care), costs, or other (Table 12). Clinical outcomes were broadly defined as those related to disease burden, experiences, treatment, diagnosis, access to care, or disability status. We also included knowledge, awareness and beliefs about clinical and health-related social conditions and experiences (including interpersonal relationships, homelessness, unemployment, prostitution, sexual harassment and assault, deployment/trauma exposures, post-military transitions) under “clinical” outcomes. “Other” outcomes included measures related to healthcare delivery or organization, outcomes intended to refine or evaluate research methods or measures (including construct and test validity and implementation outcomes), and chemical and genetic biomarkers not typically used in clinical practice. A study could be included in more than one category.

**Table 12. Outcomes Reported**

Outcomes Reported	Number of Studies
Clinical	386
Resource utilization	159
Costs	11
Other	34

## Funding Source

The source or sources of funding for the study were documented (Table 13). A study could be included in more than one category.

**Table 13. Funding Source**

Funding Source	Number of Studies
VA	302
DoD	29
Other Government (eg, National Institutes of Health)	65
Foundation	24
Industry	4
University	18
Not Reported	90
Unfunded	7

VA = Veterans Affairs; DoD = Department of Defense

## SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

We developed an evidence map of the literature related to women Veterans' health that was published from 2008 to 2015. Characteristics of studies in each of the Healthcare Categories and for expanded Mental Health and Medical categories are presented in Appendix C, Tables 1 to 3.

An overview of the included studies is presented in Figure 2. Each dot represents one study. Studies are categorized by healthcare category (columns) and sample size (rows). The color of the dot indicates the study design (see Figure footnotes). A filled dot indicates that the study enrolled only women; an open dot indicates that the study enrolled fewer than 100% women. An expanded view of the Mental Health and Medical categories is presented in Appendix C, Figures 1 and 2.

Using the extracted data listed above, we provide summary descriptions below by healthcare category, design, publication year, sample size, percentage of women in the study sample, and funding source.



## Healthcare Categories

### *Mental Health*

Nearly half of the studies identified by our search and review addressed mental health (208/440 or 47%). This proportion was relatively consistent with the previous review,<sup>2</sup> in which 44% (85/195) of the articles identified pertained to psychiatric or mental health issues. Eighty-one percent (169/208) of the mental health articles we reviewed were categorized into 11 *a priori* designated primary mental topics, as well as an “Other” category for those that did not fit into the above. The other 19% (39/208) of the mental health studies were grouped into one of 2 combined categories that included studies of multiple mental health topics (16/208) or studies of mental health topics comorbid with non-mental health topics (23/208). An additional 16 articles address the organization and delivery of mental healthcare,<sup>349,352,353,356,359,360,369-371</sup> access and utilization of mental healthcare,<sup>378,383,385,387,393,395</sup> and issues regarding mental healthcare for rural populations,<sup>375</sup> specifically, and are described under each of those categories, respectively.

### *Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) and Trauma*

Of the mental health studies identified, the most common topic was post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and trauma (71/208 or 34%). While the overwhelming majority of these were observational studies (including 4 prospective cohort studies), there was one small ( $n < 100$ ), VA-funded qualitative study on perspectives of family programs to support reintegration of Veterans returning from OEF/OIF deployment with PTSD.<sup>80</sup> In that paper, 34% (16/47) of included Veterans were women.

Nine papers presented the primary findings (3) or secondary analyses (6) of clinical trials related to PTSD and trauma. Three small to moderate-sized ( $n < 1000$ ) trials of short to moderate duration ( $\leq 1$  year) primarily tested evidence-based PTSD therapies or cognitive-behavioral interventions and reported clinical and healthcare delivery outcomes.<sup>51,88,95</sup> All 3 were published in 2014 or 2015, 2 of 3 studies were performed entirely with female Veterans, and one involved multiple VA sites. None of the primary trials was VA-funded, but all 6 secondary analyses received VA and DoD funding. Notably, all 6 secondary analyses<sup>103,125-129</sup> were based on the same RCT of cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) for PTSD in women,<sup>440</sup> which was published in 2007 (and described in the previous review), and all were authored or co-authored by the original study's primary author. The 2007 RCT was a multi-site trial of women Veterans funded by the VA.

Of the 71 PTSD and trauma papers, 4 specifically addressed issues related to subpopulations of particular interest. Two of those highlighted racial and ethnic minorities,<sup>77,108</sup> one addressed homeless Veterans,<sup>99</sup> and the last paper addressed factors associated with VA utilization.<sup>93</sup> Several additional studies addressed PTSD comorbid with other mental health diagnoses (*ie*, personality disorder,<sup>195</sup> depression,<sup>202</sup> or substance abuse<sup>207</sup>). A secondary analysis of a small ( $n < 100$ ), short ( $< 6$  mo) yoga intervention for PTSD, which evaluated alcohol and drug abuse risk is included in the “Multiple Mental Health” category.<sup>208</sup>

Seven additional studies concerning PTSD in relation to non-mental health issues (*ie*, contraceptive use,<sup>173,174</sup> cervical cancer screening,<sup>190</sup> physical health symptoms,<sup>188</sup> urinary incontinence,<sup>169</sup> pain,<sup>182</sup> or receipt of psychotherapy visits<sup>172</sup>) were categorized under the heading “Mental Health Comorbid with Non-Mental Health.” These studies were all observational.

Two additional studies assessed screening for PTSD in a cohort of Iraq and Afghanistan veterans<sup>333</sup> or among sleep apnea patients with PTSD.<sup>330</sup> These articles are described under the heading “Prevention and Screening.”

### *Military Sexual Trauma (MST)*

The next most common mental health topic was military sexual trauma (MST) (37/208 or 18% of MH studies). Most studies were observational. Seven of these were prospective cohorts, though only one involved a large cohort ( $n > 1000$ ) and that study was majority men ( $\leq 10\%$  female).<sup>57</sup> Some cohort follow-up extended to one year but most was less than 6 months. There were also 3 large ( $n > 1000$ ) observational studies that were 100% women, which used either administrative data<sup>16,54</sup> or multiple VA sites.<sup>30</sup> Notably, 3 studies specifically addressed MST in homeless populations,<sup>22,48,68</sup> and one study addressed MST in LGBT populations.<sup>6</sup> One qualitative study of 19 OEF/OIF women Veterans explored how women Veterans cope with combat and MST.<sup>29</sup> Another qualitative study used individual interviews with 7 women Veterans to review the implications of pregnancy resulting from rape during military service.<sup>460</sup>

We identified one small ( $n < 100$ ), VA-funded multi-site RCT of Cognitive Processing Therapy or CPT (as compared to Present-Centered Therapy or PCT) for Veterans with PTSD from MST.<sup>39</sup> The follow-up for participants was 6-12 months and the study included 85% women. A secondary analysis of that study, which was also VA-funded, evaluated quality of life and psychosocial functioning measures for the study participants.<sup>53</sup>

### *Substance Abuse*

There were 20 studies primarily related to substance abuse. All were observational, and one study each addressed the following subpopulations: LGBT Veterans,<sup>152</sup> racial/ethnic minorities,<sup>165</sup> or non-VA users.<sup>143</sup> There were 8 additional studies in combination categories that addressed substance abuse along with other health problems, such as diabetes,<sup>194</sup> non-cardiac surgery,<sup>171</sup> or contraceptive use.<sup>173,174</sup> One of these was a secondary analysis of a clinical trial of yoga that evaluated substance abuse risk among Veterans with PTSD described under “Multiple Mental Health.”<sup>208</sup>

### *Depression and Anxiety*

Very few studies primarily addressed depression ( $k = 3$ ) or anxiety ( $k = 1$ ) disorders. All 4 were large ( $n > 1000$ ) observational, administrative database studies that included  $< 15\%$  women. One looked at depression in older Veterans (5.4% women),<sup>7</sup> 2 addressed medication use and side effects (Li 2011 – 8.7% women; Mohamed 2009 – 13% women),<sup>26,33</sup> and the last looked at racial and ethnic associations with utilization of care (14.4% women).<sup>8</sup> An additional 8 observational studies addressed depression comorbid or in combination with other mental health<sup>202</sup> or non-mental health conditions.<sup>169,175,182,183,186,190,192</sup> No additional studies related to anxiety were identified.

### *Suicide*

Thirteen studies addressed suicide. One was a qualitative study of 19 OEF/OIF women Veterans' deployment experiences and suicide risk factors.<sup>177</sup> The remaining 12 were observational studies with  $\leq 50\%$  women.<sup>134,142,144-146,153-156,179,189,193</sup> Many related to firearm access and use and none specifically addressed vulnerable populations such as LGBT, minority, or homeless women.

*Intimate Partner Violence (IPV)*

There were 9 studies of intimate partner violence, all of which included only women Veterans. Two qualitative studies included either semistructured interviews with women and providers<sup>11</sup> or focus groups with women Veterans<sup>17</sup> about experiences and preferences for IPV screening, detection, and care. The remaining 7 observational studies were largely survey-based, VA-funded research studies that evaluated screening tools, risk factors, and associated mental health and physical health outcomes and needs.<sup>9,10,12,18-21</sup>

*Disordered Eating*

There were 5 moderate to large (n > 100) observational studies on disordered eating,<sup>13,15,27,31,32</sup> 2 of which included 100% women Veterans.<sup>13,31</sup>

*Reproductive Mental Health*

One small survey study (n = 68) assessed the perceived association between mental health concerns and the reproductive lifecycle among women Veterans receiving psychiatric care within a VA women's health clinic.<sup>206</sup> There also were 3 large (n > 1000), 100% female, administrative database observational studies related to reproductive mental health issues. One evaluated gynecologic and sexual health diagnoses associated with mental health diagnoses,<sup>109</sup> another looked at the association between PTSD and pre-term birth.<sup>130</sup> The last study assessed the association between pregnancy and MH diagnoses.<sup>118</sup>

*Serious Mental Illness (SMI)*

There were 3 large (n > 1000), ≤ 50% female observational studies related to serious mental illness. All 3 focused on describing gender differences: 2 compared medication prescribing using the VA administrative database<sup>135,161</sup> and one used a survey to compare health-related quality of life for male and female Veterans with SMI.<sup>132</sup>

*Personality Disorders*

We identified no studies focused primarily on personality disorders in women Veterans, though one observational study looked at ethnic differences in personality disorders among women with PTSD<sup>195</sup> and a second observational study evaluated the role of borderline personality disorder and depression in mediating the relationship between sexual assault and BMI.<sup>175</sup>

*Other Mental Health Topics*

Three studies related to mental health fell outside of the *a priori* identified mental health categories reviewed above. These included observational studies related to gender identity disorder,<sup>64</sup> pathologic gambling,<sup>74</sup> and aggression.<sup>71</sup>

*Multiple Mental Health*

There were 16 articles that addressed multiple mental health topics. All but one, a secondary analysis of an RCT (Reddy 2014) were observational studies. Nine studies included only women.<sup>168,195,198,199,202,205,207-209</sup> Seven studies addressed issues related to subpopulations of particular interest including LGBT,<sup>168,197,202,205</sup> racial-ethnic minority,<sup>195</sup> homeless,<sup>199</sup> and

incarcerated<sup>200</sup> Veterans. The secondary analysis evaluated the effect of a yoga intervention on substance abuse risk among women (Veteran and non-Veteran) with PTSD.<sup>208</sup>

### *Mental Health Comorbid with Non-Mental Health*

Twenty-three articles addressed both mental health and non-mental health conditions. The studies were observational with 2 prospective cohort studies.<sup>172,192</sup> Fourteen of 23 included only women.<sup>169,170,173-176,178,180,183,184,186,190,191,194</sup> One study addressed racial-ethnic minority Veterans;<sup>183</sup> none of the others addressed specific subpopulations of interest.

PTSD was the most common mental health condition. Among the 23 studies, 7 addressed PTSD,<sup>169,172-174,182,188,190</sup> and 5 addressed depression and anxiety.<sup>175,186,190,192,371</sup> Other mental health conditions included schizophrenia,<sup>167</sup> mood disturbance,<sup>176</sup> personality disorder,<sup>175</sup> and trauma.<sup>178,180,191</sup>

Many of the studies are also listed below under the non-mental health condition categories “Cancer,”<sup>167</sup> “Chronic Pain,”<sup>178,182</sup> “Diabetes,”<sup>183,186,194</sup> “Cardiovascular Disease,”<sup>183,186,192</sup> and “HIV/AIDS.”<sup>192</sup> Other non-mental health conditions included urinary symptoms,<sup>169,170,180</sup> non-cardiac surgery,<sup>171</sup> cervical cancer screening,<sup>190</sup> contraceptive use,<sup>173,174</sup> toxoplasma gondii,<sup>176</sup> gastrointestinal disorders,<sup>181,184,191</sup> hyperglycemia,<sup>187</sup> physical health symptoms,<sup>188</sup> and headache.<sup>185</sup>

### *Medical Conditions*

We identified 78 articles (78/440 or 18%) that primarily addressed each of 12 *a priori* defined medical conditions, described multiple or comorbid medical conditions, or fell into the “Other Medical Conditions” category. Seventy-five of 78 articles (96%) were observational studies, while 3 qualitative studies addressed chronic pain<sup>265,269</sup> or tobacco use.<sup>250</sup> We found no clinical trials or secondary analyses of clinical trials of any medical conditions related to women Veterans that were published between 2008 and 2015. An additional 19 articles addressed the delivery of comprehensive and primary care<sup>343-345,348,351,354,357,358,362-368,372</sup> or virtual or telehealth care<sup>347,350,355</sup> delivery methods and are described under “Healthcare Organization and Delivery.”

### *Cardiovascular Disease*

Studies related to cardiovascular disease made up the largest group of medical studies (11/78 or 14%). All 11 studies were observational. Four articles included only women, 2 of which reported on the same cross-sectional study of 162 women at a single VA medical center.<sup>219,220</sup> That study, which was primarily National Institutes of Health (NIH)-funded, screened women for peripheral vascular disease and cardiovascular disease risk factors, and assessed knowledge and awareness of cardiovascular disease. Of the other two 100% female studies, both of which were large (n > 1000), one compared risk-adjusted post-operative mortality and morbidity for peripheral vascular surgery among women at VA versus university medical centers.<sup>224</sup> The fourth study, which was a VA-funded national telephone survey, was the only study to specifically address racial and ethnic differences in cardiovascular risk factors among women Veterans.<sup>229</sup>

Seven additional large studies (n > 1000) included a small proportion of women (5 included ≤ 10% women while 2 included 11-50% women). All reported on gender differences or disparities related to cardiovascular risk factors,<sup>223,230,231</sup> acute myocardial infarction,<sup>235</sup> medication use,<sup>233,234</sup> or cardiac catheterization outcomes.<sup>222</sup>

Two additional observational studies, categorized under “Comorbid Medical Conditions” and “Mental Health Comorbid with Non-Mental Health,” respectively, used data from the Veterans Aging Cohort Study to evaluate HIV as a cardiovascular risk factor. One focused on women Veterans to determine whether HIV infection is an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease among women<sup>217</sup> The second assessed whether depression and HIV are risk factors for incident heart failure among Veterans.<sup>192</sup> Two final articles, listed under “Mental Health Comorbid with Non-Mental Health,” reported on a large (n > 13,000) database cohort of 100% women. The first assessed comorbidity rates of depression with coronary artery disease, hypertension, or diabetes<sup>186</sup> while the second described patterns of depression treatment among women Veterans with comorbid heart disease or cardiovascular risk conditions.<sup>183</sup>

### *Obesity*

Nine observational studies related to obesity, all of which included less than 50% women, were published between 2010 and 2015. Four of these reported on bariatric surgery outcomes,<sup>237,238,277,278</sup> while 2 more described alternative weight management interventions, such as the MOVE program<sup>253</sup> or prescription anti-obesity medication.<sup>246</sup> Two studies described weight changes over time following military service,<sup>254,259</sup> and the final study addressed the relationship between BMI and mental health among OEF/OIF Veterans.<sup>255</sup> A tenth study, categorized with the Multiple Sclerosis (MS) studies, reported the prevalence of overweight and obesity among Veterans with MS.<sup>252</sup>

### *Chronic Pain*

Seven studies addressed chronic pain among women Veterans. Most were observational, but 2 were small (n < 100), VA-funded qualitative studies on chronic pain. One used focus groups to describe barriers and facilitators to chronic pain self-management<sup>265</sup> while the second analyzed 15 ethnographic interviews with women Veterans and described beliefs, attitudes, and behaviors related to chronic pain and medical care.<sup>269</sup> Four moderate to large (n > 100) studies compared gender differences related to general chronic pain and pain management among Veterans.<sup>271,275,280,286</sup> The final article also looked at gender differences, though this small (n < 100) pre-post single group study tested a specific intervention (yoga) for chronic low back pain.<sup>274</sup> None of the chronic pain studies focused on subpopulations such as racial/ethnic minorities.

One additional article, grouped with the “Rural Health” articles, studied delivery of care for rural women Veterans with chronic pain and depression or PTSD associated with trauma.<sup>375</sup> Two final observational studies assessed chronic pain comorbid with mental health conditions or trauma, including PTSD and depression<sup>182</sup> or sexual trauma.<sup>178</sup>

### *Cancer*

There were 6 observational studies related to cancer and women Veterans (not including studies focused solely on cancer screening, which are reported separately). All 6 studies were about breast cancer.<sup>218,221,225-228</sup> One study specifically described breast cancer incidence among transgender Veterans,<sup>218</sup> but none addressed other subpopulations such as racial/ethnic minorities or homeless Veterans. We identified no studies of other female-specific cancers, such as cervical or uterine cancer, or other non-gender-specific cancers, such as lung, colon, or hematologic cancers, that provided outcomes for women Veterans. One additional study, grouped with the HIV/AIDS articles, reviewed non-AIDS defining malignancies among HIV and non-HIV

infected Veterans.<sup>240</sup> Another study, listed under “Mental Health Comorbid with Non-Mental Health,” described the use of adjuvant radiation therapy to treat breast cancer in patients with schizophrenia.<sup>167</sup> A final study described gender differences in skin cancer screening practices and attitudes and is listed under “Prevention and Screening.”<sup>341</sup>

### *Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI)*

Five VA-funded, moderate to large ( $n > 100$ ) observational studies addressed TBI in women Veterans. One survey of 100% women Veterans specifically identified those with TBI as a consequence of intimate partner violence,<sup>248</sup> while 2 studies evaluated gender differences in clinical outcomes and associations of deployment-related TBI.<sup>247,249</sup> Two studies did not differentiate the source of TBI: one looked at gender differences in healthcare utilization following TBI<sup>258</sup> while the second studied multisensory impairment in Veterans with mild TBI.<sup>257</sup>

### *HIV/AIDS*

We identified 5 large ( $n > 1000$ ) observational studies related to HIV/AIDS, all with  $\leq 50\%$  women. Two of these reported on changes in HIV testing after a VA policy shift<sup>245,256</sup> while 2 described gender differences with respect to clinical outcomes of HIV infection.<sup>239,241</sup> The last study compared the incidence of non-AIDS defining malignancies among Veterans with and without HIV infection.<sup>240</sup> None of these 5 articles specifically addressed subpopulations of interest such as homeless, incarcerated, or LGBT Veterans.

Three additional studies addressed HIV/AIDS but were grouped under alternative categories for the purposes of this review. The first 2 studies, grouped with the “Comorbid Medical Conditions” and “Mental Health Comorbid with Non-Mental Health,” respectively, discussed whether HIV infection serves as an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease among women<sup>217</sup> and whether HIV and depression are risk factors for incident heart failure among Veterans.<sup>192</sup> Another study, grouped under “Rural Health,” evaluated the use of a novel HIV therapy among rural Veterans.<sup>374</sup>

### *Tobacco*

Five studies addressed tobacco use. Two of these articles described a multi-step project that involved focus groups<sup>250</sup> and pilot testing<sup>251</sup> of a tailored smoking cessation intervention for women. The other 3 large ( $n > 1000$ ) observational studies with a relatively small proportion ( $\leq 10\%$ ) of women described smoking prevalence<sup>242</sup> and healthcare expenditures<sup>266</sup> related to smoking, and analyzed gender differences in smoking and cessation treatment.<sup>272</sup> No studies specifically addressed female subpopulations such as racial/ethnic minorities or homeless or incarcerated Veterans.

### *Multiple Sclerosis (MS)*

There were 4 large ( $n > 1000$ ) VA-funded observational administrative database studies with  $\leq 50\%$  women that addressed multiple sclerosis (MS). Two described a cohort of Gulf War-era Veterans with MS<sup>261,285</sup> while 2 looked at clinical comorbidities (overweight and obesity)<sup>252</sup> or outcomes (falls) associated with MS.<sup>243</sup>

### *Diabetes*

Three large (n > 1000) VA-funded observational administrative database studies about diabetes were identified. Two addressed gender disparities in lipid management among Veterans with diabetes,<sup>232,260</sup> while the third reported mortality among those who initiated specific diabetes medications.<sup>236</sup>

Five additional studies addressed diabetes but were listed under alternative primary categories or combination categories for the purposes of this review. The first study, categorized under “Long-term Care and Aging,” surveyed 327 women to describe the relationship between postmenopausal symptoms and glucose control among women with type 2 diabetes.<sup>299</sup> An additional qualitative study, categorized with the “Healthcare Organization and Delivery” articles, conducted semi-structured interviews with 17 pre-diabetic women Veterans to describe their experiences with a web-based diabetes prevention program.<sup>355</sup> Three large observational studies, categorized under “Mental Health Comorbid with Non-Mental Health” addressed the relationship between diabetes, depression, and substance use disorders.<sup>183,186,194</sup>

### *Spinal Cord Injury (SCI)*

One study addressed spinal cord injury (SCI) among women Veterans.<sup>244</sup> It was a large VA-funded observational administrative database study that included very few (less than 3%) women and described demographic and clinical characteristics of SCI Veterans over time.

### *Traumatic Amputations*

Only one large (n > 1000) observational administrative database study with < 2% women addressed combat amputations among women Veterans.<sup>281</sup> The study compared disability due to PTSD among male and female combat amputees.

### *Hypertension*

We found no studies specifically related to hypertension management or outcomes for women Veterans. Several studies grouped with the “Cardiovascular Disease” articles above did include hypertension as a cardiovascular disease risk factor.

### *Comorbid Medical Conditions*

A disparate group of 7 observational administrative database studies were categorized as addressing multiple medical conditions. Several evaluated the comorbid occurrence of specific medical conditions (HIV and cardiovascular disease,<sup>217</sup> Hepatitis B and C,<sup>215</sup> smoking and pain,<sup>216</sup> insomnia and epilepsy<sup>211</sup>). The remaining 3 studies looked more generally at multimorbidity in the elderly,<sup>214</sup> multisymptom illnesses among OEF/OIF Veterans,<sup>212</sup> or the association of PTSD and substance use with multimorbid medical conditions.<sup>213</sup>

### *Other Medical Conditions*

Thirteen observational studies described medical conditions that did not fit into any of the above categories. Two included only women, and addressed irritable bowel syndrome (IBS)<sup>273</sup> and Vitamin D status.<sup>263</sup> The remaining 11 studies included ≤ 50% women. One small study (n < 100) compared sex-specific immune signatures in Gulf War illness and chronic fatigue syndrome.<sup>284</sup> Two moderate-sized (n = 100-1000) studies that took place at a single VA center

addressed post-dural puncture headaches<sup>270</sup> and *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteriuria.<sup>262</sup> Eight large (n > 1000) studies addressed the following topics: urologic disease,<sup>264</sup> restless leg syndrome,<sup>267</sup> headache,<sup>268</sup> ulcerative colitis,<sup>276</sup> arthritis,<sup>279</sup> epilepsy,<sup>282</sup> podiatric problems,<sup>283</sup> and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS).<sup>287</sup>

### *Reproductive Health*

Twenty-four articles addressed reproductive health issues. There were 2 small VA-funded qualitative studies. One examined women Veterans' perspectives on reproductive life planning using 18 individual interviews<sup>305</sup> and the other described women Veterans' reproductive health preferences and experiences using 5 focus groups.<sup>319</sup> Of the remaining 22 observational studies, 7 included only women and addressed contraceptive use<sup>302,303,306,313-315,325</sup> and 2 addressed infertility.<sup>308,317</sup> Three papers described birth defects or risks of birth defects related to medication use<sup>323,324</sup> or military deployment<sup>304</sup> and 3 papers addressed associations between sexual assault and reproductive health issues.<sup>320-322</sup> We found only one study of sexually transmitted infections<sup>316</sup> and only one study that looked at specific prenatal complications among women Veterans.<sup>309</sup> The remaining 5 VA-funded studies described structural and healthcare delivery issues related to reproductive and prenatal care for women Veterans within VHA.<sup>307,310-312,318</sup> Three studies related to reproductive mental health were described above.

### *Long-term Care and Aging*

We identified 13 articles on long-term care and aging. One of these was a small (n = 33, with 17 women), VA-funded, single-site 6-month RCT of aerobic exercise for mild cognitive impairment.<sup>289</sup> Of the remaining 12 observational studies, 3 addressed osteoporosis screening<sup>290</sup> and treatment<sup>296,300</sup> using VA administrative databases. Four moderate to large (n > 100) studies that included 100% women addressed postmenopausal symptoms and hormone therapy.<sup>293-295,299</sup> One article compared health and mortality between Veteran and non-Veteran participants in the Women's Health Initiative.<sup>301</sup> Another used the National Survey of Women Veterans to describe factors associated with Health Related Quality of Life for Women Veterans, including comparisons between VA users and non-VA users.<sup>291</sup> A single study described the characteristics and experiences of women Veterans who serve as informal caregivers for an elderly or chronically ill family member or friend.<sup>297</sup> The final 2 articles addressed specific medical conditions related to aging: one described associations of radiographic findings of CVD in postmenopausal women<sup>292</sup> while the second reported on herpes zoster incidence among Veterans.<sup>298</sup> One additional study, categorized with the "Comorbid Medical Conditions" articles, addressed multimorbidity in the elderly.<sup>214</sup>

### *Prevention and Screening*

We identified 18 articles on prevention and screening. Four of the 18 report on a single RCT of mammography screening promotion among women Veterans. The first describes the primary outcomes of an NIH-funded study that randomized women Veterans to receive tailored and targeted versus targeted-only interventions to increase mammography screening.<sup>338</sup> The second paper describes a systematic assessment of that study's internal and external validity<sup>329</sup> and the third paper provides a cost-effectiveness analysis of those interventions.<sup>334</sup> The fourth paper used the control group arm of the study to test the predictive power of multiple health behavior theories with respect to screening behavior.<sup>342</sup>

Of the remaining 14 observational studies, 6 were VA-funded studies focused on cancer screening. One small ( $n < 100$ ) study described an educational intervention to improve provider knowledge, attitudes, and comfort-level counseling women in their 40s about breast cancer screening.<sup>327</sup> Two studies addressed colorectal cancer screening self-reporting<sup>332</sup> and adherence.<sup>331</sup> One study looked at skin cancer screening practices and attitudes.<sup>341</sup> The last 2 cancer-screening studies included only women and described the association between organizational factors<sup>328</sup> or mental illness<sup>340</sup> and multiple types of cancer screening. One additional study, grouped with the “Healthcare Organization and Delivery” studies, compared “female-specific” cancer screening rates for “designated women’s health providers” versus non-designated providers.<sup>344</sup> A second additional study, listed under “Mental Health Comorbid with Non-Mental Health,” assessed cervical cancer screening in women Veterans with PTSD or depression.<sup>190</sup>

The other 8 studies report on non-cancer-related preventive health services. Three of these described the association between disability,<sup>335</sup> obesity,<sup>339</sup> or dual use<sup>337</sup> and receipt of preventive health services in general. Only one study during this time period addressed immunizations.<sup>326</sup> One study was about screening for sleep apnea among patients with PTSD.<sup>330</sup> One study reported on the rates of aspirin use for secondary prevention of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease among Veterans dispensed aspirin as a prescription.<sup>336</sup> One study described gender differences in VA-specific universal screening of medical and mental health conditions among returning OEF/OIF Veterans.<sup>333</sup> The last study addressed racial, ethnic, and gender differences in hepatitis C screening and prevalence.<sup>461</sup>

### *Access and Utilization*

There were 24 articles related to access and utilization of healthcare, over a third of which (9/24 or 38%) addressed OEF/OIF populations specifically. Whereas many of the articles ( $k = 159$ ) included in this evidence map reported resource utilization as one study outcome, those described below had a primary focus of access to care or utilization alone.

### *Barriers and Facilitators of Care*

Thirteen articles described barriers and facilitators of access to care. One qualitative study used focus groups of homeless women Veterans to understand barriers to psychosocial care among homeless women.<sup>383</sup> Of the remaining observational studies, 5 specifically addressed the barriers to care among women Veterans with mental health concerns, including a moderate-sized survey of OEF/OIF veterans with likely PTSD, depression, or alcohol abuse that described attitudes that facilitate or limit use of mental healthcare,<sup>378</sup> a small internet survey of women Veterans to determine needs and barriers to seeking mental healthcare within VA,<sup>387</sup> and a large survey of women Veterans with PTSD, depression, neither, or both that assessed barriers to care within VA such as affordability or knowledge of eligibility.<sup>385</sup> The final 2 studies evaluated the determinants of mental healthcare use among returning OEF/OIF Veterans<sup>393</sup> and among VA patients with a diagnosis of depression, anxiety, or PTSD.<sup>395</sup>

Three studies highlighted financial barriers to care, including an analysis of a national survey between 2003 and 2010,<sup>376</sup> a large survey study that compared the relationship between financial barriers to care and health-related quality of life for Veteran and non-Veteran women<sup>388</sup> and a large observational study that examined the relationship between private insurance and the use of VA care.<sup>389</sup> One article assessed delays in care using a large population-based national telephone

survey of women Veterans to assess barriers to timely care and causes of delayed care.<sup>392</sup> Two studies sought to better understand attrition from VA care, including one large national survey of women Veterans who had used VA before<sup>382</sup> and another large observational study that analyzed the association between travel time and attrition.<sup>379</sup> A final study used results from a large national telephone survey of young people to more generally compare access to care among men and women Veterans and non-Veterans.<sup>381</sup>

### *Healthcare Utilization*

Eleven moderate to large ( $n > 100$ ) observational studies quantified and described VA and non-VA healthcare utilization. Several studies described healthcare utilization among new women users of VHA<sup>380</sup> or Iraq and Afghanistan Veterans generally.<sup>377,394</sup> Others assessed the utilization of specific types of care, such as complementary and alternative medicine,<sup>398</sup> or Assertive Community Treatment (ACT).<sup>386</sup> Finally, several articles described the utilization of care by specific subgroups, including American Indian and Alaska Native women Veterans,<sup>397</sup> racial and ethnic minority veterans,<sup>396</sup> transgender<sup>384,390</sup> and sexual minority<sup>391</sup> Veterans, or women Veterans with a recent history of childbirth.<sup>399</sup>

### *Rural Health*

Three observational studies, all published in 2013 and 2014, specifically addressed rural health. One study described the general demographics and access to care of rural women VA users.<sup>373</sup> The other 2 looked at access to specific therapies for rural patients: the first addressed access to care specifically for rural women Veterans with chronic pain and depression or PTSD associated with trauma,<sup>375</sup> while the second evaluated the use of a novel HIV therapy among rural Veterans.<sup>374</sup>

A fourth study, grouped with the “Access and Utilization” articles, described travel time barriers for Veterans.<sup>379</sup>

### *Healthcare Organization and Delivery*

There were 31 studies on healthcare organization and delivery, 45% (14/31) of which were published in 2015. We included studies under this heading if they addressed the organization and delivery of comprehensive and primary care, mental healthcare, emergency care, or virtual or telehealth methods for care delivery. Some articles grouped in this category relate to specific subpopulations or treatments, but most identify overarching challenges, methods, and outcomes related to the delivery of care for women Veterans.

#### *Comprehensive and Primary Care Delivery*

Over half (52% or 16/31) of the articles on healthcare delivery addressed the delivery of high-quality comprehensive and primary care for women Veterans. A single VA-funded RCT of VA providers tested the effects of a 30-minute computerized educational program (“Caring for Women Veterans”) on gender awareness.<sup>362</sup> One small ( $n < 100$ ) VA-funded qualitative study used interviews with providers and administrators to explore more general issues related to delivering primary care to women Veterans within the VA.<sup>345</sup> Another VA-funded study used mixed methods (survey and qualitative interviews) to assess perspectives on healthcare delivery within the VA among a racially and ethnically diverse group of women Veterans.<sup>363</sup> A

retrospective cohort study assessed whether an initial integrated care visit improved subsequent psychosocial service utilization as compared with a standard primary care visit.<sup>372</sup>

The remaining observational studies reported the comprehensive and primary care needs, preferences, experiences, and outcomes of women Veterans in general<sup>354,365-368</sup> or of particular subgroups of women Veterans, including sexual and gender minorities,<sup>358</sup> homeless Veterans,<sup>357</sup> those with serious mental illness,<sup>351</sup> or those from varied military service eras.<sup>364,366</sup> Two articles analyzed the effects of the Women's Health Provider designation on either patient experiences<sup>343</sup> or cancer screening adherence.<sup>344</sup> Finally, the last article reported the results of an expert panel's priority recommendations for delivery of gender-sensitive comprehensive care to women Veterans within VA.<sup>348</sup>

### *Mental Healthcare Delivery*

We identified 9 studies related to the delivery of mental healthcare for women Veterans. Two small (n < 100) VA-funded qualitative studies utilized semistructured interviews with mental health providers and administrators to better describe the organization of mental health services at multiple VA sites<sup>353</sup> or semistructured interviews with Veterans to assess the barriers to enrollment and participation in mindfulness-based interventions for women Veterans.<sup>370</sup> The remaining 7 were observational studies. One study compared women Veterans who use or do not use VA as their main source of healthcare.<sup>360</sup> The other 6 focused on current or future components of mental healthcare delivery within VA. One compared the patients served by specialty versus primary care-integrated mental health services<sup>349</sup> while another assessed whether integrated physical and mental healthcare services were associated with increased depression diagnoses.<sup>371</sup> One study described current national variations in women's mental healthcare delivery arrangements within VA.<sup>356</sup> Two studies identified women Veterans' needs and preferences for mental healthcare delivery: one utilized a cross-sectional, multisite survey at 4 Women's Health – Practice Based Research Network sites<sup>352</sup> and the second used a population-based national telephone survey.<sup>369</sup> The last study surveyed users of VA mental healthcare about their preferences for on-site childcare availability.<sup>359</sup>

### *Emergency Care Delivery*

One qualitative study utilized semistructured interviews with emergency department providers and VA personnel to understand the potential facilitators and barriers to providing quality emergency gynecologic care in VA emergency departments.<sup>441</sup> Two articles described the delivery of emergency care for women Veterans generally,<sup>346</sup> or more specifically for racial and ethnic minority patients.<sup>361</sup>

### *Virtual or Telehealth Care Delivery*

One qualitative study used semistructured interviews with 17 pre-diabetic women Veterans to describe their experiences with a web-based diabetes prevention program.<sup>355</sup> Two observational studies evaluated virtual or telehealth methods for delivering care for women Veterans generally<sup>347</sup> or for transgender Veterans.<sup>350</sup>

### *Homelessness*

There were 12 studies that focused on homelessness. One small qualitative study that included only women used focus groups to describe pathways to homelessness for women Veterans.<sup>419</sup> Of

the 11 remaining articles, 2 moderate-sized (n = 100-1000) studies included only women,<sup>420,428</sup> while 9 moderate to large (n > 100) studies included both men and women. Three of those were limited to OEF/OIF Veterans<sup>418,421,424</sup> while 6 specifically compared male and female homeless Veterans.<sup>422,423,425-427,429</sup>

Seven additional articles addressed homeless populations in the context of mental health<sup>22,48,68,99,199</sup> or healthcare delivery<sup>357</sup> and access<sup>383</sup> issues, and were categorized with those studies for the purposes of this review.

### *Post-deployment Health*

There were 18 studies related to post-deployment health, nearly half of which (8/18 or 44%) were published in 2015. One large (n > 1000; 39% female), VA-funded RCT studied the impact of online expressive writing on readjustment difficulties among OEF/OIF Veterans.<sup>413</sup> Three small qualitative studies, all published in 2014 and 2015, addressed individual,<sup>401</sup> social support,<sup>411</sup> and healthcare provider<sup>409</sup> issues related to post-deployment readjustment.

Two large (n > 1000) studies included only women, and described mortality<sup>407</sup> and health identities<sup>415</sup> following deployment. One study described the general mental and physical health and substance abuse status of returning Veterans.<sup>402</sup> Another study reported the impact of infidelity on combat-exposed Veterans.<sup>406</sup> A third study described psychometric properties of the Post-Deployment Readjustment Inventory.<sup>408</sup>

All of the remaining 9 moderate to large (n > 100) observational studies, composed of < 100% women, sought primarily to compare men and women with respect to specific factors, including deployment stressors<sup>414</sup> and the impact of combat,<sup>400</sup> post-deployment health conditions,<sup>403</sup> utilization,<sup>204</sup> and costs,<sup>410</sup> self-rated health,<sup>416</sup> disability,<sup>412</sup> the prevalence of painful musculoskeletal conditions,<sup>404</sup> and mental health.<sup>405</sup>

### *Other*

Eleven studies did not fit into any of the above categories. One small VA-funded qualitative study used interviews and focus groups with VA patients to describe patient perspectives on proactive medication discontinuation.<sup>436</sup> Two articles addressed issues associated with using VA administrative databases to complete research on women Veterans' health.<sup>439,430</sup>

Four of the remaining 8 large (n > 1000) observational studies addressed overall mortality assessment<sup>437</sup> and patterns,<sup>432</sup> assessed trends in rates and costs of conditions among women Veterans over time,<sup>438</sup> or compared health indicators among military, Veteran, and civilian women.<sup>435</sup> The final 4 studies addressed disparate topics including health disparities among sexual minority Veterans,<sup>431</sup> unemployment,<sup>433</sup> personal medication preparedness,<sup>434</sup> and sex differences in the relationship between military service and functional limitations.<sup>462</sup>

## **Study Design**

### *Randomized or Controlled Clinical Trials (RCT/CCT)*

Of 440 articles identified, less than 2% (8/440) described the primary findings of RCTs, most of which were published since 2013 (5/8 or 63%). The 2 trials published in 2008 were also identified in the previous review.<sup>338,362</sup> Our study therefore identified 6 new trials related to

women Veterans' health. Half of the 8 trials focused on mental health issues, and tested interventions to increase service utilization for PTSD,<sup>51</sup> treat survivors of sexual trauma with or without PTSD,<sup>39,88</sup> or to deliver Cognitive Processing Therapy (CPT) for PTSD using telemedicine.<sup>95</sup> Two of these were multi-site VA trials.<sup>39,95</sup> The other 4 trials included a small ( $n < 100$ ) study of exercise for mild cognitive impairment,<sup>289</sup> and 2 large ( $n > 1000$ ) trials of promotional interventions to increase mammography screening<sup>338</sup> or expressive writing to address post-deployment readjustment challenges.<sup>413</sup> The last trial, the only one that targeted providers, tested an intervention to improve care for women Veteran patients within VA.<sup>362</sup>

Four of the randomized trials were at least partially VA-funded, while the other 4 either did not specify a funding source,<sup>88</sup> or were funded by the National Institute of Mental Health,<sup>51</sup> NIH,<sup>338</sup> or DoD.<sup>95</sup> Three of the trials were conducted entirely with women (2 PTSD and trauma studies and one related to mammography screening).<sup>88,95,338</sup>

### *Secondary Analysis of an RCT/CCT*

Twelve articles (12/440 or 3%) describe secondary analyses of 5 different RCTs. Eight of 12 (67%) relate to mental health topics. Six of these describe analyses related to the Schnurr 2007<sup>440</sup> article about CPT for PTSD,<sup>103,125-129</sup> while the other 2 articles describe psychosocial and QOL outcomes for a study of CPT versus PCT for PTSD<sup>53</sup> or evaluate alcohol and drug abuse risk among women undergoing a yoga intervention for PTSD.<sup>208</sup> One study explored the impact of smoking cessation on pain intensity in smokers with chronic illness.<sup>288</sup> The last 3 articles are related to the Vernon 2008 study<sup>338</sup> of varied interventions to increase mammography screening.<sup>329,334,342</sup>

### *Qualitative Studies*

Five percent (22/440) of the articles we identified were small ( $n < 100$ ) qualitative studies, 68% (15/22) of which included only women. Nearly half (10/22 or 45%) of the qualitative studies were published in 2015. The proportion of qualitative studies that report VA funding, 68% (15/22), was comparable to the overall rate of VA funding (69% or 302/440). Three VA-funded studies used interviews with providers and administrators to understand challenges in delivery of and access to primary,<sup>345</sup> emergency,<sup>441</sup> and mental healthcare<sup>353</sup> to women Veterans. The remaining 19 studies involved interviews or focus groups with Veterans and/or their family members. Six qualitative studies (27% or 6/22) addressed mental health topics, including IPV,<sup>11,17</sup> suicide,<sup>177</sup> MST,<sup>29,460</sup> and PTSD.<sup>80</sup> Three qualitative studies (14% or 3/22) addressed post-deployment health with OEF/OIF Veterans, though none of these reported VA funding. There were also 3 qualitative studies on the general medical topics chronic pain<sup>265,269</sup> and tobacco use.<sup>250</sup> Four of the last 7 articles described patient preferences for the delivery of specific clinical care, including reproductive healthcare,<sup>305,319</sup> medication discontinuation,<sup>436</sup> or web-based diabetes prevention.<sup>355</sup> The other 3 addressed pathways to homelessness<sup>419</sup> and barriers to accessing services for the homeless,<sup>383</sup> or challenges in the delivery of mindfulness based stress reduction.<sup>370</sup>

### *Observational Studies*

Most (398/440 or 90%) of the articles identified by this review were observational studies.

### *Prospective Cohort Studies*

Of the observational studies, 6% (23/398) were prospective cohort studies, 5 of which tracked participants for less than 6 months and 7 of which followed participants for more than one year. Nine of the prospective cohorts utilized VA administrative databases (9/23 or 39%). One small ( $n < 100$ ) cohort prospectively tracked providers through the implementation of a transgender care delivery system<sup>350</sup> while the other 22 prospective cohorts followed patients. Nine (9/22 or 41%) of the patient prospective cohort studies included only women. The only large ( $n > 1000$ ) prospective cohort to include only women was an analysis of Veterans (as compared to non-Veterans) within the Women's Health Initiative.<sup>301</sup> All 8 of the other women-only prospective cohort studies addressed mental health issues. Three small ( $n < 100$ ) prospective cohorts tracked access to care<sup>375</sup> or outcomes<sup>23,89</sup> for women Veterans with a history of trauma. The other 5 moderate-sized ( $n = 100$ -1000) cohorts included women Veterans with mental illness or a history of trauma engaged in treatment or experiencing homelessness. Thirteen (13/22 or 59%) of the patient prospective cohort studies included both men and women subjects. Eight of these were large ( $n > 1000$ ) cohorts of primarily male subjects (all included  $\leq 50\%$  women, most included  $\leq 10\%$  women), while the other 5 were moderate-sized ( $n = 100$ -1000) cohorts, most of which included 11-50% women.

### *Other Observational Studies*


The remaining 94% (375/398) of the observational studies were retrospective cohorts, case-control studies, cross-sectional or survey studies, and other designs. Given the large number of observational studies and often ambiguous descriptions of study design found in the articles, these were all designated as "Other Observational Studies" for the purposes of this review. Nearly half of these observational studies (170/375 or 45%) used VA administrative databases for at least part of their data collection. Forty percent (151/375) included 100% women, which is comparable to the overall proportion of studies that included only women (187/440 or 43%).

### **Publication Year**

The previous review identified a significant increase in publications during the 5-year period from 2004-2008 ( $k = 195$ ) as compared to the prior 25-year period ( $k = 182$ ). A direct comparison to our review period (2008-2015) is not possible, as we excluded studies that pertained only to active duty military members (these were included in the previous review) and our review period overlaps slightly with the previous one (we began our search January 1, 2008; theirs extended through September 2008). As a result, our finding of 440 articles in 8 years (an average of approximately 55 articles per year or  $440/8$ ) should not be directly measured against the 39 average articles per year ( $195/5$ ) reported in the last review.

However, the change in number of articles published per year over the 8 years included in this review was notable (Figure 3). The first half of our review period, 2008-2011, saw 135 publications, whereas the second half, 2012-2015, produced more than double that number ( $k = 305$ ). In fact, there were more articles published in 2015 alone ( $k = 101$ ) than in 2008, 2009, and 2010, combined. The increase in publications in recent years can be at least partially attributed to VA HSR&D-funded journal supplements in 2011, 2013 and 2015.<sup>442-444</sup>

**Figure 3. Number of Papers Published by Year and Healthcare Category**



**Mental Health** includes the following healthcare categories: PTSD and trauma, military sexual trauma, substance abuse, depression, intimate partner violence, personality disorders, anxiety, suicide, disordered eating, reproductive mental health, serious mental illness, multiple mental health diagnosis, other mental health, mental health comorbid with non-mental health


**Medical** includes the following healthcare categories: Chronic pain, cancer, spinal cord injury, traumatic brain injury, HIV/Aids, multiple sclerosis, tobacco, obesity, diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, traumatic amputations, comorbid medical conditions, other medical conditions, post-deployment health, long-term care and aging, reproductive health, prevention and screening

**Healthcare** includes the following healthcare categories: Access and utilization, rural health, and healthcare organization and delivery

**Other** includes the following healthcare categories: Other, homelessness

The rate of increase was roughly parallel among mental health (2.3-fold increase from 64 articles in 2008-2011 to 144 in 2012-2015) and medical studies (2.1-fold increase from 25 articles in 2008-2011 to 53 in 2012-2015), but was even more striking among several smaller categories identified as recent strategic research priorities (Figure 4). For example, only 3 articles related to post-deployment health were published between 2008-2011, while 5 times that number (k = 15) were published since 2012. Similarly, articles related to reproductive health increased dramatically from 3 during the first half of our review period to 21 during the second half. All 3 rural health studies were published in 2013 and 2014, and more than 75% (22/29) of the studies on healthcare organization and delivery were published since 2012. Two categories did not follow this pattern. Long-term care and aging did not show a significant change over time (k = 6 for the first half and k = 7 for the second half of the review period) and Prevention and Screening was the only category to demonstrate a drop in research over time (k = 11 for the first half and k = 7 for the second half).

**Figure 4. Number of Publications in Research Priority Areas, 2008-2011 and 2012-2015**



In addition to an increase in research related to priority healthcare categories, there was also an increase in studies related to strategic populations and targeted study designs over time. The number of studies related to OEF/OIF Veterans more than tripled from the first half of the review period (k = 23) to the second half (k = 72). The 2 RCTs from 2008 were captured in the previous review. Of the 6 novel RCTs described in our review, 5 were published between 2013 and 2015. The total number of studies that involved multiple VA sites also increased substantially over time, from k = 26 (2008-2011) to k = 68 (2012-2015).

There were 14 observational studies published in 2010 or later that specifically highlighted issues related to LGBT patients; 12 of these were published since 2012. Half of the LGBT studies related to mental health concerns, while most of the others described healthcare access and delivery issues. Four of these specifically addressed transgender patients.

## Sample Size

Over half of the articles we identified were large studies that reported more than 1000 study subjects (249/440 or 57%), while 30% (131/440) were moderate-sized ( $n = 100-1000$ ) and only 13% (59/440) were small ( $n < 100$ ). One additional study was a study of facilities. Whereas larger studies are more likely to achieve statistical significance (which may contribute to publication bias in favor of these studies), a smaller sample size may be more appropriate for some study designs (such as qualitative studies) or topic areas (such as a randomized trial of psychotherapy). Additionally, large studies that utilize only data from administrative databases are subject to potential limitations in the accuracy of the medical record and very large studies can be “overpowered” to detect statistical significance in the absence of a clinically meaningful difference.

### *Large Studies ( $n > 1000$ )*

Two of the 249 large studies were RCTs: one tested various interventions to improve mammography screening<sup>338</sup> while the second was a VA-funded trial of online expressive writing for post-deployment readjustment.<sup>413</sup> There was also a single secondary analysis of the mammography promotion study.<sup>334</sup> The remaining 246 large studies were observational, 9 of which (9/246 or 4%) were prospective cohort studies. Two-thirds of these (6/9) used VA administrative databases<sup>57,192,236,239,253,254</sup> and two-thirds (6/9) included  $\leq 10\%$  women. Four of the large prospective cohort studies addressed issues of mental health and homelessness, including one study of comorbid depression and HIV.<sup>192</sup> The other 5 cohorts related to HIV,<sup>239</sup> obesity,<sup>253,254</sup> diabetes,<sup>236</sup> or overall mortality within the Women's Health Initiative.<sup>301</sup>

Of the remaining 237 large observational studies, over two-thirds (169/237 or 71%) utilized VA administrative databases. There were 3 large studies (3/249) that included study populations of both patients and providers, all of which were VA-funded studies<sup>16,343,344</sup> that evaluated associations between the designation of Women's Health Providers and outcomes related to patient experience or screening for MST or female-specific cancers.

### *Moderate-sized Studies ( $n = 100-1000$ )*

Most of the 131 moderate-sized studies were observational, except for 3 RCTs (2 related to PTSD<sup>51,95</sup> and one that targeted providers to improve gender-aware healthcare within VA<sup>362</sup>) and 8 secondary analyses of RCTs. Three of the moderate-sized (3/120 or 3%) observational studies also targeted providers to study the factors associated with variations in mental healthcare, osteoporosis screening, or reproductive healthcare for women in the VA.<sup>290,310,356</sup> Ten of the 120 moderate-sized observational studies were prospective cohorts, 4 of which took place at multiple VA sites.<sup>72,99,111,420</sup> Half of the moderate-sized prospective cohorts (5/10) included only women and addressed topics related to mental health or homelessness.<sup>22,35,99,111,420</sup> Of the remaining 110 moderate-sized observational studies, 24 (or 22%) utilized VA administrative databases as data sources.

### *Small Studies (n < 100)*

All 22 of the qualitative studies were small, accounting for 37% of the small studies (22/59). Of the other 37 small studies, there were 4 prospective cohort studies, 3 RCTs, and 2 secondary analyses of RCTs. Three of the remaining small observational studies (6/28 or 21%) used VA administrative databases. Eighteen of the 25 (72%) small observational studies that reported proportion female included only women, which is a higher proportion than the 44% of studies overall that included only women (187/424).

Just under half the small studies (28/59 or 47%) were about mental health topics, whereas only 12% (7/59) addressed medical conditions. Notably, 10 of 59 (17%) small studies addressed healthcare organization and delivery issues (this represents over one-third (34% or 10/29) of the healthcare organization and delivery articles). Ten of the small studies (10/59 or 17%) included providers as study participants (4 of those were qualitative studies that included both patients and providers). This accounted for over half of the 18 total studies that included providers.

### **Proportion of Study Subjects Who are Women**

Of the 424 included articles that reported the proportion of study subjects who are women, 44% (187/424) contained 100% women, 8% (32/424) contained 51-99% women, 28% (119/424) contained 11-50% women, and 20% (86/424) contained  $\leq 10\%$  women. As noted in the Methods section, studies with a total population of  $n < 100$  and less than 10% women were excluded, as were studies with a total population between 100 and 1000 with less than 5% women. These exclusions were created to help focus our evidence map on the literature that included a significant number of women Veterans. Studies that include a higher proportion of women study subjects may be more likely to explicitly address issues relevant to women Veterans. However, women currently comprise 10% of all living US Veterans, so research representative of current US Veteran population demographics is not likely to include a high proportion of women without oversampling this group.

#### *100% Women*

Only 3 of the 187 studies that included only women were RCTs,<sup>88,95,338</sup> and 9 were secondary analyses of RCTs. Notably, 79% of the qualitative studies involving patients (15/19) included only female study participants. Small or moderate-sized studies involving patients were more likely to include only women than large studies. Nearly three-quarters (38/52 or 73% ) of the small ( $n < 100$ ) studies included 100% women, 56% (70/126) of the moderate-sized ( $n = 100-1000$ ) studies and only 32% (79/246) of the large ( $n > 1000$ ) studies.

Medical topic articles were least likely to include 100% women (16/77 or 21%), while studies related to long-term care and aging (10/13 or 77%) and reproductive health (19/22 or 86%) were most likely to include only women. Seventy percent (48/69) of the studies that took place at a single VA site included only women, in contrast to only 55% (47/85) of the studies that took place at multiple VA sites.

Studies that included only women nearly always reported age (180/187 or 96%) and/or race/ethnicity (164/187 or 88%) for female study subjects. This was in distinct contrast to the 237 studies that included some men: only about half of those studies reported age (123/237 or 52%) and/or race/ethnicity (114/237 or 48%) for female study subjects.

### ≤10% Women

Keeping in mind that small ( $n < 100$ ) studies containing less than 10% women and moderate-sized ( $n = 100-1000$ ) studies containing less than 5% women were excluded from this review, it is not surprising that most (75/86 or 87%) of the studies that included  $\leq 10\%$  women were large ( $n > 1000$ ). All but one of the 86 were observational studies and most (69/86 or 80%) utilized VA administrative databases as a data source (in contrast to the 144/338 or 43% of studies reporting more than 10% women that used the VA databases).

### Funding Source

Overall, 69% of the articles (302/440) included in this review described research performed using VA funding. Less than 7% had DoD funding (29/440). Fifteen percent (65/440) reported other governmental funding (such as NIH). A relatively small number of studies reported foundation (24/440 or 5%) or university (18/440 or 4%) funding. Less than 2% (7/440) of studies explicitly stated that they were unfunded (all were observational), and only 4 studies (4/440 or < 1%) reported industry (all pharmaceutical corporation) funding. These were all observational studies related to RLS, osteoporosis, or IBS.

Notably, one-fifth of the articles we identified (90/440 or 20%) did not identify funding sources in the text. Articles about post-deployment health (7/18 or 39%) or homelessness (5/12 or 42%) were most likely not to specify a funding source.

The proportion of studies funded by the VA varied somewhat by healthcare category. Mental health (70% or 145/208) and medical (65% or 51/78) articles were similar to the overall average. However, whereas over 80% of reproductive health (20/24) and healthcare organization and delivery (25/31) articles were VA-funded, only 50% of post-deployment health (9/18) and homelessness articles (6/12) were VA-funded.

Only 4 of the 8 RCTs (50%) received VA funding.<sup>39,289,362,413</sup> Two reported DoD funding, 2 reported other governmental funding, and 2 did not specify. The VA funded 8/12 (67%) of the secondary analysis of RCTs. The secondary analyses were also the most likely to list DoD funding, with 50% (6/12), though these were all related to funding of a single clinical trial<sup>440</sup> and the 6 secondary analysis articles published about that single trial. Qualitative studies were most likely to be VA funded (15/22 or 68%) and least likely to be funded by other governmental bodies, such as the NIH (2/22 or 9%)

## SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

This evidence map organizes and describes the broad field of research related to women Veterans' health published between 2008 and 2015. In the past 8 years, this literature base has grown and developed substantially. In 2010, Bean-Mayberry and colleagues published a systematic review of the women Veterans' health research completed between 2004 and 2008.<sup>2</sup> Their review, presented at the 2010 VA Women's Health Services Research Conference, helped outline the existing knowledge gaps and develop directions for future research. In July-August 2011, *Women's Health Issues* devoted a supplemental issue (Health and Health Care of Women Veterans and Women in the Military: Research Informing Evidence-Based Practice and Policy, Volume 21-4S) to women Veterans' health.<sup>442</sup> An article by Elizabeth Yano and colleagues summarized the outcomes of that conference and set forth an ambitious research agenda.<sup>445</sup> The VA Women's Health Research Network has worked to support and advance this agenda. Whereas many independent researchers from both in and outside of VA contribute to the overall research base in this broad field, our analysis confirms a significant shift in topics and increase in research since 2011.

Our evidence map of the literature published between 2008 and 2015 reveals significant strides in many priority areas, several persistent limitations, and areas to consider moving forward.

## ADVANCES IN KEY RESEARCH PRIORITIES

The 2010 VA Women's Health Services Research Conference resulted in the development of a research agenda with 6 key topic areas, listed below:<sup>445</sup>

- Access to care and rural health
- Primary care and prevention
- Mental health
- Post-deployment health
- Complex chronic conditions/ long-term care and aging
- Reproductive health.

As described in the "Overview of Extracted Data," we utilized an expanded, though parallel array of healthcare categories in creating this evidence map. A complete cross-walk between those categories and the key topic areas that comprise the 2010 Women's Health Services Research Agenda and can be found in Table 2. An additional overarching goal of the research agenda was to begin transitioning from observational studies to interventional research.<sup>446</sup> Cross-agency partnerships and collaborations were sought to help expand financial and intellectual resources for women's health research.<sup>445</sup>

We observed advances in the following key research priorities (Table 14):

**Table 14. Advances in Key Research Priorities**

Research Priority Areas		Notable Advances
Topics	Access to Care/Rural Health Post-deployment Health Reproductive Health  Primary Care Delivery Mental Health	Increased number of publications   More interventional research
Populations	OEF/OIF Veterans  LGBT Veterans  Racial & Ethnic Minorities  Homeless Veterans	New studies specific to Veterans of recent conflicts  Increased articles New transgender focus  Increased articles with a minority focus Identification of minority women in 100% female studies  Increased number of articles with some focused on Veterans of recent conflicts
Research Funding	DoD Funding Other Governmental Funding	Increasingly common sources of funding, including for RCT/CCTs

### Priority Topics

Of these 6 key topic areas, 4 (and a subsection of a fifth) have shown notable growth in the last 8 years. Three smaller topic areas – access to care and rural health, post-deployment health, and reproductive health – demonstrated a significant increase in the number of articles published, with total counts rising up to seven-fold from the first half of our review period to the second half. Studies in these areas were largely observational but included several qualitative studies and one large VA-funded RCT focused on post-deployment health.<sup>413</sup> A fourth key topic area, mental health research, particularly that related to PTSD and MST, has not only grown in numbers, but has also recently begun to shift from entirely observational to include a few interventional studies. To that end, 4 new small to moderate-sized RCTs on PTSD and MST were published between 2013 and 2015. Notably, only one of those trials received VA funding and only 2 were multi-site VA studies. Within the broad area of primary care and prevention, the subsection of research specifically related to the organization and delivery of primary and comprehensive care for women Veterans (categorized under “Healthcare Organization and Delivery” for the purposes of this evidence map), has also advanced considerably in both publication numbers and scope, including several qualitative studies and an RCT.

### Priority Populations

Research addressing priority populations has also increased substantially over the past 8 years. Returning OEF/OIF Veterans have dramatically shifted the demographics of current US Veterans, particularly for women. Over one-fifth (22% or 95/440) of the articles included in this review specifically targeted Veterans from OEF/OIF/OND or the Persian Gulf conflicts. This encompassed nearly all (89% or 95/107) the articles that specified a period of service for included Veterans. Three-quarters of those studies (72/95 or 76%) were published since 2012,

including 2 RCTs and 6 qualitative studies. Nearly one-quarter (23/95 or 24%) of the studies that targeted Veterans of recent or ongoing conflicts included only women.

One of the goals set forth in the 2010 VA Women's Health Services Research Agenda was to increase the knowledge base related to potentially vulnerable populations of women Veterans, including LGBT, racial and ethnic minority, and homeless Veterans. As noted above, most (86% or 12/14) of the observational studies concerning LGBT Veterans were published since 2012, including 4 studies of transgender Veterans and 6 multi-site VA studies. Over 70% (10/14 or 71%) were VA-funded.

Twelve observational articles particularly highlighted issues related to racial and ethnic minorities, 7 of which were VA-funded. Two-thirds of these (8/12) were published since 2012. Many studies (278/440 or 63%) reported race or ethnicity specifically for female study subjects, increasing the potential for secondary or subgroup analyses by race. Studies that included only women (which doubled in number during our review period from  $k = 61$  the first 4 years to  $k = 126$  the second 4 years) were far more likely to identify race and ethnicity for women study participants (164/187 or 88%). None of the qualitative studies, randomized trials, or secondary trial analyses we identified focused on issues related to race or ethnicity.

Research about homelessness has also increased substantially during this review period. We identified 12 studies focused on homelessness, including 3 specifically devoted to homeless OEF/OIF Veterans, as well as 7 studies in other topic areas that specifically addressed homeless participants. Most (14/19 or 74%) were published since 2012.

## Research Funding

Only a small proportion of studies reported non-VA governmental funding sources such as DoD or NIH. However, the number of studies funded by these sources rose steadily throughout the study period, from  $k = 8$  (DoD) and  $k = 25$  (other governmental agencies) in the first half of the review period, to  $k = 21$  (DoD) and  $k = 40$  (other governmental agencies) in the second half. Notably, these funding sources accounted for 4 of the 8 randomized trials we identified.

## SHORTFALLS AND LIMITATIONS OF THE LITERATURE

### Gaps within Specific Healthcare Topics

Despite the advances in 4 of 6 priority topic areas noted above, 2 of the key areas identified within the 2010 Women's Health Services Research Agenda have failed to show significant growth. These include primary care and prevention and complex chronic conditions/long-term care and aging (Table 15). Given the nature of the literature, we subdivided these articles to group studies on prevention/screening, those related to long-term care and aging, and those that addressed specific medical conditions or healthcare organization and delivery. We found that the number of studies related to prevention/screening actually dropped over time from 11 the first half of the review period to 7 the second half, and the single RCT on this topic was captured in the previous review.<sup>338</sup> Most cancer-related screening articles described breast cancer only, and aside from a single study on "female-specific" cancer screening in general, there were no studies related to cervical cancer, which has a prescribed screening regimen that shifted throughout this review period, or ovarian and uterine cancers, which do not. As the female Veteran population begins to age, cancer-related screening research will become even more prescient. There was also only one study related to immunizations.

**Table 15. Shortfalls and Limitations within the Literature**

Research Priority areas		Limitations/Gaps
Topics	Prevention and Screening	Decrease in publications over time Limited focus (primarily breast cancer)
	Long-term Care and Aging	No increase in research Few studies pertinent to medical conditions of aging
	Primary Care Complex Chronic Conditions	Few studies related to common chronic diseases or mental health issues seen in primary care No RCT/CCTs on medical topics
Study Design and Presentation	Experimental Studies	Very few RCT/CCTs
	Comparison Groups	Many studies describe gender differences only
	Funding	A substantial proportion of studies fail to identify a funding source

The number of studies related to long-term care and aging, a historically limited area of research,<sup>447</sup> remained relatively flat throughout the 8 year review period, with 6 published in the first half and 7 the second half (though 4 of these were released in 2015, which may portend a coming increase). Only 3 studies addressed osteoporosis, 3 described postmenopausal hormone therapy, and none addressed arthritis or moderate to advanced dementia. Though there was one RCT related to mild cognitive impairment, it was a small, single-site study published in 2010 that has generated no additional secondary studies or larger trials to date. Nearly 70% of the studies we identified reported the age of female study participants (303/440 or 69%) and nearly all of the studies that included only women did so (180/187 or 96%). Nevertheless, collecting and describing this information does not seem to have translated into secondary or subgroup analyses by age thus far, which may be a first step in the development of knowledge specific to older women Veterans.

We elected not to separate medical topic studies using the categories of primary care and complex chronic conditions due to challenges mapping the literature within these headings. For example, we felt that diabetes research clearly applied to both categories, whereas other common medical conditions, such as cardiovascular disease, were not readily captured by either. Instead, we categorized articles by specific medical condition, and found that relatively little research has been devoted to physical conditions (as opposed to mental health), specifically chronic diseases, that affect women Veterans. For example, only a handful of studies addressed diabetes and none specifically targeted hypertension. Even research related to cardiovascular disease, the broadest group of medical condition studies ( $k = 11$ ), was largely confined to comparisons between men and women. Chronic pain, an area of great need as well as intense scrutiny both within and outside VA at present, produced only 7 studies in 8 years, none of which were multi-site VA studies or evaluated subpopulations such as racial/ethnic minorities, homeless, or incarcerated Veterans. Complex conditions that impact women Veterans, such as spinal cord injury and amputees, were limited to a single large observational study each with a tiny proportion of women study subjects. There were no randomized trials and few qualitative studies related to medical conditions. In addition, we noted that the field of mental health research continues to grow, particularly with respect to specialty mental health treatments for PTSD and MST; there were nearly as many PTSD ( $k = 71$ ) studies as all general medical studies ( $k = 78$ ). However,

mental health conditions most often encountered by primary care providers, including depression, anxiety, and postpartum depression, were largely absent from the literature.

### Shortfalls in Study Design and Presentation

The most obvious study design limitation of the literature base identified in this review is the very small number of experimental studies. We identified only 8 controlled interventional trials over the course of 8 years that related to women Veterans, and 2 of these had already been described in the previous review. Only half of the 8 RCTs were VA-funded and only 3 took place at multiple VA sites.

Another limitation noted in our review, was the proportion of studies that address women Veterans solely in comparison to male Veterans. Describing differences or disparities between female Veterans and the remainder of the largely male VA population has been a necessary initial step in establishing this field. Looking forward, however, we encourage further study of the broad range of patient demographic, health condition, and social determinant characteristics that exists within the population of women Veterans. For example, comparing racial or socioeconomic subgroups of women Veterans across or within health conditions may help identify or describe needs of particularly vulnerable populations. This approach parallels that endorsed by the NIH's Office of Research on Women's Health strategic plan for women's health research.<sup>448</sup> Expanding the outcomes of interest beyond gender differences and disparities will further advance women Veterans' health research.

Finally, a notable finding in our review was the large proportion of studies (1 in 5) that did not report a source of funding. This was a particular problem for the growing categories of post-deployment health and homelessness. Reporting the source of funding and role of the funder is considered a quality standard for both experimental<sup>449</sup> and observational<sup>450</sup> research studies. Though it is possible that much of women Veterans' health research remains unfunded, only a small number of studies specifically identified an absence of funding. Far more studies simply did not address funding source within the text. This is an easily remedied shortfall that will strengthen the integrity of the research base while providing information for stakeholders reviewing current and potential sources of funding to expand women Veterans' health research.

## FUTURE DIRECTIONS

### Capturing Ongoing Research

One of the initial limitations we encountered in developing this literature map was the large quantity of published articles that included women Veterans but did not provide explicit outcome results for women Veterans (instead providing results only for the complete study population). In this situation, study results cannot be directly interpreted and applied by women Veterans' providers and researchers. In fact, we identified over 350 articles that included women Veteran study subjects but were excluded from this review because sex-specific results were not reported. This number approaches the final quantity of included studies in the review. The need for sex-specific reporting of scientific research results has been recognized by both the NIH<sup>448</sup> and the Institute of Medicine.<sup>451</sup> Multiple challenges of sex-specific reporting with respect to study design, statistical analysis, and results reporting exist.<sup>452</sup> Research related to Veterans, which often utilizes the national VA administrative databases, may be more likely to have the statistical power to report subgroup analysis by sex or gender than non-VA health research. Additionally,

VA, as a source of research funding, may have the ability to require the inclusion of women and specific results-reporting for women in research studies. Women Veterans' health stakeholders should champion efforts to capitalize on the large body of research in which women Veterans are already participating.

## Social and Cultural Transitions

Social and cultural shifts within both the US military and American society will also provide opportunities for expanded research related to women Veterans' health. Notable examples include experiences of LGBT Veterans following the end of the “Don't Ask Don't Tell” policy (2011) and the more recent move to allow openly transgender service members (2016). The expanding role of women in combat following the lifting of the Combat Exclusion Policy (2013) may have significant implications for research related to TBI, SCI, and amputees, all areas that are currently lacking for women Veterans. Increased combat exposure may also result in a higher burden of and shift in the etiology of PTSD among women Veterans. Finally, a transition in the national discussion of sexual assault, including the proliferation of “Affirmative Consent” policies on college campuses, may filter into future research and policy related to Military Sexual Trauma, which has unfortunately affected so many women Veterans.

## Veteran Engagement

VA is increasingly seeking to engage Veterans in research by including Veteran stakeholder perspectives in research processes such as development of study questions, selection of outcome measures, and interpretation of findings. None of our included studies described Veteran engagement as a component of their methods. Although several studies incorporated Veterans' perspectives (*eg*, qualitative input to improve an intervention), they all adhered to a traditional model in which the women were study subjects, rather than research stakeholders or partners.

## LIMITATIONS

There are several inherent limitations in a review of such a broad body of literature.

### Study Review and Data Abstraction

Given the large number of abstracts reviewed (2,276), we did not perform a dual review of all abstracts. However, our exclusion criteria were minimal and most abstracts were either excluded for very clear reasons (*eg*, our “VA” search criteria produced many studies related to “visual acuity” or “vertebral artery”) or else forwarded on for full-text review. Additionally, the 20% sample of abstracts that was dual-reviewed did not reveal systematic biases.

We were also limited in our ability to perform a systematic full-text dual review and data extraction by 2 investigators for each of 1,184 articles. However, we utilized a “second reviewer” system for a random sample of studies as well as any additional studies that the original reviewer had questions about, and a “group arbitration” system for studies about which 2 reviewers disagreed. To ensure consistency in definitions, a single additional reviewer was assigned to evaluate all included studies in categories that were inherently subjective (particularly “other” categories) and these were then double-reviewed by a second investigator. The principal investigator also performed additional checks while summarizing the findings by extracted categories. Though limitations remain due to the subjective and overlapping nature of many of the categories of data extracted, we are confident that our final database has been

thoroughly reviewed and represents a best attempt to organize and evaluate this large body of literature.

### **Applicability of Findings to the VA Population**

We included studies of women Veterans both within and outside of the VA health system. Though generally relevant for VA women's health providers and researchers, some included studies may be more pertinent to the VA population than others.

## **OPPORTUNITIES FOR EXPANDED REVIEWS**

This broad evidence map identifies and describes 440 articles across 36 healthcare categories and 13 additional elements of study design and presentation. Advancing specific fields of research and the provision of quality healthcare to women Veterans will require additional in-depth reviews of study quality and bias, as well as a synthesis of outcomes, all of which were outside the scope of this review. This evidence map can be used to prioritize additional reviews and meta-analyses of specific determinants of or treatments for specific health conditions or populations.

For example, we identified (and searched the included studies of) several recent systematic reviews of women Veterans with PTSD,<sup>453-455</sup> substance abuse,<sup>456,457</sup> and mental health concerns in general.<sup>458</sup> Within the field of women Veterans' mental health, however, additional reviews related to military sexual trauma, the delivery of mental healthcare, or integration/coordination with primary care could be considered.

In 2014, Bielawski and colleagues produced a special report updating the previous women Veterans' health systematic review specifically with respect to 5 chronic conditions.<sup>459</sup> Future systematic reviews or meta-analyses could address issues of multimorbidity or primary care for racial/ethnic or sexual/gender minority women Veterans. Post-deployment health and reproductive health are both emerging areas of research for women Veterans that could benefit from focused systematic reviews to help direct future research. Finally, synthesizing the substantial research we identified into the delivery of care for women Veterans, with respect to access, rural health concerns, and organization of care will help inform future policies and care delivery decisions.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

We reviewed the recent published literature related to all topics in women Veterans' health. This large and varied body of research represents a growing evidence base that can be leveraged to improve the health of women Veterans. Though significant progress has been made toward achieving the ambitious research agenda set forth during the 2010 VA Women's Health Services Research Conference, we have identified several persistent knowledge gaps and research shortfalls. VA research and clinical stakeholders can use this evidence map to help direct the future of women Veterans health research.

## REFERENCES

1. Goldzweig CL, Balekian TM, Rolon C, Yano EM, Shekelle PG. The state of women veterans' health research. Results of a systematic literature review. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2006;21 Suppl 3:S82-92.
2. Bean-Mayberry B, Batuman F, Huang C, et al. Systematic review of women Veterans health research 2004-2008. VA-ESP Project #05-226; 2010.
3. Miale-Lye I, Hempel S, Shanman R, Shekelle PG. What is an evidence map? A systematic review of published evidence maps and their definitions, methods, and products. *Syst Rev.* 2016;5:28.
4. Barth S, Kimerling R, Pavao J, et al. Military sexual trauma among recent veterans: Correlates of sexual assault and sexual harassment. *Am J Prev Med.* 2016;50(1):77-86.
5. Bell ME, Street AE, Stafford J. Victims' psychosocial well-being after reporting sexual harassment in the military. *J Trauma Dissociation.* 2014;15(2):133-152.
6. Booth BM, Davis TD, Cheney AM, Mengeling MA, Torner JC, Sadler AG. Physical health status of female veterans: Contributions of sex partnership and in-military rape. *Psychosom Med.* 2012;74(9):916-924.
7. Burnett-Zeigler I, Zivin K, Ilgen M, Szymanski B, Blow FC, Kales HC. Depression treatment in older adult veterans. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry.* 2012;20(3):228-238.
8. Davis TD, Deen TL, Fortney JC, Sullivan G, Hudson TJ. Utilization of VA mental health and primary care services among Iraq and Afghanistan veterans with depression: The influence of gender and ethnicity status. *Mil Med.* 2014;179(5):515-520.
9. Dichter ME, Cerulli C, Bossarte RM. Intimate partner violence victimization among women veterans and associated heart health risks. *Womens Health Issues.* 2011;21(4 Suppl):S190-194.
10. Dichter ME, Marcus SC, Wagner C, Bonomi AE. Associations between psychological, physical, and sexual intimate partner violence and health outcomes among women veteran VA patients. *Soc Work Ment Health.* 2014;12(5/6):411-428.
11. Dichter ME, Wagner C, Goldberg EB, Iverson KM. Intimate partner violence detection and care in the Veterans Health Administration: Patient and provider perspectives. *Womens Health Issues.* 2015;25(5):555-560.
12. Dichter ME, Wagner C, True G. Timing of intimate partner violence in relationship to military service among women veterans. *Mil Med.* 2015;180(11):1124-1127.
13. Forman-Hoffman VL, Mengeling M, Booth BM, Torner J, Sadler AG. Eating disorders, post-traumatic stress, and sexual trauma in women veterans. *Mil Med.* 2012;177(10):1161-1168.
14. Gradus JL, Street AE, Kelly K, Stafford J. Sexual harassment experiences and harmful alcohol use in a military sample: Differences in gender and the mediating role of depression. *J Stud Alcohol Drugs.* 2008;69(3):348-351.
15. Higgins DM, Dorflinger L, MacGregor KL, Heapy AA, Goulet JL, Ruser C. Binge eating behavior among a national sample of overweight and obese veterans. *Obesity.* 2013;21(5):900-903.
16. Hyun JK, Kimerling R, Cronkite RC, McCutcheon S, Frayne SM. Organizational factors associated with screening for military sexual trauma. *Womens Health Issues.* 2012;22(2):e209-215.

17. Iverson KM, Huang K, Wells SY, Wright JD, Gerber MR, Wiltsey-Stirman S. Women veterans' preferences for intimate partner violence screening and response procedures within the Veterans Health Administration. *Res Nurs Health*. 2014;37(4):302-311.
18. Iverson KM, King MW, Gerber MR, et al. Accuracy of an intimate partner violence screening tool for female VHA patients: A replication and extension. *J Trauma Stress*. 2015;28(1):79-82.
19. Iverson KM, King MW, Resick PA, Gerber MR, Kimerling R, Vogt D. Clinical utility of an intimate partner violence screening tool for female VHA patients. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2013;28(10):1288-1293.
20. Iverson KM, Mercado R, Carpenter SL, Street AE. Intimate partner violence among women veterans: Previous interpersonal violence as a risk factor. *J Trauma Stress*. 2013;26(6):767-771.
21. Iverson KM, Vogt D, Dichter ME, et al. Intimate partner violence and current mental health needs among female veterans. *J Am Board Fam Med*. 2015;28(6):772-776.
22. Katz L, Cojucar G, Douglas S, Huffman C. Renew: An integrative psychotherapy program for women veterans with sexual trauma. *J Contemp Psychother*. 2014;44(3):163-171.
23. Katz LS, Cojucar G, Hoff RA, Lindl C, Huffman C, Drew T. Longitudinal outcomes of women veterans enrolled in the renew sexual trauma treatment program. *J Contemp Psychother*. 2015;45(3):143-150.
24. Klingensmith K, Tsai J, Mota N, Southwick SM, Pietrzak RH. Military sexual trauma in US veterans: Results from the National Health and Resilience in Veterans study. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2014;75(10):e1133-1139.
25. Lee EA, Bissett JK, Carter MA, et al. Preliminary findings of the relationship of lower heart rate variability with military sexual trauma and presumed posttraumatic stress disorder. *J Trauma Stress*. 2013;26(2):249-256.
26. Li Z, Pfeiffer PN, Hoggatt KJ, et al. Emergent anxiety after antidepressant initiation: A retrospective cohort study of Veterans Affairs Health System patients with depression. *Clin Ther*. 2011;33(12):1985-1992.e1981.
27. Litwack SD, Mitchell KS, Sloan DM, Reardon AF, Miller MW. Eating disorder symptoms and comorbid psychopathology among male and female veterans. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 2014;36(4):406-410.
28. Luterek JA, Bittinger JN, Simpson TL. Posttraumatic sequelae associated with military sexual trauma in female veterans enrolled in VA outpatient mental health clinics. *J Trauma Dissociation*. 2011;12(3):261-274.
29. Mattocks KM, Haskell SG, Krebs EE, Justice AC, Yano EM, Brandt C. Women at war: Understanding how women veterans cope with combat and military sexual trauma. *Soc Sci Med*. 2012;74(4):537-545.
30. McCall-Hosenfeld JS, Liebschutz JM, Spiro A, Seaver MR. Sexual assault in the military and its impact on sexual satisfaction in women veterans: A proposed model. *J Womens Health*. 2009;18(6):901-909.
31. Mitchell KS, Rasmusson A, Bartlett B, Gerber MR. Eating disorders and associated mental health comorbidities in female veterans. *Psychiatry Res*. 2014;219(3):589-591.
32. Mitchell KS, Wolf EJ, Reardon AF, Miller MW. Association of eating disorder symptoms with internalizing and externalizing dimensions of psychopathology among men and women. *Int J Eat Disord*. 2014;47(8):860-869.

33. Mohamed S, Leslie DL, Rosenheck RA. Use of antipsychotics in the treatment of major depressive disorder in the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. *J Clin Psychiatry*. Jun 2009;70(6):906-912.
34. O'Brien C, Gaher RM, Pope C, Smiley P. Difficulty identifying feelings predicts the persistence of trauma symptoms in a sample of veterans who experienced military sexual trauma. *J Nerv Ment Dis*. 2008;196(3):252-255.
35. Rowe EL, Gradus JL, Pineles SL, Batten SV, Davison EH. Military sexual trauma in treatment-seeking women veterans. *Mil Psychol*. 2009;21(3):387-395.
36. Smith BN, Shipherd JC, Schuster JL, Vogt DS, King LA, King DW. Posttraumatic stress symptomatology as a mediator of the association between military sexual trauma and post-deployment physical health in women. *J Trauma Dissociation*. 2011;12(3):275-289.
37. Strauss JL, Marx CE, Weitlauf JC, et al. Is military sexual trauma associated with trading sex among women veterans seeking outpatient mental health care? *J Trauma Dissociation*. 2011;12(3):290-304.
38. Street AE, Stafford J, Mahan CM, Hendricks A. Sexual harassment and assault experienced by reservists during military service: Prevalence and health correlates. *J Rehabil Res Dev*. 2008;45(3):409-419.
39. Suris A, Link-Malcolm J, Chard K, Ahn C, North C. A randomized clinical trial of cognitive processing therapy for veterans with PTSD related to military sexual trauma. *J Trauma Stress*. 2013;26(1):28-37.
40. Turchik JA, Pavao J, Hyun J, Mark H, Kimerling R. Utilization and intensity of outpatient care related to military sexual trauma for veterans from Afghanistan and Iraq. *J Behav Health Serv Res*. 2012;39(3):220-233.
41. Turchik JA, Pavao J, Nazarian D, Iqbal S, McLean C, Kimerling R. Sexually transmitted infections and sexual dysfunctions among newly returned veterans with and without military sexual trauma. *Int J Sex Health*. 2012;24(1):45-59.
42. Valdez C, Kimerling R, Hyun JK, Mark HF, Saweikis M, Pavao J. Veterans Health Administration mental health treatment settings of patients who report military sexual trauma. *J Trauma Dissociation*. 2011;12(3):232-243.
43. Voelkel E, Pukay-Martin ND, Walter KH, Chard KM. Effectiveness of cognitive processing therapy for male and female U.S. Veterans with and without military sexual trauma. *J Trauma Stress*. 2015;28(3):174-182.
44. Walter KH, Buckley A, Simpson JM, Chard KM. Residential PTSD treatment for female veterans with military sexual trauma: Does a history of childhood sexual abuse influence outcome? *J Interpers Violence*. 2014;29(6):971-986.
45. Castillo DT, J CdB, Qualls C, Bornoalova MA. Group exposure therapy treatment for post-traumatic stress disorder in female veterans. *Mil Med*. 2012;177(12):1486-1491.
46. Castillo DT, Joseph JS, Tharp AT, et al. Externalizing and internalizing subtypes of posttraumatic psychopathology and anger expression. *J Trauma Stress*. 2014;27(1):108-111.
47. Castillo DT, Lacefield K, C'De Baca J, Blankenship A, Qualls C. Effectiveness of group-delivered cognitive therapy and treatment length in women veterans with PTSD. *Behav Sci*. 2014;4(1):31-41.
48. Decker SE, Rosenheck RA, Tsai J, Hoff R, Harpaz-Rotem I. Military sexual assault and homeless women veterans: Clinical correlates and treatment preferences. *Womens Health Issues*. 2013;23(6):e373-380.

49. Erbes CR, Meis LA, Polusny MA, Compton JS. Couple adjustment and posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms in national guard veterans of the Iraq war. *J Fam Psychol.* 2011;25(4):479-487.
50. Fontana A, Rosenheck R, Desai R. Female veterans of Iraq and Afghanistan seeking care from VA specialized PTSD programs: Comparison with male veterans and female war zone veterans of previous eras. *J Womens Health.* 2010;19(4):751-757.
51. Gallegos AM, Wolff KB, Streltsov NA, et al. Gender differences in service utilization among OEF/OIF veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder after a brief cognitive-behavioral intervention to increase treatment engagement: A mixed methods study. *Womens Health Issues.* 2015;25(5):542-547.
52. Hall BJ, Elhai JD, Grubaugh A, Tuerk P, Magruder K. Examining the factor structure of PTSD between male and female veterans in primary care. *J Anxiety Disord.* 2012;26(3):409-415.
53. Holliday R, Williams R, Bird J, Mullen K, Suris A. The role of cognitive processing therapy in improving psychosocial functioning, health, and quality of life in veterans with military sexual trauma-related posttraumatic stress disorder. *Psychol Serv.* 2015;12(4):428-434.
54. Kelly MM, Vogt DS, Scheiderer EM, Ouimette P, Daley J, Wolfe J. Effects of military trauma exposure on women veterans' use and perceptions of Veterans Health Administration care. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2008;23(6):741-747.
55. Kelly UA, Skelton K, Patel M, Bradley B. More than military sexual trauma: Interpersonal violence, PTSD, and mental health in women veterans. *Res Nurs Health.* 2011;34(6):457-467.
56. Kimerling R, Pavao J, Valdez C, Mark H, Hyun JK, Saweikis M. Military sexual trauma and patient perceptions of Veteran Health Administration health care quality. *Womens Health Issues.* 2011;21(4 Suppl):S145-151.
57. Kimerling R, Street AE, Gima K, Smith MW. Evaluation of universal screening for military-related sexual trauma. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2008;59(6):635-640.
58. Kimerling R, Street AE, Pavao J, et al. Military-related sexual trauma among Veterans Health Administration patients returning from Afghanistan and Iraq. *Am J Public Health.* 2010;100(8):1409-1412.
59. Kintzle S, Schuyler AC, Ray-Letourneau D, et al. Sexual trauma in the military: Exploring PTSD and mental health care utilization in female veterans. *Psychol Serv.* 2015;12(4):394-401.
60. Lang AJ, Aarons GA, Gearity J, et al. Direct and indirect links between childhood maltreatment, posttraumatic stress disorder, and women's health. *Behav Med.* 2008;33(4):125-135.
61. Lund BC, Bernardy NC, Vaughan-Sarrazin M, Alexander B, Friedman MJ. Patient and facility characteristics associated with benzodiazepine prescribing for veterans with PTSD. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2013;64(2):149-155.
62. Lunney CA, Schnurr PP, Cook JM. Comparison of clinician- and self-assessments of posttraumatic stress symptoms in older versus younger veterans. *J Trauma Stress.* 2014;27(2):144-151.
63. Marmar CR, Schlenger W, Henn-Haase C, et al. Course of posttraumatic stress disorder 40 years after the Vietnam War: Findings from the National Vietnam Veterans Longitudinal Study. *JAMA Psychiatry.* 2015;72(9):875-881.

64. McDuffie E, Brown GR. 70 U.S. Veterans with gender identity disturbances: A descriptive study. *Int J Transgend*. 2010;12(1):21-30.
65. Mercado R, Ming Foynes M, Carpenter SL, Iverson KM. Sexual intimate partner violence as a form of MST: An initial investigation. *Psychol Serv*. 2015;12(4):348-356.
66. Murdoch M, Pryor JB, Polusny MA, Wall MM, Ripley DC, Gackstetter GD. The association between military sexual stress and psychiatric symptoms after controlling for other stressors. *J Psychiatr Res*. 2010;44(16):1129-1136.
67. Park CL, Wachen JS, Kaiser AP, Mager Stellman J. Cumulative trauma and midlife well-being in american women who served in Vietnam: Effects of combat exposure and postdeployment social support. *Anxiety Stress Coping*. 2015;28(2):144-161.
68. Pavao J, Turchik JA, Hyun JK, et al. Military sexual trauma among homeless veterans. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2013;28 Suppl 2:S536-541.
69. Pence PG, Katz LS, Huffman C, Cojucar G. Delivering integrative restoration-yoga nidra meditation (iRest) to women with sexual trauma at a Veteran's Medical Center: A pilot study. *Int J Yoga Therap*. 2014;24:53-62.
70. Rosen C, Adler E, Tiet Q. Presenting concerns of veterans entering treatment for posttraumatic stress disorder. *J Trauma Stress*. Oct 2013;26(5):640-643.
71. Taft CT, Monson CM, Hebenstreit CL, King DW, King LA. Examining the correlates of aggression among male and female Vietnam veterans. *Violence Vict*. 2009;24(5):639-652.
72. Tiet QQ, Leyva YE, Blau K, Turchik JA, Rosen CS. Military sexual assault, gender, and PTSD treatment outcomes of U.S. Veterans. *J Trauma Stress*. 2015;28(2):92-101.
73. Vogt DS, Samper RE, King DW, King LA, Martin JA. Deployment stressors and posttraumatic stress symptomatology: Comparing active duty and National Guard/Reserve personnel from Gulf War I. *J Trauma Stress*. 2008;21(1):66-74.
74. Westermeyer J, Canive J, Thuras P, Oakes M, Spring M. Pathological and problem gambling among veterans in clinical care: Prevalence, demography, and clinical correlates. *Am J Addict*. 2013;22(3):218-225.
75. Berz JB, Taft CT, Watkins LE, Monson CM. Associations between PTSD symptoms and parenting satisfaction in a female veteran sample. *J Psychol Trauma*. 2008;7(1):37-45.
76. Blosnich JR, Dichter ME, Cerulli C, Batten SV, Bossarte RM. Disparities in adverse childhood experiences among individuals with a history of military service. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2014;71(9):1041-1048.
77. C'De Baca J, Castillo D, Qualls C. Ethnic differences in symptoms among female veterans diagnosed with PTSD. *J Trauma Stress*. 2012;25(3):353-357.
78. Cobb Scott J, Pietrzak RH, Southwick SM, et al. Military sexual trauma interacts with combat exposure to increase risk for posttraumatic stress symptomatology in female Iraq and Afghanistan veterans. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2014;75(6):637-643.
79. Dutra L, Grubbs K, Greene C, et al. Women at war: Implications for mental health. *J Trauma Dissociation*. 2011;12(1):25-37.
80. Fischer EP, Sherman MD, McSweeney JC, Pyne JM, Owen RR, Dixon LB. Perspectives of family and veterans on family programs to support reintegration of returning veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder. *Psychol Serv*. 2015;12(3):187-198.

81. Freedy JR, Magruder KM, Mainous AG, Frueh BC, Geesey ME, Carnemolla M. Gender differences in traumatic event exposure and mental health among veteran primary care patients. *Mil Med.* 2010;175(10):750-758.
82. Hawkins EJ, Malte CA, Grossbard JR, Saxon AJ. Prevalence and trends of concurrent opioid analgesic and benzodiazepine use among Veterans Affairs patients with post-traumatic stress disorder, 2003-2011. *Pain Med.* 2015;16(10):1943-1954.
83. Hawkins EJ, Malte CA, Imel ZE, Saxon AJ, Kivlahan DR. Prevalence and trends of benzodiazepine use among Veterans Affairs patients with posttraumatic stress disorder, 2003-2010. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2012;124(1-2):154-161.
84. Hebenstreit CL, Madden E, Koo KH, Maguen S. Minimally adequate mental health care and latent classes of PTSD symptoms in female Iraq and Afghanistan veterans. *Psychiatry Res.* 2015;230(1):90-95.
85. Holowka DW, Marx BP, Gates MA, et al. Ptsd diagnostic validity in Veterans Affairs electronic records of Iraq and Afghanistan veterans. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* Aug 2014;82(4):569-579.
86. Hughes J, Jouldjian S, Washington DL, Alessi CA, Martin JL. Insomnia and symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder among women veterans. *Behav Sleep Med.* 2013;11(4):258-274.
87. James LM, Belitskaya-Levy I, Lu Y, et al. Development and application of a diagnostic algorithm for posttraumatic stress disorder. *Psychiatry Res.* 2015;231(1):1-7.
88. Katz L, Douglas S, Zaleski K, Williams J, Huffman C, Cojucar G. Comparing holographic reprocessing and prolonged exposure for women veterans with sexual trauma: A pilot randomized trial. *J Contemp Psychother.* 2014;44(1):9-19.
89. Katz LS, Snetter MR, Robinson AH, Hewitt P, Cojucar G. Holographic reprocessing: Empirical evidence to reduce posttraumatic cognitions in women veterans with PTSD from sexual trauma and abuse. *Psychother.* 2008;45(2):186-198.
90. Koo KH, Hebenstreit CL, Madden E, Maguen S. Ptsd detection and symptom presentation: Racial/ethnic differences by gender among veterans with PTSD returning from Iraq and Afghanistan. *J Affect Disord.* 2016;189:10-16.
91. Lee EA, Theus SA. Lower heart rate variability associated with military sexual trauma rape and posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biol Res Nurs.* 2012;14(4):412-418.
92. Lehavot K, Der-Martirosian C, Simpson TL, Shipherd JC, Washington DL. The role of military social support in understanding the relationship between PTSD, physical health, and healthcare utilization in women veterans. *J Trauma Stress.* 2013;26(6):772-775.
93. Lehavot K, O'Hara R, Washington DL, Yano EM, Simpson TL. Posttraumatic stress disorder symptom severity and socioeconomic factors associated with Veterans Health Administration use among women veterans. *Womens Health Issues.* 2015;25(5):535-541.
94. Metzger LJ, Carson MA, Lasko NB, et al. Basal and suppressed salivary cortisol in female Vietnam nurse veterans with and without PTSD. *Psychiatry Res.* 2008;161(3):330-335.
95. Morland LA, Mackintosh MA, Rosen CS, et al. Telemedicine versus in-person delivery of cognitive processing therapy for women with posttraumatic stress disorder: A randomized noninferiority trial. *Depress Anxiety.* 2015;32(11):811-820.
96. Renshaw KD, Campbell SB, Meis L, Erbes C. Gender differences in the associations of PTSD symptom clusters with relationship distress in U.S. Vietnam veterans and their partners. *J Trauma Stress.* 2014;27(3):283-290.



97. Seal KH, Maguen S, Cohen B, et al. VA mental health services utilization in Iraq and Afghanistan veterans in the first year of receiving new mental health diagnoses. *J Trauma Stress*. Feb 2010;23(1):5-16.
98. Shin HJ, Rosen CS, Greenbaum MA, Jain S. Longitudinal correlates of aggressive behavior in help-seeking U.S. Veterans with PTSD. *J Trauma Stress*. 2012;25(6):649-656.
99. Tsai J, Rosenheck RA, Decker SE, Desai RA, Harpaz-Rotem I. Trauma experience among homeless female veterans: Correlates and impact on housing, clinical, and psychosocial outcomes. *J Trauma Stress*. 2012;25(6):624-632.
100. Walter KH, Varkovitzky RL, Owens GP, Lewis J, Chard KM. Cognitive processing therapy for veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder: A comparison between outpatient and residential treatment. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. Aug 2014;82(4):551-561.
101. Weitlauf JC, Finney JW, Ruzek JI, et al. Distress and pain during pelvic examinations: Effect of sexual violence. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2008;112(6):1343-1350.
102. Weitlauf JC, Frayne SM, Finney JW, et al. Sexual violence, posttraumatic stress disorder, and the pelvic examination: How do beliefs about the safety, necessity, and utility of the examination influence patient experiences? *J Womens Health*. 2010;19(7):1271-1280.
103. Wolf EJ, Lunney CA, Miller MW, Resick PA, Friedman MJ, Schnurr PP. The dissociative subtype of PTSD: A replication and extension. *Depress Anxiety*. 2012;29(8):679-688.
104. Wolf EJ, Miller MW, Orazem RJ, et al. The mmpi-2 restructured clinical scales in the assessment of posttraumatic stress disorder and comorbid disorders. *Psychol Assess*. 2008;20(4):327-340.
105. Wolf EJ, Mitchell KS, Logue MW, et al. Corticotropin releasing hormone receptor 2 (crhr-2) gene is associated with decreased risk and severity of posttraumatic stress disorder in women. *Depress Anxiety*. 2013;30(12):1161-1169.
106. Bernardy NC, Lund BC, Alexander B, Friedman MJ. Increased polysedative use in veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder. *Pain Med*. 2014;15(7):1083-1090.
107. Bernardy NC, Lund BC, Alexander B, Jenkyn AB, Schnurr PP, Friedman MJ. Gender differences in prescribing among veterans diagnosed with posttraumatic stress disorder. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2013;28 Suppl 2:S542-548.
108. Campbell R, Greeson MR, Bybee D, Raja S. The co-occurrence of childhood sexual abuse, adult sexual assault, intimate partner violence, and sexual harassment: A mediational model of posttraumatic stress disorder and physical health outcomes. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 2008;76(2):194-207.
109. Cohen BE, Maguen S, Bertenthal D, Shi Y, Jacoby V, Seal KH. Reproductive and other health outcomes in Iraq and Afghanistan women veterans using VA health care: Association with mental health diagnoses. *Womens Health Issues*. 2012;22(5):e461-471.
110. Frayne SM, Chiu VY, Iqbal S, et al. Medical care needs of returning veterans with PTSD: Their other burden. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2011;26(1):33-39.
111. Kimerling R, Serpi T, Weathers F, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of the composite international diagnostic interview (cidi 3.0) PTSD module among female Vietnam-era veterans. *J Trauma Stress*. 2014;27(2):160-167.
112. King MW, Street AE, Gradus JL, Vogt DS, Resick PA. Gender differences in posttraumatic stress symptoms among OEF/OIF veterans: An item response theory analysis. *J Trauma Stress*. 2013;26(2):175-183.

113. Koola MM, Qualls C, Kelly DL, et al. Prevalence of childhood physical and sexual abuse in veterans with psychiatric diagnoses. *J Nerv Ment Dis.* 2013;201(4):348-352.
114. Magruder K, Serpi T, Kimerling R, et al. Prevalence of posttraumatic stress disorder in Vietnam-era women veterans: The health of Vietnam-era women's study (healthviews). *JAMA Psychiatry.* 2015;72(11):1127-1134.
115. Maguen S, Cohen B, Cohen G, Madden E, Bertenthal D, Seal K. Gender differences in health service utilization among Iraq and Afghanistan veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder. *J Womens Health.* 2012;21(6):666-673.
116. Maguen S, Cohen B, Ren L, Bosch J, Kimerling R, Seal K. Gender differences in military sexual trauma and mental health diagnoses among Iraq and Afghanistan veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder. *Womens Health Issues.* 2012;22(1):e61-66.
117. Maguen S, Madden E, Neylan TC, Cohen BE, Bertenthal D, Seal KH. Timing of mental health treatment and PTSD symptom improvement among Iraq and Afghanistan veterans. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2014;65(12):1414-1419.
118. Mattocks KM, Skanderson M, Goulet JL, et al. Pregnancy and mental health among women veterans returning from Iraq and Afghanistan. *J Womens Health.* 2010;19(12):2159-2166.
119. McCauley HL, Blosnich JR, Dichter ME. Adverse childhood experiences and adult health outcomes among veteran and non-veteran women. *J Womens Health.* 2015;24(9):723-729.
120. Mercado RC, Wiltsey-Stirman S, Iverson KM. Impact of childhood abuse on physical and mental health status and health care utilization among female veterans. *Mil Med.* 2015;180(10):1065-1074.
121. Myers CE, Vanmeenen KM, Servatius RJ. Behavioral inhibition and PTSD symptoms in veterans. *Psychiatry Res.* 2012;196(2-3):271-276.
122. Nillni YI, Gradus JL, Gutner CA, Luciano MT, Shipherd JC, Street AE. Deployment stressors and physical health among OEF/OIF veterans: The role of PTSD. *Health Psychol.* 2014;33(11):1281-1287.
123. Polusny MA, Dickinson KA, Murdoch M, Thuras P. The role of cumulative sexual trauma and difficulties identifying feelings in understanding female veterans' physical health outcomes. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry.* 2008;30(2):162-170.
124. Sayer NA, Hagel EM, Noorbaloochi S, et al. Gender differences in VA disability status for PTSD over time. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2014;65(5):663-669.
125. Schnurr PP, Lunney CA. Exploration of gender differences in how quality of life relates to posttraumatic stress disorder in male and female veterans. *J Rehabil Res Dev.* 2008;45(3):383-393.
126. Schnurr PP, Lunney CA. Work-related quality of life and posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms among female veterans. *Womens Health Issues.* 2011;21(4 Suppl):S169-175.
127. Schnurr PP, Lunney CA. Work-related outcomes among female veterans and service members after treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2012;63(11):1072-1079.
128. Schnurr PP, Lunney CA. Differential effects of prolonged exposure on posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms in female veterans. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* 2015;83(6):1154-1160.

129. Schnurr PP, Lunney CA, Forshay E, et al. Sexual function outcomes in women treated for posttraumatic stress disorder. *J Womens Health*. 2009;18(10):1549-1557.
130. Shaw JG, Asch SM, Kimerling R, Frayne SM, Shaw KA, Phibbs CS. Posttraumatic stress disorder and risk of spontaneous preterm birth. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2014;124(6):1111-1119.
131. Stricker NH, Keller JE, Castillo DT, Haaland KY. The neurocognitive performance of female veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder. *J Trauma Stress*. 2015;28(2):102-109.
132. Teh CF, Kilbourne AM, McCarthy JF, Welsh D, Blow FC. Gender differences in health-related quality of life for veterans with serious mental illness. *Psychiatr Serv*. 2008;59(6):663-669.
133. Washington DL, Davis TD, Der-Martirosian C, Yano EM. Ptsd risk and mental health care engagement in a multi-war era community sample of women veterans. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2013;28(7):894-900.
134. Blow FC, Bohnert AS, Ilgen MA, et al. Suicide mortality among patients treated by the Veterans Health Administration from 2000 to 2007. *Am J Public Health*. Mar 2012;102 Suppl 1:S98-104.
135. Charlotte M, Schwartz E, Slade E, et al. Gender differences in mood stabilizer medications prescribed to veterans with serious mental illness. *J Affect Disord*. 2015;188:112-117.
136. Chavez LJ, Williams EC, Lapham G, Bradley KA. Association between alcohol screening scores and alcohol-related risks among female Veterans Affairs patients. *J Stud Alcohol Drugs*. 2012;73(3):391-400.
137. Creech SK, Borsari B. Alcohol use, military sexual trauma, expectancies, and coping skills in women veterans presenting to primary care. *Addict Behav*. 2014;39(2):379-385.
138. Denneson LM, Lasarev MR, Dickinson KC, Dobscha SK. Alcohol consumption and health status in very old veterans. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol*. 2011;24(1):39-43.
139. Fang SC, Schnurr PP, Kulish AL, et al. Psychosocial functioning and health-related quality of life associated with posttraumatic stress disorder in male and female Iraq and Afghanistan War veterans: The VALOR registry. *J Womens Health*. 2015;24(12):1038-1046.
140. Hawkins EJ, Lapham GT, Kivlahan DR, Bradley KA. Recognition and management of alcohol misuse in OEF/OIF and other veterans in the VA: A cross-sectional study. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2010;109(1-3):147-153.
141. Heslin KC, Gable A, Dobalian A. Special services for women in substance use disorders treatment: How does the Department of Veterans Affairs compare with other providers? *Womens Health Issues*. 2015;25(6):666-672.
142. Hoffmire CA, Bossarte RM. A reconsideration of the correlation between veteran status and firearm suicide in the general population. *Inj Prev*. 2014;20(5):317-321.
143. Hoggatt KJ, Williams EC, Der-Martirosian C, Yano EM, Washington DL. National prevalence and correlates of alcohol misuse in women veterans. *J Subst Abuse Treat*. 2015;52:10-16.
144. Ilgen MA, Bohnert AS, Ignacio RV, et al. Psychiatric diagnoses and risk of suicide in veterans. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2010;67(11):1152-1158.
145. Kaplan MS, McFarland BH, Huguet N. Firearm suicide among veterans in the general population: Findings from the national violent death reporting system. *J Trauma*. 2009;67(3):503-507.

146. Kaplan MS, McFarland BH, Huguet N, et al. Acute alcohol intoxication and suicide: A gender-stratified analysis of the national violent death reporting system. *Inj Prev.* 2013;19(1):38-43.
147. Kelley ML, Brancu M, Robbins AT, et al. Drug use and childhood-, military- and post-military trauma exposure among women and men veterans. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2015;152:201-208.
148. Kelley ML, Runnals J, Pearson MR, et al. Alcohol use and trauma exposure among male and female veterans before, during, and after military service. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2013;133(2):615-624.
149. Lapham GT, Rubinsky AD, Heagerty PJ, et al. Probability and predictors of patients converting from negative to positive screens for alcohol misuse. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res.* 2014;38(2):564-571.
150. Lapham GT, Rubinsky AD, Heagerty PJ, et al. Annual rescreening for alcohol misuse: Diminishing returns for some patient subgroups. *Med Care.* Oct 2013;51(10):914-921.
151. Lapham GT, Rubinsky AD, Williams EC, et al. Decreasing sensitivity of clinical alcohol screening with the audit-c after repeated negative screens in VA clinics. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2014;142:209-215.
152. Lehavot K, Browne KC, Simpson TL. Examining sexual orientation disparities in alcohol misuse among women veterans. *Am J Prev Med.* 2014;47(5):554-562.
153. Lemaire CM, Graham DP. Factors associated with suicidal ideation in OEF/OIF veterans. *J Affect Disord.* 2011;130(1-2):231-238.
154. McCarthy JF, Blow FC, Ignacio RV, Ilgen MA, Austin KL, Valenstein M. Suicide among patients in the Veterans Affairs Health System: Rural-urban differences in rates, risks, and methods. *Am J Public Health.* Mar 2012;102 Suppl 1:S111-117.
155. McCarthy JF, Szymanski BR, Karlin BE, Katz IR. Suicide mortality following nursing home discharge in the Department of Veterans Affairs Health System. *Am J Public Health.* Dec 2013;103(12):2261-2266.
156. McCarthy JF, Valenstein M, Kim HM, Ilgen M, Zivin K, Blow FC. Suicide mortality among patients receiving care in the Veterans Health Administration health system. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2009;169(8):1033-1038.
157. Miles SR, Graham DP, Teng EJ. Examining the influence of mild traumatic brain injury and posttraumatic stress disorder on alcohol use disorder in OEF/OIF veterans. *Mil Med.* 2015;180(1):45-52.
158. Oliva EM, Gregor A, Rogers J, Dalton A, Harris AHS, Trafton JA. Correlates of specialty substance use disorder treatment among female patients in the Veterans Health Administration. *J Soc Work Pract Addict.* 2012;12(3):282-301.
159. Oliva EM, Harris AH, Trafton JA, Gordon AJ. Receipt of opioid agonist treatment in the Veterans Health Administration: Facility and patient factors. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* May 1 2012;122(3):241-246.
160. Schlenger WE, Corry NH, Williams CS, et al. A prospective study of mortality and trauma-related risk factors among a nationally representative sample of Vietnam veterans. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2015;182(12):980-990.
161. Schwartz E, Charlotte M, Slade E, et al. Gender differences in antipsychotics prescribed to veterans with serious mental illness. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry.* 2015;37(4):347-351.

162. Scott JC, Pietrzak RH, Mattocks K, Southwick SM, Brandt C, Haskell S. Gender differences in the correlates of hazardous drinking among Iraq and Afghanistan veterans. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2013;127(1-3):15-22.
163. Seal KH, Cohen G, Waldrop A, Cohen BE, Maguen S, Ren L. Substance use disorders in Iraq and Afghanistan veterans in VA healthcare, 2001-2010; implications for screening, diagnosis, and treatment. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2011;116:93-101.
164. Wallace AE, Sheehan EP, Young-Xu Y. Women, alcohol, and the military: Cultural changes and reductions in later alcohol problems among female veterans. *J Womens Health.* 2009;18(9):1347-1353.
165. Westermeyer J, Canive J, Thuras P, Thompson J, Crosby RD, Garrard J. A comparison of substance use disorder severity and course in American Indian male and female veterans. *Am J Addict.* 2009;18(1):87-92.
166. Williams EC, Rubinsky AD, Lapham GT, et al. Prevalence of clinically recognized alcohol and other substance use disorders among VA outpatients with unhealthy alcohol use identified by routine alcohol screening. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* Feb 1 2014;135:95-103.
167. Abdullah KN, Janardhan R, Hwang M, et al. Adjuvant radiation therapy for breast cancer in patients with schizophrenia. *Am J Surg.* 2015;209(2):378-384.
168. Booth BM, Mengeling M, Torner J, Sadler AG. Rape, sex partnership, and substance use consequences in women veterans. *J Trauma Stress.* 2011;24(3):287-294.
169. Bradley CS, Nygaard IE, Mengeling MA, et al. Urinary incontinence, depression and posttraumatic stress disorder in women veterans. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2012;206(6):502.e501-508.
170. Bradley CS, Nygaard IE, Torner JC, Hillis SL, Johnson S, Sadler AG. Overactive bladder and mental health symptoms in recently deployed female veterans. *J Urol.* 2014;191(5):1327-1332.
171. Bradley KA, Rubinsky AD, Sun H, et al. Prevalence of alcohol misuse among men and women undergoing major noncardiac surgery in the Veterans Affairs Health Care System. *Surgery.* 2012;152(1):69-81.
172. Breland JY, Greenbaum MA, Zulman DM, Rosen CS. The effect of medical comorbidities on male and female veterans' use of psychotherapy for PTSD. *Med Care.* 2015;53(4 Suppl 1):S120-127.
173. Callegari LS, Zhao X, Nelson KM, Borrero S. Contraceptive adherence among women veterans with mental illness and substance use disorder. *Contraception.* 2015;91(5):386-392.
174. Callegari LS, Zhao X, Nelson KM, Lehavot K, Bradley KA, Borrero S. Associations of mental illness and substance use disorders with prescription contraception use among women veterans. *Contraception.* 2014;90(1):97-103.
175. Cheney AM, Booth BM, Davis TD, Mengeling MA, Torner JC, Sadler AG. The role of borderline personality disorder and depression in the relationship between sexual assault and body mass index among women veterans. *Violence Vict.* 2014;29(5):742-756.
176. Duffy AR, Beckie TM, Brenner LA, et al. Relationship between toxoplasma gondii and mood disturbance in women veterans. *Mil Med.* 2015;180(6):621-625.
177. Gutierrez PM, Brenner LA, Rings JA, et al. A qualitative description of female veterans' deployment-related experiences and potential suicide risk factors. *J Clin Psychol.* 2013;69(9):923-935.

178. Haskell SG, Papas RK, Heapy A, Reid MC, Kerns RD. The association of sexual trauma with persistent pain in a sample of women veterans receiving primary care. *Pain Med.* 2008;9(6):710-717.
179. Huguet N, Kaplan MS, McFarland BH. The effects of misclassification biases on veteran suicide rate estimates. *Am J Public Health.* 2014;104(1):151-155.
180. Klausner AP, Ibanez D, King AB, et al. The influence of psychiatric comorbidities and sexual trauma on lower urinary tract symptoms in female veterans. *J Urol.* 2009;182(6):2785-2790.
181. Maguen S, Madden E, Cohen B, Bertenthal D, Seal K. Association of mental health problems with gastrointestinal disorders in Iraq and Afghanistan veterans. *Depress Anxiety.* 2014;31(2):160-165.
182. Runnals JJ, Van Voorhees E, Robbins AT, et al. Self-reported pain complaints among Afghanistan/Iraq era men and women veterans with comorbid posttraumatic stress disorder and major depressive disorder. *Pain Med.* 2013;14(10):1529-1533.
183. Sambamoorthi U, Shen C, Findley P, Frayne S, Banerjea R. Depression treatment patterns among women veterans with cardiovascular conditions or diabetes. *World Psychiatry.* 2010;9(3):177-182.
184. Savas LS, White DL, Wieman M, et al. Irritable bowel syndrome and dyspepsia among women veterans: Prevalence and association with psychological distress. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2009;29(1):115-125.
185. Seng EK, Driscoll MA, Brandt CA, et al. Prescription headache medication in OEF/OIF veterans: Results from the women veterans cohort study. *Headache.* 2013;53(8):1312-1322.
186. Shen C, Findley P, Banerjea R, Sambamoorthi U. Depressive disorders among cohorts of women veterans with diabetes, heart disease, and hypertension. *J Womens Health.* 2010;19(8):1475-1486.
187. Viverito K, Owen R, Mittal D, Li C, Williams JS. Management of new hyperglycemia in patients prescribed antipsychotics. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2014;65(12):1502-1505.
188. Wachen JS, Shipherd JC, Suvak M, Vogt D, King LA, King DW. Posttraumatic stress symptomatology as a mediator of the relationship between warzone exposure and physical health symptoms in men and women. *J Trauma Stress.* 2013;26(3):319-328.
189. Weiner J, Richmond TS, Conigliaro J, Wiebe DJ. Military veteran mortality following a survived suicide attempt. *BMC Public Health.* 2011;11:374.
190. Weitlauf JC, Jones S, Xu X, et al. Receipt of cervical cancer screening in female veterans: Impact of posttraumatic stress disorder and depression. *Womens Health Issues.* 2013;23(3):e153-159.
191. White DL, Savas LS, Daci K, et al. Trauma history and risk of the irritable bowel syndrome in women veterans. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2010;32(4):551-561.
192. White JR, Chang CC, So-Armah KA, et al. Depression and human immunodeficiency virus infection are risk factors for incident heart failure among veterans: Veterans aging cohort study. *Circulation.* 2015;132(17):1630-1638.
193. Wisco BE, Marx BP, Holowka DW, et al. Traumatic brain injury, PTSD, and current suicidal ideation among Iraq and Afghanistan U.S. Veterans. *J Trauma Stress.* 2014;27(2):244-248.

194. Banerjea R, Pogach LM, Smelson D, Sambamoorthi U. Mental illness and substance use disorders among women veterans with diabetes. *Womens Health Issues*. 2009;19(6):446-456.
195. C'De Baca J, Castillo DT, Mackaronis JE, Qualls C. Ethnic differences in personality disorder patterns among women veterans diagnosed with PTSD. *Behav Sci*. 2014;4(1):72-86.
196. Chatterjee S, Rath ME, Spiro A, 3rd, Eisen S, Sloan KL, Rosen AK. Gender differences in Veterans Health Administration mental health service use: Effects of age and psychiatric diagnosis. *Womens Health Issues*. 2009;19(3):176-184.
197. Cochran BN, Balsam K, Flentje A, Malte CA, Simpson T. Mental health characteristics of sexual minority veterans. *J Homosex*. 2013;60(2-3):419-435.
198. Correa R, Parry B. Women's mental health clinic: A naturalistic description of the population attended in the san diego VA health care system during a one year period. *J Affect Disord*. 2012;142(1-3):31-35.
199. Desai RA, Harpaz-Rotem I, Najavits LM, Rosenheck RA. Impact of the Seeking Safety program on clinical outcomes among homeless female veterans with psychiatric disorders. *Psychiatr Serv*. 2008;59(9):996-1003.
200. Finlay AK, Binswanger IA, Smelson D, et al. Sex differences in mental health and substance use disorders and treatment entry among justice-involved veterans in the Veterans Health Administration. *Med Care*. 2015;53(4 Suppl 1):S105-111.
201. Koo KH, Hebenstreit CL, Madden E, Seal KH, Maguen S. Race/ethnicity and gender differences in mental health diagnoses among Iraq and Afghanistan veterans. *Psychiatry Res*. 2015;229(3):724-731.
202. Lehavot K, Simpson TL. Trauma, posttraumatic stress disorder, and depression among sexual minority and heterosexual women veterans. *J Couns Psychol*. 2014;61(3):392-403.
203. Maguen S, Cohen B, Cohen G, Madden E, Bertenthal D, Seal K. Eating disorders and psychiatric comorbidity among Iraq and Afghanistan veterans. *Womens Health Issues*. 2012;22(4):e403-406.
204. Maguen S, Ren L, Bosch JO, Marmar CR, Seal KH. Gender differences in mental health diagnoses among Iraq and Afghanistan veterans enrolled in Veterans Affairs health care. *Am J Public Health*. 2010;100(12):2450-2456.
205. Mattocks KM, Sadler A, Yano EM, et al. Sexual victimization, health status, and VA healthcare utilization among lesbian and bisexual OEF/OIF veterans. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2013;28 Suppl 2:S604-608.
206. Miller LJ, Ghadiali NY. Gender-specific mental health care needs of women veterans treated for psychiatric disorders in a veterans administration women's health clinic. *Med Care*. 2015;53(4 Suppl 1):S93-96.
207. Nunnink SE, Goldwaser G, Heppner PS, Pittman JO, Nievergelt CM, Baker DG. Female veterans of the OEF/OIF conflict: Concordance of PTSD symptoms and substance misuse. *Addict Behav*. 2010;35(7):655-659.
208. Reddy S, Dick AM, Gerber MR, Mitchell K. The effect of a yoga intervention on alcohol and drug abuse risk in veteran and civilian women with posttraumatic stress disorder. *J Altern Complement Med*. 2014;20(10):750-756.
209. Ryan ET, McGrath AC, Creech SK, Borsari B. Predicting utilization of healthcare services in the Veterans Health Administration by returning women veterans: The role of

- trauma exposure and symptoms of posttraumatic stress. *Psychol Serv.* 2015;12(4):412-419.
210. Zinzow HM, Grubaugh AL, Frueh BC, Magruder KM. Sexual assault, mental health, and service use among male and female veterans seen in Veterans Affairs primary care clinics: A multi-site study. *Psychiatry Res.* 2008;159(1-2):226-236.
211. Lopez MR, Cheng JY, Kanner AM, Carvalho DZ, Diamond JA, Wallace DM. Insomnia symptoms in south florida military veterans with epilepsy. *Epilepsy Behav.* 2013;27(1):159-164.
212. Mohanty AF, Muthukutty A, Carter ME, et al. Chronic multisymptom illness among female veterans deployed to Iraq and Afghanistan. *Med Care.* 2015;53(4 Suppl 1):S143-148.
213. Nazarian D, Kimerling R, Frayne SM. Posttraumatic stress disorder, substance use disorders, and medical comorbidity among returning U.S. Veterans. *J Trauma Stress.* 2012;25(2):220-225.
214. Steinman MA, Lee SJ, John Boscardin W, et al. Patterns of multimorbidity in elderly veterans. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2012;60(10):1872-1880.
215. Tyson GL, Kramer JR, Duan Z, Davila JA, Richardson PA, El-Serag HB. Prevalence and predictors of hepatitis b virus coinfection in a United States cohort of hepatitis c virus-infected patients. *Hepatology.* 2013;58(2):538-545.
216. Volkman JE, DeRycke EC, Driscoll MA, et al. Smoking status and pain intensity among OEF/OIF/OND veterans. *Pain Med.* 2015;16(9):1690-1696.
217. Womack JA, Chang CC, So-Armah KA, et al. HIV infection and cardiovascular disease in women. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2014;3(5):e001035.
218. Brown GR, Jones KT. Incidence of breast cancer in a cohort of 5,135 transgender veterans. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2015;149(1):191-198.
219. Bush RL, Kallen MA, Liles DR, Bates JT, Petersen LA. Knowledge and awareness of peripheral vascular disease are poor among women at risk for cardiovascular disease. *J Surg Res.* 2008;145(2):313-319.
220. Canter DL, Atkins MD, McNeal CJ, Bush RL. Risk factor treatment in veteran women at risk for cardiovascular disease. *J Surg Res.* 2009;157(2):175-180.
221. Colonna S, Halwani A, Ying J, Buys S, Sweeney C. Women with breast cancer in the Veterans Health Administration: Demographics, breast cancer characteristics, and trends. *Med Care.* 2015;53(4 Suppl 1):S149-155.
222. Davis MB, Maddox TM, Langner P, Plomondon ME, Rumsfeld JS, Duvernoy CS. Characteristics and outcomes of women veterans undergoing cardiac catheterization in the Veterans Affairs Healthcare System: Insights from the VA cart program. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes.* 2015;8(2 Suppl 1):S39-47.
223. Goldstein KM, Melnyk SD, Zullig LL, et al. Heart matters: Gender and racial differences cardiovascular disease risk factor control among veterans. *Womens Health Issues.* 2014;24(5):477-483.
224. Johnson RG, Wittgen CM, Hutter MM, Henderson WG, Mosca C, Khuri SF. Comparison of risk-adjusted 30-day postoperative mortality and morbidity in Department of Veterans Affairs hospitals and selected university medical centers: Vascular surgical operations in women. *J Am Coll Surg.* Jun 2007;204(6):1137-1146.

225. Kvasnovsky CL, Kesmodel SB, Gragasin JL, et al. Expansion of screening mammography in the Veterans Health Administration: Implications for breast cancer treatment. *JAMA Surgery*. 2013;148(11):999-1004.
226. Leong M, Chike-Obi CJ, Basu CB, Lee EI, Albo D, Netscher DT. Effective breast reconstruction in female veterans. *Am J Surg*. 2009;198(5):658-663.
227. Luther SL, Neumayer L, Henderson WG, et al. The use of breast-conserving surgery for women treated for breast cancer in the Department of Veterans Affairs. *Am J Surg*. 2013;206(1):72-79.
228. McQueen A, Swank PR, Bastian LA, Vernon SW. Predictors of perceived susceptibility of breast cancer and changes over time: A mixed modeling approach. *Health Psychol*. 2008;27(1):68-77.
229. Rose DE, Farmer MM, Yano EM, Washington DL. Racial/ethnic differences in cardiovascular risk factors among women veterans. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2013;28 Suppl 2:S524-528.
230. Sambamoorthi U, Mitra S, Findley PA, Pogach LM. Decomposing gender differences in low-density lipoprotein cholesterol among veterans with or at risk for cardiovascular illness. *Womens Health Issues*. 2012;22(2):e201-208.
231. Vimalananda VG, Miller DR, Christiansen CL, Wang W, Tremblay P, Fincke BG. Cardiovascular disease risk factors among women veterans at VA medical facilities. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2013;28 Suppl 2:S517-523.
232. Vimalananda VG, Miller DR, Palnati M, Christiansen CL, Fincke BG. Gender disparities in lipid-lowering therapy among veterans with diabetes. *Womens Health Issues*. 2011;21(4 Suppl):S176-181.
233. Virani SS, Woodard LD, Chitwood SS, et al. Frequency and correlates of treatment intensification for elevated cholesterol levels in patients with cardiovascular disease. *Am Heart J*. 2011;162(4):725-732.e721.
234. Virani SS, Woodard LD, Ramsey DJ, et al. Gender disparities in evidence-based statin therapy in patients with cardiovascular disease. *Am J Cardiol*. 2015;115(1):21-26.
235. Wheeler S, Bowen JD, Maynard C, et al. Women veterans and outcomes after acute myocardial infarction. *J Womens Health*. 2009;18(5):613-618.
236. Wheeler S, Moore K, Forsberg CW, et al. Mortality among veterans with type 2 diabetes initiating metformin, sulfonylurea or rosiglitazone monotherapy. *Diabetologia*. 2013;56(9):1934-1943.
237. Arterburn D, Livingston EH, Olsen MK, et al. Predictors of initial weight loss after gastric bypass surgery in twelve Veterans Affairs Medical Centers. *Obes Res Clin Pract*. 2013;7(5):e367-376.
238. Arterburn DE, Olsen MK, Smith VA, et al. Association between bariatric surgery and long-term survival. *JAMA*. 2015;313(1):62-70.
239. Backus L, Czarnogorski M, Yip G, et al. HIV care continuum applied to the US Department of Veterans Affairs: HIV virologic outcomes in an integrated health care system. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2015;69(4):474-480.
240. Bedimo RJ, McGinnis KA, Dunlap M, Rodriguez-Barradas MC, Justice AC. Incidence of non-aids-defining malignancies in HIV-infected versus noninfected patients in the haart era: Impact of immunosuppression. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2009;52(2):203-208.

241. Blackstock OJ, Tate JP, Akgun KM, et al. Sex disparities in overall burden of disease among HIV-infected individuals in the Veterans Affairs Healthcare System. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2013;28 Suppl 2:S577-582.
242. Brown DW. Smoking prevalence among US veterans. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2010;25(2):147-149.
243. Cameron MH, Poel AJ, Haselkorn JK, Linke A, Bourdette D. Falls requiring medical attention among veterans with multiple sclerosis: A cohort study. *J Rehabil Res Dev.* 2011;48(1):13-20.
244. Curtin CM, Suarez PA, Di Ponio LA, Frayne SM. Who are the women and men in Veterans Health Administration's current spinal cord injury population? *J Rehabil Res Dev.* 2012;49(3):351-360.
245. Czarnogorski M, Halloran Cns J, Pedati C, et al. Expanded HIV testing in the US Department of Veterans Affairs, 2009-2011. *Am J Public Health.* 2013;103(12):e40-45.
246. Del Re AC, Frayne SM, Harris AH. Antiobesity medication use across the Veterans Health Administration: Patient-level predictors of receipt. *Obesity.* 2014;22(9):1968-1972.
247. Iverson KM, Hendricks AM, Kimerling R, et al. Psychiatric diagnoses and neurobehavioral symptom severity among OEF/OIF VA patients with deployment-related traumatic brain injury: A gender comparison. *Womens Health Issues.* 2011;21(4 Suppl):S210-217.
248. Iverson KM, Pogoda TK. Traumatic brain injury among women veterans: An invisible wound of intimate partner violence. *Med Care.* 2015;53(4 Suppl 1):S112-119.
249. Iverson KM, Pogoda TK, Gradus JL, Street AE. Deployment-related traumatic brain injury among Operation Enduring Freedom/Operation Iraqi Freedom veterans: Associations with mental and physical health by gender. *J Womens Health.* 2013;22(3):267-275.
250. Katzburg JR, Farmer MM, Poza IV, Sherman SE. Listen to the consumer: Designing a tailored smoking-cessation program for women. *Subst Use Misuse.* 2008;43(8-9):1240-1259.
251. Katzburg JR, Yano EM, Washington DL, et al. Combining women's preferences and expert advice to design a tailored smoking cessation program. *Subst Use Misuse.* 2009;44(14):2114-2137.
252. Khurana SR, Bamer AM, Turner AP, et al. The prevalence of overweight and obesity in veterans with multiple sclerosis. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil.* 2009;88(2):83-91.
253. Littman AJ, Boyko EJ, McDonell MB, Fihn SD. Evaluation of a weight management program for veterans. *Prev Chronic Dis.* 2012;9:E99.
254. Littman AJ, Jacobson IG, Boyko EJ, Powell TM, Smith TC, Millennium Cohort Study Team. Weight change following US military service. *Int J Obes.* 2013;37(2):244-253.
255. Maguen S, Madden E, Cohen B, et al. The relationship between body mass index and mental health among Iraq and Afghanistan veterans. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2013;28 Suppl 2:S563-570.
256. Nayak SU, Welch ML, Kan VL. Greater HIV testing after Veterans Health Administration policy change: The experience from a VA Medical Center in a high HIV prevalence area. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2012;60(2):165-168.

257. Pogoda TK, Hendricks AM, Iverson KM, et al. Multisensory impairment reported by veterans with and without mild traumatic brain injury history. *J Rehabil Res Dev*. 2012;49(7):971-984.
258. Rogers TJ, Smith BM, Weaver FM, et al. Healthcare utilization following mild traumatic brain injury in female veterans. *Brain Inj*. 2014;28(11):1406-1412.
259. Rosenberger PH, Ning Y, Brandt C, Allore H, Haskell S. Bmi trajectory groups in veterans of the Iraq and Afghanistan Wars. *Prev Med*. 2011;53(3):149-154.
260. Vimalananda VG, Miller DR, Hofer TP, Holleman RG, Klamerus ML, Kerr EA. Accounting for clinical action reduces estimates of gender disparities in lipid management for diabetic veterans. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2013;28 Suppl 2:S529-535.
261. Wallin MT, Culpepper WJ, Coffman P, et al. The Gulf War era multiple sclerosis cohort: Age and incidence rates by race, sex and service. *Brain*. 2012;135(Pt 6):1778-1785.
262. Al Mohajer M, Musher DM, Minard CG, Darouiche RO. Clinical significance of staphylococcus aureus bacteriuria at a tertiary care hospital. *Scand J Infect Dis*. 2013;45(9):688-695.
263. Alazzeh A, Cooper MM, Bailey B, Youssef DA, Manning T, Peiris AN. Vitamin D status and monitoring in female veterans. *Women Health*. 2015;55(4):367-377.
264. Anger JT, Saigal CS, Wang M, Yano EM, Urologic Diseases in America P. Urologic disease burden in the United States: Veteran users of Department of Veterans Affairs healthcare. *Urology*. 2008;72(1):37-41.
265. Bair MJ, Matthias MS, Nyland KA, et al. Barriers and facilitators to chronic pain self-management: A qualitative study of primary care patients with comorbid musculoskeletal pain and depression. *Pain Med*. 2009;10(7):1280-1290.
266. Barnett PG, Hamlett-Berry K, Sung HY, Max W. Health care expenditures attributable to smoking in military veterans. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2015;17(5):586-591.
267. Baughman KR, Bourguet CC, Ober SK. Gender differences in the association between antidepressant use and restless legs syndrome. *Mov Disord*. 2009;24(7):1054-1059.
268. Carlson KF, Taylor BC, Hagel EM, Cutting A, Kerns R, Sayer NA. Headache diagnoses among Iraq and Afghanistan War veterans enrolled in VA: A gender comparison. *Headache*. 2013;53(10):1573-1582.
269. Denke L, Barnes DM. An ethnography of chronic pain in veteran enlisted women. *Pain Manag Nurs*. 2013;14(4):e189-195.
270. Dodge HS, Ekhtator NN, Jefferson-Wilson L, et al. Cigarette smokers have reduced risk for post-dural puncture headache. *Pain Physician*. 2013;16(1):E25-30.
271. Driscoll MA, Higgins DM, Seng EK, et al. Trauma, social support, family conflict, and chronic pain in recent service veterans: Does gender matter? *Pain Med*. 2015;16(6):1101-1111.
272. Farmer MM, Rose DE, Riopelle D, Lanto AB, Yano EM. Gender differences in smoking and smoking cessation treatment: An examination of the organizational features related to care. *Womens Health Issues*. 2011;21(4 Suppl):S182-189.
273. Graham DP, Savas L, White D, et al. Irritable bowel syndrome symptoms and health related quality of life in female veterans. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2010;31(2):261-273.
274. Groessl EJ, Weingart KR, Johnson N, Baxi S. The benefits of yoga for women veterans with chronic low back pain. *J Altern Complement Med*. 2012;18(9):832-838.

275. Haskell SG, Brandt CA, Krebs EE, Skanderson M, Kerns RD, Goulet JL. Pain among veterans of Operations Enduring Freedom and Iraqi Freedom: Do women and men differ? *Pain Med.* 2009;10(7):1167-1173.
276. Khan N, Abbas AM, Almukhtar RM, Cole EB, Khan AN. Adherence and efficacy of screening for low bone mineral density among ulcerative colitis patients treated with corticosteroids. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2014;109(4):572-578.
277. Maciejewski ML, Livingston EH, Kahwati LC, Henderson WG, Kavee AL, Arterburn DE. Discontinuation of diabetes and lipid-lowering medications after bariatric surgery at Veterans Affairs Medical Centers. *Surg Obes Relat Dis.* 2010;6(6):601-607.
278. Maciejewski ML, Livingston EH, Smith VA, et al. Survival among high-risk patients after bariatric surgery. *JAMA.* 2011;305(23):2419-2426.
279. Murphy LB, Helmick CG, Allen KD, et al. Arthritis among veterans - United States, 2011-2013. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2014;63(44):999-1003.
280. Oliva EM, Midboe AM, Lewis ET, et al. Sex differences in chronic pain management practices for patients receiving opioids from the Veterans Health Administration. *Pain Med.* 2015;16(1):112-118.
281. Rivera JC, Krueger CA, Johnson AE. Female combat amputees have higher rates of posttraumatic stress disorder disability. *US Army Med Dep J.* 2015:74-79.
282. Rohde NN, Baca CB, Van Cott AC, Parko KL, Amuan ME, Pugh MJ. Antiepileptic drug prescribing patterns in Iraq and Afghanistan War veterans with epilepsy. *Epilepsy Behav.* 2015;46:133-139.
283. Shibuya N, Jupiter DC, Ciliberti LJ, Jr., VanBuren V, La Fontaine J. Prevalence of podiatric medical problems in veterans versus nonveterans. *J Am Podiatr Med Assoc.* 2011;101(4):323-330.
284. Smylie AL, Broderick G, Fernandes H, et al. A comparison of sex-specific immune signatures in Gulf War illness and chronic fatigue syndrome. *BMC Immunol.* 2013;14:29.
285. Wallin MT, Kurtzke JF, Culpepper WJ, et al. Multiple sclerosis in Gulf War era veterans. 2. Military deployment and risk of multiple sclerosis in the first Gulf War. *Neuroepidemiology.* 2014;42(4):226-234.
286. Weimer MB, Macey TA, Nicolaidis C, Dobscha SK, Duckart JP, Morasco BJ. Sex differences in the medical care of VA patients with chronic non-cancer pain. *Pain Med.* 2013;14(12):1839-1847.
287. Weisskopf MG, Cudkovic ME, Johnson N. Military service and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis in a population-based cohort. *Epidemiology.* 2015;26(6):831-838.
288. Bastian L, Fish LJ, Gierisch JM, Stechuchak KM, Grambow SC, Keefe FJ. Impact of smoking cessation on subsequent pain intensity among chronically ill veterans enrolled in a smoking cessation trial. *J Pain Symptom Mnage.* 2015;50(6):822-829.
289. Baker LD, Frank LL, Foster-Schubert K, et al. Effects of aerobic exercise on mild cognitive impairment: A controlled trial. *Arch Neurol.* 2010;67(1):71-79.
290. Davisson L, Warden M, Manivannan S, et al. Osteoporosis screening: Factors associated with bone mineral density testing of older women. *J Womens Health.* 2009;18(7):989-994.
291. Der-Martirosian C, Cordasco KM, Washington DL. Health-related quality of life and comorbidity among older women veterans in the United States. *Qual Life Res.* 2013;22(10):2749-2756.

292. Friedlander AH, El-Saden SM, Aghazadehsanai N, Chang TI, Harada ND, Garrett NR. Association of calcified carotid atheromas visualized on panoramic images and aortic arch calcifications seen on chest radiographs of postmenopausal women. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 2014;145(4):345-351.
293. Gerber MR, King MW, Pineles SL, et al. Hormone therapy use in women veterans accessing Veterans Health Administration care: A national cross-sectional study. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2015;30(2):169-175.
294. Haskell SG, Bean-Mayberry B, Gordon K. Discontinuing postmenopausal hormone therapy: An observational study of tapering versus quitting cold turkey: Is there a difference in recurrence of menopausal symptoms? *Menopause.* 2009;16(3):494-499.
295. Haskell SG, Bean-Mayberry B, Goulet JL, Skanderson M, Good CB, Justice AC. Determinants of hormone therapy discontinuation among female veterans nationally. *Mil Med.* 2008;173(1):91-96.
296. LaFleur J, DuVall SL, Willson T, et al. Analysis of osteoporosis treatment patterns with bisphosphonates and outcomes among postmenopausal veterans. *Bone.* 2015;78:174-185.
297. Lavela SL, Etingen B, Louise-Bender Pape T. Caregiving experiences and health conditions of women veteran and non-veteran caregivers. *Womens Health Issues.* 2013;23(4):e225-232.
298. Rimland D, Moanna A. Increasing incidence of herpes zoster among veterans. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2010;50(7):1000-1005.
299. Rouen PA, Krein SL, Reame NE. Postmenopausal symptoms in female veterans with type 2 diabetes: Glucose control and symptom severity. *J Womens Health.* 2015;24(6):496-505.
300. Shibli-Rahhal A, Vaughan-Sarrazin MS, Richardson K, Cram P. Testing and treatment for osteoporosis following hip fracture in an integrated U.S. Healthcare delivery system. *Osteoporos Int.* 2011;22(12):2973-2980.
301. Weitlauf JC, LaCroix AZ, Bird CE, et al. Prospective analysis of health and mortality risk in veteran and non-veteran participants in the women's health initiative. *Womens Health Issues.* 2015;25(6):649-657.
302. Borrero S, Mor MK, Zhao X, McNeil M, Ibrahim S, Hayes P. Contraceptive care in the VA health care system. *Contraception.* 2012;85(6):580-588.
303. Borrero S, Zhao X, Mor MK, Schwarz EB, Good CB, Gellad WF. Adherence to hormonal contraception among women veterans: Differences by race/ethnicity and contraceptive supply. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2013;209(2):103.e101-111.
304. Bukowinski AT, DeScisciolo C, Conlin AM, MA KR, Sevick CJ, Smith TC. Birth defects in infants born in 1998-2004 to men and women serving in the U.S. Military during the 1990-1991 Gulf War era. *Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol.* 2012;94(9):721-728.
305. Callegari LS, Borrero S, Reiber GE, et al. Reproductive life planning in primary care: A qualitative study of women veterans' perceptions. *Womens Health Issues.* 2015;25(5):548-554.
306. Goyal V, Mattocks K, Bimla Schwarz E, et al. Contraceptive provision in the VA healthcare system to women who report military sexual trauma. *J Womens Health.* 2014;23(9):740-745.

307. Gray KE, Katon JG, Callegari LS, Cordasco KM, Zephyrin LC. Gynecologists in the VA: Do they enhance availability of sex-specific services and policies in the emergency department? *Med Care*. 2015;53(4 Suppl 1):S76-80.
308. Katon J, Cypel Y, Raza M, et al. Self-reported infertility among male and female veterans serving during Operation Enduring Freedom/Operation Iraqi Freedom. *J Womens Health*. 2014;23(2):175-183.
309. Katon J, Mattocks K, Zephyrin L, et al. Gestational diabetes and hypertensive disorders of pregnancy among women veterans deployed in service of operations in Afghanistan and Iraq. *J Womens Health*. 2014;23(10):792-800.
310. Katon J, Reiber G, Rose D, et al. VA location and structural factors associated with on-site availability of reproductive health services. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2013;28 Suppl 2:S591-597.
311. Katon JG, Hoggatt KJ, Balasubramanian V, et al. Reproductive health diagnoses of women veterans using Department of Veterans Affairs health care. *Med Care*. 2015;53(4 Suppl 1):S63-67.
312. Katon JG, Washington DL, Cordasco KM, Reiber GE, Yano EM, Zephyrin LC. Prenatal care for women veterans who use Department of Veterans Affairs health care. *Womens Health Issues*. 2015;25(4):377-381.
313. Kazerooni R, Blake A, Thai J. Predictors of pregnancy in female veterans receiving a hormonal contraceptive pill, patch, or ring. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2015;49(12):1284-1290.
314. Kazerooni R, Takizawa A, Vu K. Predictors of adherence to hormonal contraceptives in a female veteran population. *Contraception*. 2014;89(4):292-298.
315. Kazerooni R, Vu K, Takizawa A, Broadhead C, Morreale AP. Association of copayment and socioeconomic status with hormonal contraceptive adherence in a female veteran population. *Womens Health Issues*. 2014;24(2):e237-241.
316. Lehavot K, Katon JG, Williams EC, et al. Sexual behaviors and sexually transmitted infections in a nationally representative sample of women veterans and nonveterans. *J Womens Health*. 2014;23(3):246-252.
317. Mattocks K, Kroll-Desrosiers A, Zephyrin L, et al. Infertility care among OEF/OIF/OND women veterans in the Department of Veterans Affairs. *Med Care*. 2015;53(4 Suppl 1):S68-75.
318. Mattocks KM, Frayne S, Phibbs CS, et al. Five-year trends in women veterans' use of VA maternity benefits, 2008-2012. *Womens Health Issues*. 2014;24(1):e37-42.
319. Mattocks KM, Nikolajski C, Haskell S, et al. Women veterans' reproductive health preferences and experiences: A focus group analysis. *Womens Health Issues*. 2011;21(2):124-129.
320. Ryan GL, Mengeling MA, Booth BM, Torner JC, Syrop CH, Sadler AG. Voluntary and involuntary childlessness in female veterans: Associations with sexual assault. *Fertil Steril*. 2014;102(2):539-547.
321. Sadler AG, Mengeling MA, Fraley SS, Torner JC, Booth BM. Correlates of sexual functioning in women veterans: Mental health, gynecologic health, health status, and sexual assault history. *Int J Sex Health*. 2012;24(1):60-77.
322. Sadler AG, Mengeling MA, Syrop CH, Torner JC, Booth BM. Lifetime sexual assault and cervical cytologic abnormalities among military women. *J Womens Health*. 2011;20(11):1693-1701.

323. Schwarz EB, Longo LS, Zhao X, Stone RA, Cunningham F, Good CB. Provision of potentially teratogenic medications to female veterans of childbearing age. *Med Care*. 2010;48(9):834-842.
324. Schwarz EB, Mattocks K, Brandt C, et al. Counseling of female veterans about risks of medication-induced birth defects. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2013;28 Suppl 2:S598-603.
325. Womack JA, Scotch M, Leung SN, et al. Use of structured and unstructured data to identify contraceptive use in women veterans. *Perspect Health Inf Manag*. Summer2013 2013:1-15.
326. Bean-Mayberry B, Yano EM, Mor MK, Bayliss NK, Xu X, Fine MJ. Does sex influence immunization status for influenza and pneumonia in older veterans? *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2009;57(8):1427-1432.
327. Bryan TJ, Estrada CA, Castiglioni A, Snyder ED. Impact of an educational intervention on provider knowledge, attitudes, and comfort level regarding counseling women ages 40-49 about breast cancer screening. *J Multidiscip Healthc*. 2015;8:209-216.
328. Chou AF, Rose DE, Farmer M, Canelo I, Yano EM. Organizational factors affecting the likelihood of cancer screening among VA patients. *Med Care*. 2015;53(12):1040-1049.
329. del Junco DJ, Vernon SW, Coan SP, et al. Promoting regular mammography screening i. A systematic assessment of validity in a randomized trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2008;100(5):333-346.
330. Forbus L, Kelly UA. Screening for obstructive sleep apnea in veterans seeking treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder. *ANS Adv Nurs Sci*. 2015;38(4):298-305.
331. Gellad ZF, Stechuchak KM, Fisher DA, et al. Longitudinal adherence to fecal occult blood testing impacts colorectal cancer screening quality. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2011;106(6):1125-1134.
332. Griffin JM, Burgess D, Vernon SW, et al. Are gender differences in colorectal cancer screening rates due to differences in self-reporting? *Prev Med*. 2009;49(5):436-441.
333. Haskell SG, Gordon KS, Mattocks K, et al. Gender differences in rates of depression, PTSD, pain, obesity, and military sexual trauma among Connecticut war veterans of Iraq and Afghanistan. *J Womens Health*. 2010;19(2):267-271.
334. Lairson DR, Chan W, Chang YC, del Junco DJ, Vernon SW. Cost-effectiveness of targeted versus tailored interventions to promote mammography screening among women military veterans in the United States. *Eval Program Plann*. 2011;34(2):97-104.
335. Littman AJ, Koepsell TD, Forsberg CW, Haselkorn JK, Boyko EJ. Preventive services in veterans in relation to disability. *J Rehabil Res Dev*. 2012;49(3):339-350.
336. Rivera CM, Copeland LA, McNeal CJ, Mortensen EM, Pugh MJ, MacCarthy DJ. Use of health care system-supplied aspirin by veterans with postoperative heart attack or unstable angina. *Am J Med Sci*. 2015;350(4):263-267.
337. Ross JS, Keyhani S, Keenan PS, et al. Dual use of Veterans Affairs services and use of recommended ambulatory care. *Med Care*. 2008;46(3):309-316.
338. Vernon SW, del Junco DJ, Tiro JA, et al. Promoting regular mammography screening ii. Results from a randomized controlled trial in US women veterans. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2008;100(5):347-358.
339. Yancy WS, Jr., McDuffie JR, Stechuchak KM, et al. Obesity and receipt of clinical preventive services in veterans. *Obesity*. 2010;18(9):1827-1835.

340. Yee EF, White R, Lee SJ, et al. Mental illness: Is there an association with cancer screening among women veterans? *Womens Health Issues*. 2011;21(4 Suppl):S195-202.
341. Federman DG, Kravetz JD, Ma F, Kirsner RS. Patient gender affects skin cancer screening practices and attitudes among veterans. *South Med J*. 2008;101(5):513-518.
342. Murphy CC, Vernon SW, Diamond PM, Tiro JA. Competitive testing of health behavior theories: How do benefits, barriers, subjective norm, and intention influence mammography behavior? *Ann Behav Med*. 2014;47(1):120-129.
343. Bastian LA, Trentalange M, Murphy TE, et al. Association between women veterans' experiences with VA outpatient health care and designation as a women's health provider in primary care clinics. *Womens Health Issues*. 2014;24(6):605-612.
344. Bean-Mayberry B, Bastian L, Trentalange M, et al. Associations between provider designation and female-specific cancer screening in women veterans. *Med Care*. 2015;53(4 Suppl 1):S47-54.
345. Bergman AA, Frankel RM, Hamilton AB, Yano EM. Challenges with delivering gender-specific and comprehensive primary care to women veterans. *Womens Health Issues*. 2015;25(1):28-34.
346. Cordasco KM, Zephyrin LC, Kessler CS, et al. An inventory of VHA emergency departments' resources and processes for caring for women. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2013;28 Suppl 2:S583-590.
347. Cordasco KM, Zuchowski JL, Hamilton AB, et al. Early lessons learned in implementing a women's health educational and virtual consultation program in VA. *Med Care*. 2015;53(4 Suppl 1):S88-92.
348. deKleijn M, Lagro-Janssen AL, Canelo I, Yano EM. Creating a roadmap for delivering gender-sensitive comprehensive care for women veterans: Results of a national expert panel. *Med Care*. 2015;53(4 Suppl 1):S156-164.
349. Johnson-Lawrence VD, Szymanski BR, Zivin K, McCarthy JF, Valenstein M, Pfeiffer PN. Primary care-mental health integration programs in the Veterans Affairs health system serve a different patient population than specialty mental health clinics. *Prim Care Companion CNS Disord*. 2012;14(3).
350. Kauth MR, Shipherd JC, Lindsay JA, Kirsh S, Knapp H, Matza L. Teleconsultation and training of VHA providers on transgender care: Implementation of a multisite hub system. *Telemed J E Health*. 2015;21(12):1012-1018.
351. Kilbourne AM, Pirraglia PA, Lai Z, et al. Quality of general medical care among patients with serious mental illness: Does colocation of services matter? *Psychiatr Serv*. 2011;62(8):922-928.
352. Kimerling R, Bastian LA, Bean-Mayberry BA, et al. Patient-centered mental health care for female veterans. *Psychiatr Serv*. 2015;66(2):155-162.
353. MacGregor C, Hamilton AB, Oishi SM, Yano EM. Description, development, and philosophies of mental health service delivery for female veterans in the VA: A qualitative study. *Womens Health Issues*. 2011;21(4 Suppl):S138-144.
354. Mengeling MA, Sadler AG, Torner J, Booth BM. Evolving comprehensive VA women's health care: Patient characteristics, needs, and preferences. *Womens Health Issues*. 2011;21(4 Suppl):S120-129.
355. Moin T, Ertl K, Schneider J, et al. Women veterans' experience with a web-based diabetes prevention program: A qualitative study to inform future practice. *J Med Internet Res*. 2015;17(5):e127.

356. Oishi SM, Rose DE, Washington DL, MacGregor C, Bean-Mayberry B, Yano EM. National variations in VA mental health care for women veterans. *Womens Health Issues*. 2011;21(4 Suppl):S130-137.
357. O'Toole TP, Pirraglia PA, Dosa D, et al. Building care systems to improve access for high-risk and vulnerable veteran populations. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2011;26 Suppl 2:683-688.
358. Sherman MD, Kauth MR, Shipherd JC, Street RL, Jr. Communication between VA providers and sexual and gender minority veterans: A pilot study. *Psychol Serv*. 2014;11(2):235-242.
359. Tsai J, David DH, Edens EL, Crutchfield A. Considering child care and parenting needs in Veterans Affairs mental health services. *Eval Program Plann*. 2013;39:19-22.
360. Tsai J, Mota NP, Pietrzak RH. U.S. Female veterans who do and do not rely on VA health care: Needs and barriers to mental health treatment. *Psychiatr Serv*. 2015;66(11):1200-1206.
361. Vigil JM, Alcock J, Coulombe P, et al. Ethnic disparities in emergency severity index scores among U.S. Veteran's affairs emergency department patients. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(5):e0126792.
362. Vogt DS, Barry AA, King LA. Toward gender-aware health care: Evaluation of an intervention to enhance care for female patients in the VA setting. *J Health Psychol*. 2008;13(5):624-638.
363. Wagner C, Dichter ME, Mattocks K. Women veterans' pathways to and perspectives on Veterans Affairs health care. *Womens Health Issues*. 2015;25(6):658-665.
364. Washington DL, Bean-Mayberry B, Hamilton AB, Cordasco KM, Yano EM. Women veterans' healthcare delivery preferences and use by military service era: Findings from the national survey of women veterans. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2013;28 Suppl 2:S571-576.
365. Washington DL, Bean-Mayberry B, Mitchell MN, Riopelle D, Yano EM. Tailoring VA primary care to women veterans: Association with patient-rated quality and satisfaction. *Womens Health Issues*. 2011;21(4 Suppl):S112-119.
366. Washington DL, Farmer MM, Mor SS, Canning M, Yano EM. Assessment of the healthcare needs and barriers to VA use experienced by women veterans: Findings from the national survey of women veterans. *Med Care*. 2015;53(4 Suppl 1):S23-31.
367. Whitehead AM, Czarnogorski M, Wright SM, Hayes PM, Haskell SG. Improving trends in gender disparities in the Department of Veterans Affairs: 2008-2013. *Am J Public Health*. 2014;104 Suppl 4:S529-531.
368. Hausmann LR, Gao S, Mor MK, Schaefer JH, Jr., Fine MJ. Patterns of sex and racial/ethnic differences in patient health care experiences in US Veterans Affairs hospitals. *Med Care*. 2014;52(4):328-335.
369. Kimerling R, Pavao J, Greene L, et al. Access to mental health care among women veterans: Is VA meeting women's needs? *Med Care*. 2015;53(4 Suppl 1):S97-S104.
370. Martinez ME, Kearney DJ, Simpson T, Felleman BI, Bernardi N, Sayre G. Challenges to enrollment and participation in mindfulness-based stress reduction among veterans: A qualitative study. *J Altern Complement Med*. 2015;21(7):409-421.
371. Sambamoorthi U, Bean-Mayberry B, Findley PA, Yano EM, Banerjee R. Organization of care and diagnosed depression among women veterans. *Am J Manag Care*. 2010;16(9):657-665.

372. Seal KH, Cohen G, Bertenthal D, Cohen BE, Maguen S, Daley A. Reducing barriers to mental health and social services for Iraq and Afghanistan veterans: Outcomes of an integrated primary care clinic. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2011;26(10):1160-1167.
373. Brooks E, Dailey N, Bair B, Shore J. Rural women veterans demographic report: Defining VA users' health and health care access in rural areas. *J Rural Health.* 2014;30(2):146-152.
374. Ohl M, Lund B, Belperio PS, et al. Rural residence and adoption of a novel HIV therapy in a national, equal-access healthcare system. *AIDS Behav.* 2013;17(1):250-259.
375. Tan G, Teo I, Srivastava D, et al. Improving access to care for women veterans suffering from chronic pain and depression associated with trauma. *Pain Med.* 2013;14(7):1010-1020.
376. Delcher C, Wang Y, Maldonado-Molina M. Trends in financial barriers to medical care for women veterans, 2003-2004 and 2009-2010. *Prev Chronic Dis.* 2013;10:E171.
377. Duggal M, Goulet JL, Womack J, et al. Comparison of outpatient health care utilization among returning women and men veterans from Afghanistan and Iraq. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2010;10:175.
378. Fox AB, Meyer EC, Vogt D. Attitudes about the VA health-care setting, mental illness, and mental health treatment and their relationship with VA mental health service use among female and male OEF/OIF veterans. *Psychol Serv.* 2015;12(1):49-58.
379. Friedman SA, Frayne SM, Berg E, et al. Travel time and attrition from VHA care among women veterans: How far is too far? *Med Care.* 2015;53(4 Suppl 1):S15-22.
380. Friedman SA, Phibbs CS, Schmitt SK, Hayes PM, Herrera L, Frayne SM. New women veterans in the VHA: A longitudinal profile. *Womens Health Issues.* 2011;21(4 Suppl):S103-111.
381. Grossbard JR, Lehavot K, Hoerster KD, Jakupcak M, Seal KH, Simpson TL. Relationships among veteran status, gender, and key health indicators in a national young adult sample. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2013;64(6):547-553.
382. Hamilton AB, Frayne SM, Cordasco KM, Washington DL. Factors related to attrition from VA healthcare use: Findings from the national survey of women veterans. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2013;28 Suppl 2:S510-516.
383. Hamilton AB, Poza I, Hines V, Washington DL. Barriers to psychosocial services among homeless women veterans. *J Soc Work Pract Addict.* 2012;12(1):52-68.
384. Kauth MR, Shipherd JC, Lindsay J, Blosnich JR, Brown GR, Jones KT. Access to care for transgender veterans in the Veterans Health Administration: 2006-2013. *Am J Public Health.* 2014;104 Suppl 4:S532-534.
385. Lehavot K, Der-Martirosian C, Simpson TL, Sadler AG, Washington DL. Barriers to care for women veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder and depressive symptoms. *Psychol Serv.* 2013;10(2):203-212.
386. McCarthy JF, Valenstein M, Dixon L, Visnic S, Blow FC, Slade E. Initiation of assertive community treatment among veterans with serious mental illness: Client and program factors. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2009;60(2):196-201.
387. Owens GP, Herrera CJ, Whitesell AA. A preliminary investigation of mental health needs and barriers to mental health care for female veterans of Iraq and Afghanistan. *Traumatology.* 2009;15(2):31-37.

388. Shen C, Sambamoorthi U. Associations between health-related quality of life and financial barriers to care among women veterans and women non-veterans. *Women Health*. 2012;52(1):1-17.
389. Shen Y, Hendricks A, Wang F, Gardner J, Kazis LE. The impact of private insurance coverage on veterans' use of VA care: Insurance and selection effects. *Health Serv Res*. Feb 2008;43(1 Pt 1):267-286.
390. Shipherd JC, Mizock L, Maguen S, Green KE. Male-to-female transgender veterans and VA health care utilization. *Int J Sex Health*. 2012;24(1):78-87.
391. Simpson TL, Balsam KF, Cochran BN, Lehavot K, Gold SD. Veterans administration health care utilization among sexual minority veterans. *Psychol Serv*. 2013;10(2):223-232.
392. Washington DL, Bean-Mayberry B, Riopelle D, Yano EM. Access to care for women veterans: Delayed healthcare and unmet need. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2011;26 Suppl 2:655-661.
393. Di Leone BA, Vogt D, Gradus JL, Street AE, Giasson HL, Resick PA. Predictors of mental health care use among male and female veterans deployed in support of the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq. *Psychol Serv*. 2013;10(2):145-151.
394. Elbogen EB, Wagner HR, Johnson SC, et al. Are Iraq and Afghanistan veterans using mental health services? New data from a national random-sample survey. *Psychiatr Serv*. 2013;64(2):134-141.
395. Hundt NE, Barrera TL, Mott JM, et al. Predisposing, enabling, and need factors as predictors of low and high psychotherapy utilization in veterans. *Psychol Serv*. Aug 2014;11(3):281-289.
396. Koo KH, Madden E, Maguen S. Race-ethnicity and gender differences in VA health care service utilization among U.S. Veterans of recent conflicts. *Psychiatr Serv*. 2015;66(5):507-513.
397. Kramer BJ, Jouldjian S, Washington DL, Harker JO, Saliba D, Yano EM. Health care for american indian and alaska native women. *Womens Health Issues*. 2009;19(2):135-143.
398. Reinhard MJ, Nassif TH, Bloeser K, et al. Cam utilization among OEF/OIF veterans: Findings from the national health study for a new generation of US veterans. *Med Care*. 2014;52(12 Suppl 5):S45-49.
399. West AN, Lee PW. Associations between childbirth and women veterans' VA and non-VA hospitalizations for major diagnostic categories. *Mil Med*. 2013;178(11):1250-1255.
400. Afari N, Pittman J, Floto E, et al. Differential impact of combat on postdeployment symptoms in female and male veterans of Iraq and Afghanistan. *Mil Med*. 2015;180(3):296-303.
401. Burkhart L, Hogan N. Being a female veteran: A grounded theory of coping with transitions. *Soc Work Ment Health*. 2015;13(2):108-127.
402. Eisen SV, Schultz MR, Vogt D, et al. Mental and physical health status and alcohol and drug use following return from deployment to Iraq or Afghanistan. *Am J Public Health*. 2012;102 Suppl 1:S66-73.
403. Haskell SG, Mattocks K, Goulet JL, et al. The burden of illness in the first year home: Do male and female VA users differ in health conditions and healthcare utilization. *Womens Health Issues*. 2011;21(1):92-97.

404. Haskell SG, Ning Y, Krebs E, et al. Prevalence of painful musculoskeletal conditions in female and male veterans in 7 years after return from deployment in Operation Enduring Freedom/Operation Iraqi Freedom. *Clin J Pain*. 2012;28(2):163-167.
405. Hoglund MW, Schwartz RM. Mental health in deployed and nondeployed veteran men and women in comparison with their civilian counterparts. *Mil Med*. 2014;179(1):19-25.
406. Kachadourian LK, Smith BN, Taft CT, Vogt D. The impact of infidelity on combat-exposed service members. *J Trauma Stress*. 2015;28(5):418-425.
407. Kang HK, Cypel Y, Kilbourne AM, et al. Healthviews: Mortality study of female US Vietnam era veterans, 1965-2010. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2014;179(6):721-730.
408. Katz LS, Cojucar G, Davenport CT, Pedram C, Lindl C. Post-deployment readjustment inventory: Reliability, validity, and gender differences. *Mil Psychol*. 2010;22(1):41-56.
409. Koenig CJ, Maguen S, Monroy JD, Mayott L, Seal KH. Facilitating culture-centered communication between health care providers and veterans transitioning from military deployment to civilian life. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2014;95(3):414-420.
410. Leslie DL, Goulet J, Skanderson M, Mattocks K, Haskell S, Brandt C. VA health care utilization and costs among male and female veterans in the year after service in Afghanistan and Iraq. *Mil Med*. 2011;176(3):265-269.
411. Mankowski M, Haskell SG, Brandt C, Mattocks KM. Social support throughout the deployment cycle for women veterans returning from Iraq and Afghanistan. *Soc Work Health Care*. 2015;54(4):287-306.
412. Rivera JC, Hylden CM, Johnson AE. Disability after deployment injury: Are women and men service members different? *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2015;473(8):2448-2454.
413. Sayer NA, Noorbaloochi S, Frazier PA, et al. Randomized controlled trial of online expressive writing to address readjustment difficulties among U.S. Afghanistan and Iraq War veterans. *J Trauma Stress*. 2015;28(5):381-390.
414. Street AE, Gradus JL, Giasson HL, Vogt D, Resick PA. Gender differences among veterans deployed in support of the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2013;28 Suppl 2:S556-562.
415. Villagran M, Ledford CJ, Canzona MR. Women's health identities in the transition from military member to service veteran. *J Health Commun*. 2015;20(10):1125-1132.
416. Wang JM, Lee LO, Spiro A, 3rd. Gender differences in the impact of warfare exposure on self-rated health. *Womens Health Issues*. 2015;25(1):35-41.
417. Maguen S, Madden E, Cohen BE, Bertenthal D, Seal KH. Time to treatment among veterans of conflicts in Iraq and Afghanistan with psychiatric diagnoses. *Psychiatr Serv*. 2012;63(12):1206-1212.
418. Blackstock OJ, Haskell SG, Brandt CA, Desai RA. Gender and the use of Veterans Health Administration homeless services programs among Iraq/Afghanistan veterans. *Med Care*. 2012;50(4):347-352.
419. Hamilton AB, Poza I, Washington DL. "Homelessness and trauma go hand-in-hand": Pathways to homelessness among women veterans. *Womens Health Issues*. 2011;21(4):S203-209.
420. Harpaz-Rotem I, Rosenheck RA, Desai R. Residential treatment for homeless female veterans with psychiatric and substance use disorders: Effect on 1-year clinical outcomes. *J Rehabil Res Dev*. 2011;48(8):891-899.

421. Metraux S, Clegg LX, Daigh JD, Culhane DP, Kane V. Risk factors for becoming homeless among a cohort of veterans who served in the era of the Iraq and Afghanistan conflicts. *Am J Public Health*. Dec 2013;103 Suppl 2:S255-261.
422. Montgomery AE, Byrne TH. Services utilization among recently homeless veterans: A gender-based comparison. *Mil Med*. 2014;179(3):236-239.
423. Tsai J, KasproW WJ, Kane V, Rosenheck RA. National comparison of literally homeless male and female VA service users: Entry characteristics, clinical needs, and service patterns. *Womens Health Issues*. 2014;24(1):e29-35.
424. Tsai J, Pietrzak RH, Rosenheck RA. Homeless veterans who served in Iraq and Afghanistan: Gender differences, combat exposure, and comparisons with previous cohorts of homeless veterans. *Adm Policy Ment Health*. Sep 2013;40(5):400-405.
425. Tsai J, Rosenheck RA, Kane V. Homeless female U.S. Veterans in a national supported housing program: Comparison of individual characteristics and outcomes with male veterans. *Psychol Serv*. 2014;11(3):309-316.
426. Tsai J, Rosenheck RA, KasproW WJ, Kane V. Characteristics and use of services among literally homeless and unstably housed U.S. Veterans with custody of minor children. *Psychiatr Serv*. 2015;66(10):1083-1090.
427. Tsai J, Rosenheck RA, McGuire JF. Comparison of outcomes of homeless female and male veterans in transitional housing. *Community Ment Health J*. 2012;48(6):705-710.
428. Washington DL, Yano EM, McGuire J, Hines V, Lee M, Gelberg L. Risk factors for homelessness among women veterans. *J Health Care Poor Underserved*. 2010;21(1):82-91.
429. Montgomery AE, Dichter ME, Thomasson AM, Fu X, Roberts CB. Demographic characteristics associated with homelessness and risk among female and male veterans accessing VHA outpatient care. *Womens Health Issues*. 2015;25(1):42-48.
430. Allore HG, Ning Y, Brandt CA, Goulet JL. Accounting for the hierarchical structure in Veterans Health Administration data: Differences in healthcare utilization between men and women veterans. *Int J Stat Med Res*. 2013;2(2):94-103.
431. Blosnich J, FoyneS MM, Shipherd JC. Health disparities among sexual minority women veterans. *J Womens Health*. 2013;22(7):631-636.
432. Cypel Y, Kang H. Mortality patterns among women Vietnam-era veterans: Results of a retrospective cohort study. *Ann Epidemiol*. 2008;18(3):244-252.
433. Hamilton AB, Williams L, Washington DL. Military and mental health correlates of unemployment in a national sample of women veterans. *Med Care*. 2015;53(4 Suppl 1):S32-38.
434. Heslin KC, Gin JL, Afable MK, Ricci K, Dobalian A. Personal medication preparedness among veteran and nonveteran men and women in the California population. *Prehosp Disaster Med*. 2013;28(4):359-366.
435. Lehavot K, Hoerster KD, Nelson KM, Jakupcak M, Simpson TL. Health indicators for military, veteran, and civilian women. *Am J Prev Med*. 2012;42(5):473-480.
436. Linsky A, Simon SR, Bokhour B. Patient perceptions of proactive medication discontinuation. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2015;98(2):220-225.
437. Savas LS, del Junco DJ, Bastian LA, Vernon SW. Mortality ascertainment of women veterans: A comparison of sources of vital status information, 1979-2002. *Med Care*. 2009;47(1):125-128.

438. Yoon J, Scott JY, Phibbs CS, Frayne SM. Trends in rates and attributable costs of conditions among female VA patients, 2000 and 2008. *Womens Health Issues*. 2012;22(3):e337-344.
439. Frayne SM, Yano EM, Nguyen VQ, et al. Gender disparities in Veterans Health Administration care: Importance of accounting for veteran status. *Med Care*. 2008;46(5):549-553.
440. Schnurr PP, Friedman MJ, Engel CC, et al. Cognitive behavioral therapy for posttraumatic stress disorder in women: A randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2007;297(8):820-830.
441. Cordasco KM, Huynh AK, Zephyrin L, et al. Building capacity in VA to provide emergency gynecology services for women. *Med Care*. 2015;53(4 Suppl 1):S81-87.
442. Yano E, Frayne S (eds). Health and health care of women veterans and women in the military: Research informing evidence-based practice and policy. *Womens Health Issues*. 2011;21(4, Suppl).
443. Bastian LA, Bosworth HB, Washington DL, Yano EM (eds). Women veterans health and health care. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2013;28(Suppl 2).
444. Bastian LA, Mattocks KM, Bean-Mayberry B, et al. (eds). Women veteran's health and health care. *Med Care*. 2015;53(Suppl 1).
445. Yano EM, Bastian LA, Bean-Mayberry B, et al. Using research to transform care for women veterans: Advancing the research agenda and enhancing research-clinical partnerships. *Womens Health Issues*. 2011;21-4S:S73-S83.
446. Rohrer LD, Gierisch JM, Fish LJ, Blakeney JK, Bastian LA. A five-step guide for moving from observational studies to interventional research for women veterans. *Womens Health Issues*. 2011;21(4 Suppl):S98-102.
447. Bastian LA, Bosworth HB, Washington DL, Yano EM. Setting the stage: Research to inform interventions, practice and policy to improve women veterans' health and health care. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2013;28(Suppl 2):491-494.
448. US Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, Office of Research on Women's Health. *Moving into the future with new dimensions and strategies: A vision for 2020 for women's health research. Strategic plan*. (NIH Publication No. 10-7606) Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health, 2010.
449. Schulz KF, Altman DG, D. M. CONSORT 2010 statement: Updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomized trials. *Ann Intern Med*. 2010;152(1):1-8.
450. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gotsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) statement: Guidelines for reporting observational studies. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2008;61(4):344-349.
451. Institute of Medicine. *Women's health research: Progress, pitfalls, and promise*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press, 2010.
452. Institute of Medicine. *Sex-specific reporting of scientific research: A workshop summary*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press, 2012.
453. Conard PL, Sauls DJ. Deployment and PTSD in the female combat veteran: A systematic review. *Nurs Forum*. 2014;49(1):1-10.

454. Crum-Cianflone NF, Jacobson I. Gender differences of postdeployment post-traumatic stress disorder among service members and veterans of the Iraq and Afghanistan conflicts. *Epidemiol Rev.* 2014;36(1):5-18.
455. Middleton K, Craig CD. A systematic literature review of PTSD among female veterans from 1990 to 2010. *Social Work in Mental Health.* 2012;10(3):233-252.
456. Chapman SL, Wu LT. Suicide and substance use among female veterans: A need for research. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2014;136:1-10.
457. Hoggatt KJ, Jamison AL, Lehavot K, Cucciare MA, Timko C, Simpson TL. Alcohol and drug misuse, abuse, and dependence in women veterans. *Epidemiol Rev.* 2015;37:23-37.
458. Runnals JJ, Garovoy N, McCutcheon SJ, et al. Systematic review of women veterans' mental health. *Womens Health Issues.* 2014;24(5):485-502.
459. Bielawski MP, Goldstein KM, Mattocks KM, Bean-Mayberry B, Yano EM, Bastian LA. Improving care of chronic conditions for women veterans: Identifying opportunities for comparative effectiveness research. *J Comp Eff Res.* 2014;3(2):155-166.
460. Zaleski, K.L. and L.S. Katz, Alice in wonderland: Exploring the experiences of female service members with a pregnancy resulting from rape. *Social Work in Mental Health,* 2014. 12(5-6): p. 391-410.
461. Backus, L.I., et al., Impact of race/ethnicity and gender on HCV screening and prevalence among US veterans in Department of Veterans Affairs Care. *American journal of public health,* 2014. 104(S4): p. S555-S561.
462. Wilmoth, J.M., A.S. London, and W.M. Parker, Sex differences in the relationship between military service status and functional limitations and disabilities. *Population Research and Policy Review,* 2011. 30(3): p. 333-354.

## APPENDIX A. SEARCH STRATEGIES

### OVID MEDLINE and CINAHL

Search Terms	Description
1 Women/	MeSH Term
2 Women's Health/	MeSH Term
3 Women's Health Services/	MeSH Term
4 Transgendered Persons/	MeSH Term
5 (reproductive or reproduction or pregnan* or birth* or fertility or infertility or infertile or menstrual or menstruation or menses or urinary tract or sexually transmitted or hiv or cervical or ovarian or genital or gynecologic* or breast or congenital abnormalities).mp.	Search with .mp (see footnote) for any of the listed words related to women's health issues
6 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5	Combine with "or" lines 1-5 above; carry forward all articles identified with one of the terms in lines 1-5
7 Veterans/ or Veterans Health/ or "United States Department of Veterans Affairs"/	MeSH term
8 (post deployment or post-deployment).mp.	Search with .mp for post-deployment
9 (VA or VHA or VAMC or veteran*).mp.	Search with .mp for Veteran health abbreviations or Veteran/Veterans
10 Hospitals, veterans/	MeSH term
11 7 or 8 or 9 or 10	Combine with "or" lines 7-10 above; carry forward all articles identified with one of the terms in lines 7-10
12 6 and 11	Combine with "and" lines 6 and 11; carry forward all articles from women's health terms and Veteran terms
13 ((women or LGBT or gay or lesbian or bisexual or female or transgender or transsexual or queer) and veteran*).ti,ab.	Search title and abstract for veteran "and" any of the terms in parentheses ("or")
14 12 or 13	Combine with "or" lines 12-13; carry forward all articles from overall search and focused search in line 13
15 Limit 14 to (English language and yr = "2008-Current")	Include only studies published in English and published from 2008 to the date of the search

.mp = multi-purpose (OVID); fields searched by a .mp search include Title, Original Title, Abstract, Subject Heading, Name of Substance, and Registry Word fields

MeSH = Medical Subject Heading (MEDLINE)

## APPENDIX B. PEER REVIEW COMMENTS/AUTHOR RESPONSES

REVIEWER COMMENT	RESPONSE
<b>1. Are the objectives, scope, and methods for this review clearly described?</b>	
Yes	
Yes	
Yes	
No: While the review is clearly designed as a broad evidence map, rather than a systematic review, the framing for identifying gaps seems undermined by the statement that there were no key questions or analytic framework. Seems that given the findings of a very large literature (perhaps 2-3 times the size as may have been anticipated at the outset), there are advantages to this ESP's approach. Perhaps a better way to describe this at the beginning of the report (both Exec Sum and narrative) would be to indicate that while focusing on key topical areas may have yielded a more traditional synthesis, the stakeholders were interested in the field's growth and breadth, which the report aims to accomplish by organizing the review around healthcare topics and study characteristics. This information is there but somewhat awkwardly stated.	Thank you for the suggestion. We have revised the wording in the Introduction of the Executive Summary and Evidence Report.
Yes	
<b>2. Is there any indication of bias in our synthesis of the evidence?</b>	
No	
<b>Yes:</b> Bias towards "large sample size is better" ...this is problematic, particularly as studies featuring secondary data analysis of medical record data are compared with clinical trials for psychotherapy	Thank you for bringing this potential source of bias to our attention. Although large, multicenter trials and large medical record studies allow for greater confidence in and generalizability of the findings, for some research topics these types of studies are not feasible or appropriate. We have added in language to this effect in the section describing the results by "Sample Size" on page 46.
No	
No	
No	
<b>3. Are there any published or unpublished studies that we may have overlooked?</b>	
Yes - Impact of Smoking Cessation on Subsequent Pain Intensity Among Chronically Ill Veterans Enrolled in a Smoking Cessation Trial. Lori A. Bastian, MD, MPH, Laura J. Fish, PhD, Jennifer M. Gierisch, PhD, MPH, Karen M. Stechuchak, MS, Steven C. Grambow, PhD, and Francis J. Keefe, PhD J Pain Symptom Manage 2015;50:822 includes a gender analysis (see Table 3) of a VA-funded RCT	Thank you for bringing this article to our attention. We have determined that this study does meet our inclusion/exclusion criteria and have incorporated it into our evidence map. We believe it was not previously captured by our search because the abstract does not



	include any reference to women and there are no MeSH terms identified in PubMed. It is also possible that this December 2015 publication was released after our search dates.
No	
<b>4. Additional suggestions or comments can be provided below. If applicable, please indicate the page and line numbers from the draft report.</b>	
I am extremely impressed with the quality of this review and I think the summary of the results and recommendations are excellent. I was aware of one study (noted above) that included a gender analysis and was not included in the report but it is possible that it does not meet all of the eligibility criteria.	Thank you. As noted above, we have now included the identified study.
<p>This is a well-written and thorough description of a significant body of work. In particular, the authors are commended on the significant effort involved in reviewing and summarizing the notably large number of articles identification in this evidence map. This report will be very helpful to direct the areas in which the field needs to move.</p> <p>Page 12, line 51/52 &amp; page 13 line 6-9: The authors note that not all abstract or full-texts were reviewed by two independent reviewers. It is understandable that this approach was taken given the large number of abstracts (2k+) and full texts (1k+). However, the rationale and approach as outlined in the discussion (page 44, line 30-44) should be included in more detail in the methods section as well.</p> <p>Page 12, line 28-30 and 13, line 7-9: For both abstract review and data abstraction – only a minority of studies underwent double review (20% for abstracts and 10% for data abstraction) Please include the error rate or the number of missed studies, as appropriate, or some additional description of frequency of errors identified in those studies that did undergo double review to help the reader understand the potential error rate overall.</p> <p>Page 12, line 31-49: The reason and number of exclusions at the full text level were given. Is similar information available at the abstract level? Specifically, it would be interesting to know the number excluded due to an absolute small n – especially as that may have excluded qualitative studies that could be of interest to researchers.</p>	<p>Thank you.</p> <p>Thank you for the suggestion. We added information to the Methods section.</p> <p>We did not track the error rates. However, we noted that most disagreement existed within subjective categories (especially combination and “other” categories), and for this reason those categories were subsequently double reviewed.</p> <p>We did not keep track of exclusions at the abstract level. It should be noted that at either abstract or full text review, studies are excluded if any <b>one</b> of the exclusion criteria are met. All of the reasons that a study would not be eligible are not recorded. To respond to the reviewer’s concern that small qualitative studies may have been excluded, please note that absolute small n was not an exclusion criteria, and very small qualitative studies were included. Small studies were only excluded if they included less than 100 participants total AND less than 10% of the study population was female. In a study of 50</p>



<p>Page 3/16: The healthcare categories used are not entirely intuitive. Given that the strategic priorities areas from the VA WHRN were referenced (on page 7, line 25-34), would consider reorganizing under those headings (keeping same headings but cluster under 6 SPAs).</p> <p>Page 12, line 33-47: would add that systematic reviews were also excluded</p> <p>Page 4, line 6-15: the wedge headings run together and are hard to read</p> <p>Page 5, Executive summary figure 4: the labeling of the pie wedges is confusing with multiple percentages being listed on the same wedge. Consider re-labeling potentially using total N of women or some other mechanism or just dropping the number referring to the % women in the study given that it is included in the legend.</p> <p>Page 23, figure 2: this is great!</p> <p>Page 25, line 7: it appears you are missing a citation after “LGBT population”</p> <p>Page 37, line 4-6: since you refer the efforts through the VA women’s health research network, it might be nice to add how many of these multi-site studies took place in the PBRN.</p> <p>Finally, since the bulk of included articles prevents any detailed summary or analysis of the findings, I’m wondering if there are other ways to capitalize on the work presented in this report. First, would consider including potential sub-topic areas where there appears to be sufficient evidence to support a systematic review and potential meta-analysis (could include this on page 9 under “opportunities for expanded reviews”).</p> <p>Second, it would be wonderful if the references could be annotated to show to which healthcare categories specific articles map, in particular if you could show which primary and secondary categories to which they mapped. Perhaps this would be well-suited to an appendix. I see that some of this information is listed on page 14 lines 19-4, but additional detail would allow other researchers to more fully benefit from your work and findings.</p>	<p>patients, this would require 5 women; in a small qualitative study of only 20 participants, we required at least 2 women.</p> <p>We developed the healthcare categories in consultation with our topic stakeholders and Technical Expert Panel members. We attempted to link our categories with the VA WHRN strategic priority areas in Table 2.</p> <p>This change has been made.</p> <p>We believe this might be an issue with translation from Word to PDF and we will verify that the final version is easy to read.</p> <p>We modified the pie charts for the final report. We left the labeling so the figure would be suitable for non-color printing. We have added a footnote to indicate that the numbers are numbers of studies.</p> <p>Thank you.</p> <p>The citation (Booth 2012) has been added.</p> <p>We did not extract this information.</p> <p>On the advice of several peer-reviewers, we have added in a list of suggested areas for future reviews.</p> <p>The reference numbers are linked to the healthcare categories in the opening paragraph of the results section. A complete Excel spreadsheet and linked EndNote file will also be provided to our topic nominators for use in future research.</p>
<p>The VA’s Evidence-Based Synthesis Program Center has provided a comprehensive overview of the content areas and types of studies related to women Veteran’s health published between 2008 and 2015. This will be a valuable resource for researchers and funding agencies to determine ongoing research priorities related to women veteran’s health. While it is understandable that all 437 articles could not be individually extracted, my interest in knowing the results of several of them was piqued. Beyond knowing more about the results of studies, my only request is whether more could be written about how this evidence synthesis fits within</p>	<p>Thank you.</p> <p>We created Table 2 to more clearly link articles within our healthcare categories to the planned research agenda</p>



<p>the research agenda established at the 2010 VA Women's Health Services Research Conference (a citation is provided, but it would be nice to know a little more about it here).</p>	<p>created by the 2010 VA Women's Health Services Research Conference.</p>
<p>TITLE: The title would benefit from something more descriptive and clear about what the report entails, e.g., Systematic review of the Women Veterans' Health Research Literature (2008-2015): Evidence Map of Healthcare Topical Coverage and Key Study Characteristics.</p> <p>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: The VA Women's Health Research Network was established in 2010, not 2008. And it does not have as its primary goal supporting research-clinical partnerships. Its goal is to build capacity in the conduct of VA women's health research through education/training, technical support, mentorship and dissemination, as well as to foster inclusion of women Veterans in VA research through establishment of a VA Women's Health Practice Based Research Network. Together, these activities are designed to achieve the VA women's health research agenda and help move VA women's health research from purely descriptive and observational studies to interventional and implementation research over time. I suspect that is too much information for what you want to do here, so maybe a shorter version is to increase the research evidence base on women Veterans' health and health care needs and fill critical knowledge gaps capable of systematically improving women's health care and reducing sex/gender disparities.</p> <p>2nd parag: Veterans' health not Veteran's health.</p> <p>Exec Sum Table 1. Medical Topics -- wasn't clear why TBI and SCI were pulled out given small size of WV population in these highly specialized areas.</p> <p>See no primary care and no coordination of care. Where would those kinds of article go? Maybe it would be useful to put an "e.g.," after Healthcare Delivery if that's a "bucket" for these kinds of topics?</p>	<p>The title has been changed to: An Evidence Map of the Women Veterans' Health Research Literature (2008 – 2015)</p> <p>Correction made: 2008 changed to 2010 (typographical error)</p> <p>Adapted this description to replace language in text</p> <p>Corrected.</p> <p>Polytrauma and TBI were identified as specific gaps in the research about the health of women Veterans in the previous review by Bean-Mayberry, et al. Though the number of women Veterans affected by these conditions is currently small, the authors recognized the potential growth in this population with anticipated changes in combat participation by women Veterans.</p> <p>We initially attempted to identify medical topic articles that pertained to "primary care" issues, and after completing a small random sample, determined that it was not clear which clinical conditions should be deemed "primary care" and which should be categorized under "chronic conditions" given the significant overlap between these groupings. We found it was more straightforward to identify these articles based on the actual medical topic addressed, and thus developed the 14 medical conditions listed in Table 1. Articles that specifically addressed the delivery of primary care (including care coordination), were placed in a new category, titled, "Healthcare delivery," which also includes articles related to the delivery of mental health and emergency care. We included some reference to this in the Exec Summary discussion section on page 8, though for the purposes of</p>



<p>Exec Sum Fig 1 and Fig 2. These need sample sizes (or article #s as the case may be). Under Fig 2, why would trials and secondary analyses be combined? Seems an odd combination. What are you placing under Observational studies? An example in the narrative would help. Ah, is the secondary analyses mention about secondary analyses of trial data? If so, that needs to be clarified or the takeaway will be misleading. I see it is noted in the narrative. Hmm, maybe that's the best you can do. I am used to seeing tables and figures footnoted so that they are standalone in case someone views them without reading text, but if a question comes up, they'll just have to read the narrative. Same for Figs 3 and 4, recommending adding the article count like a sample size.</p> <p>Exec Sum Discussion: Parag 1, line 2 Veterans' health rather than Veteran's health.</p> <p>Shortfalls section, I could not figure out what "lacked organization within the literature" means.</p> <p>Under Shortfalls in Study Design...2nd parag, you say there are many additional interesting and relevant sources of variation ... that merit attention. What are they? Can you give at least one example? There's no other way to know what this means.</p> <p>3rd paragr, I am confused by the insertion of a value-judgment about "A trusted research-clinical partnership relies on transparency in research..." with respect to a focus on funding reporting. This seems odd, and probably the least important of determinants of such trust. Would recommend editing this out and indicating the importance of acknowledgment perhaps from a fiduciary responsibility and to demonstrate what is required to accomplish work in this area.</p>	<p>brevity, most description of topic assignments is found in the main report, on page 15.</p> <p>Thank you for the suggestion. We added number of articles to all of the figures. For Fig 2, we separated RCTs/CCTs from secondary analyses of trial data and corrected the numbers in the text. We also added information about the observational study category.</p> <p>Corrected Veteran's to Veterans'.</p> <p>Changed ""lacked organization within the literature" to "initially difficult to identify within the literature, as most studies about medical conditions could not be clearly classified using these categories. Ultimately, we separated the articles specifically related to prevention, long-term care or aging from those related to primary care and complex chronic conditions."</p> <p>Women Veterans are a diverse and varied population which includes multiple particularly vulnerable subpopulations such as homeless veterans, racial and ethnic minorities, survivors of MST, and combat veterans, as well as those facing additional stressors: parents, students, caregivers, etc. As the field of women Veterans' health research grows, there is room to shift the focus of research from comparing women to men, to understanding variation within the heterogeneous population of women Veterans. Added one example here: "For example, comparing racial or socioeconomic subgroups of women Veterans across or within health conditions may help identify or describe needs of particularly vulnerable populations."</p> <p>Replaced this sentence "A trusted research-clinical partnership relies on transparency in research, which includes an accounting of funding," with this statement: "Reporting the source of funding and role of the funder is considered a quality standard for both experimental and observational research studies," and citation of CONSORT and STROBE guidelines.</p>
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------





<p>Any reason to not add %s to Table 8 and 10 for example if not all of the tables?</p> <p>Table 11: were any of these classified/assigned under more than one category?</p> <p>Healthcare categories (page 24-): Reference inclusion mapped to statements is really key to report utility but not always consistently done (e.g., under Depression &amp; Anxiety, 2nd to last sentence).</p> <p>Under Other MH Topics, you have an OEF/OIF paper, which is again why the question about how you assigned papers came up.</p> <p>Not called out but it appears that there is very limited comparative effectiveness work between VA and non-VA (came up when saw the top of page 27 on peripheral vascular surgery outcomes VA vs. university).</p> <p>page 32, tiny thing to move comma to before ref 399 instead of after.</p> <p>Study Design (page 33): notes 2 articles about "treat sexual trauma" but trauma is not a health condition, or so gets impressed upon me all the time so may want to double check how to describe.</p> <p>bottom of page 33, need and/or not and or.</p> <p>Fig 3, FYI that HSR&amp;D funded WVs journal supplements in 2011, 2013, 2015 if it helps explain some of the bumps up.</p> <p>Summary and Discussion: Veterans' health again line 2.</p> <p>Advances in Key Research...: 2nd to last bullet should be complex chronic conditions/long term care and aging.</p>	<p>description is provided under Summary of Findings: Healthcare Categories: "Homelessness" on page 41.</p> <p>We added %s to tables where appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, as stated, "A study could be included in more than one category."</p> <p>We cited references for most statements that referred to less than 10 studies at a time. We assumed that lists of more than 10 references would be less useful to the reader. Operational partners will also have access to the full spreadsheet of included studies and be able to quickly identify studies using the sort/filter features.</p> <p>OEF/OIF Veterans were identified as by period of service and studies related to this population are described in some additional detail under "Priority Populations" on page 50. Because OEF/OIF was not a Healthcare topic, this article, which described determinants of mental healthcare use, but did not fit under a specific mental health topic, was categorized as "Other MH topics." Additional categorization elaboration was provided on page 19.</p> <p>This is an interesting observation. We did not specifically extract information related to comparative effectiveness between VA and non-VA care, so it would be difficult to draw a general conclusion about this type of research.</p> <p>Done.</p> <p>Changed from "treat sexual trauma" to "treat survivors of sexual trauma with or without PTSD."</p> <p>Changed to "and/or."</p> <p>Added notation related to this on page 49.</p> <p>Fixed.</p> <p>Fixed.</p>
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------



<p>Research Partnerships: This is definitely NOT what HSR&amp;D or we mean by research partnerships--would recommend changing term to Research Funding.</p> <p>Shortfalls: page 42, 2nd paragr missing "of" between "key topic areas" and "primary care and complex chronic conditions",</p> <p>and again clarification of what you mean by "lacked organization within the literature".</p> <p>Top of page 43, 1st parag, need an e.g., on what "merits attention".</p> <p>Same concern about references to trusted research-clinical partnerships. odd use of term.</p> <p>2nd parag: delete 's on "women's Veterans"' (women Veterans').</p>	<p>Title changed to "Research Funding"</p> <p>Added "of"</p> <p>Edited as described above for Exec Summary.</p> <p>This section has been re-worded.</p> <p>As noted above, this statement has been re-worded</p> <p>Done</p>
<p><i>No additional comments</i></p>	
<p>Thank you for the opportunity to review this document. The recent explosion of research on women Veterans health and well-being is inspiring, and a well-crafted ESP report synthesizing this literature is much needed and will be much appreciated. The authors are to be commended for taking on the mammoth challenge of this review. The scope of the literature is unprecedented and the resulting report provides a strong first foundation for organizing a significant body of literature.</p> <p>My overarching critique of this work relates to the size of the document. Given the scope of the literature reviewed, the challenge is to provide a strong, coherent organization to the myriad of studies- - which other than the years published and the general inclusion of women Veterans- - are limited in their relationship to one another. The obvious criticism is that the scope and breadth of the report is so large that the document is difficult to read. The "take away" messages are hard to decipher and the synthesis is overshadowed by the very significant descriptive emphasis that was needed to simply organize the studies into categories. The reader is asked to absorb a considerable amount of information and runs the risk of becoming totally overwhelmed with detail. Given this, it would be interesting to consider another strategy for presenting the da ta, including parsing it into chapters unified by coherent literature themes, or producing separate reviews for subsections of the literature that warrant greater comment.</p> <p>A second, related "major" comment relates to the very limited attention to the synthesis of the literature, at least relative to the considerable space and attention allotted for descriptive categorization of the studies. This is a significant departure from prior ESP documents pertinent to women Veterans health. Likely this reflects the considerable scope of the literature reviewed, however, the reader is left with little guidance on "what to make of" all the literature categorized and reviewed in this report. More synthesis is desirable, but if that is beyond the scope of this project (and that would be understandable) perhaps setting the stage a bit better so that the reader has realistic expectations established in the first paragraphs might help. Additional thoughts about bolstering the structure of the report are presented below:</p> <p>More circumscribed comments are as follows:</p>	<p>Thank you.</p> <p>We appreciate the suggestions. We added bulleted "Key Messages" to help the reader.</p> <p>We agree that separate reviews for subsections of the literature will be needed, and suggest these in "Opportunities for expanded reviews."</p> <p>Given the volume of studies, we were not able to provide a synthesis of the study findings. We added information to clarify this.</p>



<p>1. Framing the purpose of the report. Whereas prior ESP reports on women Veterans health emphasized synthesis of a smaller, and more circumscribed literature, this report takes on the considerable task of reviewing more than 2,000 abstracts, reviewed more than 1,000 full documents, and included more than 400 studies in this ESP report. The scope, breadth and variability in this literature present a formidable challenge for a synthesized review, and the authors might consider discussing this point more directly up front. My concern is that this report represents such a stark departure in style and emphasis on synthesis and systematic review from prior ESP reports on women Veterans- -likely because of the sheer volume of literature- -that it runs the risk of confusing the reader who is expecting a rigorous systematic review complete with discussion of study quality, bias, and the like. It may help to orient the readers to the size and scope of the literature, the choices made by the authors to categorize the literature as a first step, and the authors' perspective on needed next steps (smaller, organized reviews of pockets of this literature?) in the first pages and executive summary.</p> <p>2. Justification of methodologic choices and omissions. There are many significant methodological choices that are unusual (i.e., omission of several hundred articles, the choice to omit metrics of quality, level of evidence and bias) for a review of this magnitude and not fully characterized or explained to the reader. Suggestions for greater discussion of these areas is presented below.</p> <p>a. The authors should provide the reader with a stronger rationale for why core elements of systematic review (i.e., comments on quality, level of evidence, bias) were omitted from this project. It is understandable that categorizing this large literature may have been a formidable task and that additional review regarding quality and bias may have legitimately been beyond the scope. The authors need to discuss their perspective on this more directly up front. Included in this discussion should be the authors' perspectives on when and how this report may aid researchers interested in women Veterans health as well as the limitations of the report regarding interpretation of the extant literature. Foreshadowing important next steps (i.e., smaller, more targeted and in-depth reviews of "pockets" of this literature) might be helpful.</p> <p>b. A broader discussion of how the authors chose to categorize studies is needed. In particular, categorization by study sample size given the very large number of papers derived from archival medical record data and the mixture of medical and mental health RCTs is potentially misleading. The reader is led down a path that seems to convey "bigger is better quality" when that cannot be concluded based upon the current review. There is considerable concern about the quality and accuracy of medical record data regardless of the data source (VA or otherwise) and there is no discussion of the possibility that many of these studies are over powered and reporting statistically significant but not clinically meaningful findings.</p> <p>c. Regarding mixing medical and mental health studies in a single category: labeling psychotherapy RCTs as "moderate" when they do not reach 1,000 patients seems very out of place. A psychotherapy trial with 1,000 people would not only prohibitively expensive and non-feasible, but would also be dramatically over powered and methodologically inappropriate.</p>	<p>As noted above, we added information to clarify the nature of this review. We also changed the title to An Evidence Map of the Women Veterans' Health Research Literature (2008 – 2015) which more accurately characterizes this type of review.</p> <p>Some of the choices were made because of the volume of literature and because of the nature of the review (an Evidence Map). We omitted studies that did not meet our <i>a priori</i> inclusion criteria.</p> <p>We changed the title to reflect that the report is an Evidence Map rather than a systematic review. We modified the Introduction to reflect this.</p> <p>We identified areas we feel are appropriate for future focused reviews.</p> <p>Thank you for bringing this potential source of bias to our attention. We categorized the studies using criteria typical of literature reviews: study design, sample size, etc. In most literature reviews or meta-analyses, larger studies are more heavily weighted due to higher statistical confidence. However, we agree with the reviewer that a more nuanced understanding of sample size is necessary when comparing studies of such significantly different designs. We have added in language to this effect in the section describing the results by "Sample Size" on page 46.</p> <p>We appreciate this concern as noted above. Though RCTs of both medical and mental health topics are described together in the section "Study Design," (page 42), this is primarily due to the extremely small number of</p>
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------



<p>Comparing psychotherapy trials or categorizing them alongside mammography trials seems problematic for a myriad of reasons.</p> <p>d. Much greater discussion of the omission of several hundred studies is needed.</p> <p>3. Important Caveats and Provisos. The authors' emphasis on study size and comparison groups (i.e., to male Veterans, female non-Veterans) is presented without context. This runs the risk of leading the reader overlooking a deeper issue- -which studies accomplished their stated research goals and answered their apriori hypotheses with integrity? Answers to these questions are not found in study sample size or comparison group choice, but rather a more careful examination of the match between these methodologic features of the study and the questions posed. Though this level of review may beyond the scope of this project, it would be helpful for the authors to provide caveats and provisos in places where over-interpretation of factors used to "group" the studies in this review (i.e., sample size) are heavily emphasized without contextual detail.</p> <p>In closing, I applaud the authors for their hard work. The task of organizing this literature presented a significant challenge. I think the document "has good bones", and perhaps a bit more refining and polishing will optimize its utility for contemporary researchers within VA.</p>	<p>RCTs relative to the total literature base. Our intention is not to compare the medical and mental health studies to each other based on strength or bias (as these were outside the scope of our evidence map), but rather to describe the RCTs related to women Veterans' health published during this time period. We also presented summaries of the literature by topic area, in which the mental health literature is described independently.</p> <p>We established <i>a priori</i> exclusion criteria in consultation with the topic nominators and Technical Expert Panel.</p> <p>We appreciate the reviewer's concern, and agree that ultimately, a review of study bias, quality and results will be needed to fully contextualize this research. Unfortunately, given the breadth of this topic, an in-depth assessment was outside the scope of our evidence map. We have tried to explicitly address this limitation in the introduction and conclusion sections of this report, and have added additional language regarding the interpretation of extracted criteria (<i>ie</i>, sample size, proportion women) within the summary of results.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
<p>Major Comments: -----</p> <p>Executive Summary table 1 and figure 5; Table 1; Figure 1: A number of studies categorized under healthcare delivery evaluated the comprehensive women's primary care model. It is likely that these studies may have fit with the primary care and prevention category as well. The authors should describe the number and type (study size, study design, proportion of women) of studies categorized as healthcare delivery that fit with the primary care and prevention category. This information should be incorporated into the relevant figures (e.g., as footnotes), and information about the number of studies meeting this description should be added as footnotes to the relevant tables. For the figures, an adjunct to a footnote could be for the Healthcare delivery column to left-justify the circles for studies evaluating comprehensive women's primary care, and right-justify all other studies (or some other graphical representation of these categories).</p> <p>Page 8, 2nd paragraph; pages 41-42: One of the identified gaps is failure of significant growth in the primary care and prevention literature. The authors should comment on whether this key finding is sensitive to the choice of categorization of studies evaluating the comprehensive women's primary care model (which were categorized under healthcare delivery).</p>	<p>Thank you for the suggestion. We subdivided the Healthcare Organization and Delivery category to more explicitly identify those studies that address the delivery of primary and comprehensive care for women Veterans and provide findings on page 40.</p> <p>This section has been edited to more clearly identify research on the delivery of primary care as a growth area, while recognizing the lack of progress in prevention and primary care clinical topics.</p>



<p>Pages 8 &amp; 33: Though a synthesis of the major findings of the published literature was beyond the scope of this systematic review, it would be informative if the authors added text and/or a table summarizing the findings of the RCTs/CCTs (with or without their associated secondary analyses), since this is often the highest quality evidence, and a study design that has been promoted for women Veterans research.</p> <p>Page 13: Describe whether the process for dual review was iterative (and if so, if it led to decreased discordant ratings over time) versus time-limited, and whether a kappa was calculated.</p> <p>Page 14: The authors note that hand searching of 11 systematic reviews yielded 5 references that were not detected with their search strategy. It would be useful to know the characteristics of those studies (e.g., MeSH terms), to determine if there were relevant terms that may have been omitted from their search strategy.</p> <p>Minor Comments: -----</p> <p>Page 3, Executive Summary Table 1: Include the total number of included references (n = 437) in either the title or column heading.</p> <p>Page 5, Executive Summary Figure 4: Use different fonts or some other means to distinguish between the categories and the proportions within categories.</p> <p>Page 8, line 19; page 42, line 27: Clarify what is meant by lacked organization.</p> <p>Page 8, line 30: Consider adding a statement about which mental health topics received the most coverage.</p> <p>Appendix A. Search Strategy: To enhance clarity for readers who are not familiar with this format for reporting a search strategy, add a text description of how the search terms were combined. For example, are 1-4 and 10 MeSH terms, key words, or some other category? Define the abbreviation mp.</p> <p>Appendix C, tables 1-3: For each table, add a row for the number of publications in each category.</p>	<p>Given the scope of the review, we chose not to summarize study findings. Reporting authors' conclusions without a full review and evaluation of the article could be misrepresentative of the study.</p> <p>The process was not iterative and we did not calculate a kappa.</p> <p>One of the studies had been excluded at abstract review. We reviewed the MeSH terms for the other 4 studies. They did not include terms for the combination of Women's Health AND Veterans. We did not include the MeSH term "Female" in our search because the term resulted in identification of a large number of studies that were not relevant.</p> <p>We added a row for "Total Number of Included Studies"</p> <p>We attempted to make the figure clearer by replacing the % of studies with the number of studies</p> <p>This has been changed and clarified in the text.</p> <p>A brief reference has been added.</p> <p>A text description has been added.</p> <p>Due to space limitations (being able to fit the table on one page) we are not able to add rows.</p>
<p>The authors are to be commended for the thoroughness of their systematic review and the highly readable and useful manuscript. Goals are clear and nicely met. To follow are a few considerations:</p> <p>In the executive summary: *you might consider adding a line that you did inter-rater reliability as you detailed later in the study selection section. *you might wish to make these tables more stand alone for those who glance to gather information quickly prior to going to the full review, e.g. if categories are mutually exclusive,</p>	<p>Thank you.</p> <p>Details have been added to the Methods section</p> <p>We added footnotes to the tables and figures to clarify the contents.</p>



<p>timeline of review, etc. Can be done in titles and legends.</p> <p>On p 7 the last sentence of the discussion section- clarify you mean shift in topic.</p> <p>p. 8 in gaps within specific healthcare topics- it is unclear what you mean by lack organization within the literature.</p> <p>The social and cultural transitions section is very nicely written.</p> <p>Veteran Engagement- This is presented with too broad a brush. That is, qualitative researchers will potentially view Veteran input to improve research design or study content as using stakeholders. I appreciate the shift to use of Veteran consumer group panels as a future and desired step but this section currently is written too black and white.</p> <p>Relatively few studies were community sample as opposed to VA enrolled sample so may wish to distinguish this more throughout/up front and then consider if this is something that would be useful to consider for next steps research and generalizability of findings to community samples or not.</p> <p>You clarify what other government funding sources are intermittently – but do not in table 12. Useful to be consistent in guiding the readers.</p> <p>Reviews of the health care categories is excellent.</p> <p>p. 41 Gaps within specific healthcare topics: You indicate two key areas have failed to show significant growth. Did they have sustained productivity?</p> <p>RE Research partnerships, p. 41- steady rise in non-VA government resources secondary to rise in deployed women and consequent risks/health outcomes and therefore relevant to DoD - or other logical reason?</p> <p>Growing VA trends are eScreening, use of online or app oriented treatment or symptom based interventions, interface of Veterans with My healthy Vet. It is surprising that none of the screening specific articles ended up including this work/meeting inclusion criteria. It is a relevant consideration for issues associated with access and engagement of Veterans. This might be considered for future directions within the screening context. It also fits with the social and cultural transitions that we have a younger, technology focused population.</p>	<p>Added.</p> <p>This language has been clarified.</p> <p>Thank you.</p> <p>We reviewed the included studies and did not find any that we considered to meet criteria for “Veteran engagement.” We have modified this paragraph.</p> <p>Thank you for the suggestion.</p> <p>We clarified this in Table 13 (formerly Table 12).</p> <p>Thank you.</p> <p>Long-term care and aging showed sustained productivity over time, while prevention and screening articles actually dropped in productivity over time. This is detailed in the section titled “Publication Year” and depicted in Figure 4. This is already addressed in the Discussion section.</p> <p>We have no specific data to support this statement.</p> <p>The authors and stakeholders did not identify the use of technology in women Veterans healthcare as a key area a priori, and therefore we did not flag whether included studies addressed this.</p> <p>We added a subcategory to Healthcare Organization and Delivery articles to specifically identify those that address virtual or telehealth methods for care delivery.</p>
<p>Page 1 line 19-20. WHRN was established in 2010 The goal of the network is broader than that stated: The Network enhances the conduct of VA women's health services research studies by building capacity, meeting field-based technical needs and reducing barriers associated with conducting</p>	<p>Date has been corrected. The description of the Women's Health Research Network has been expanded.</p>



<p>multi-site studies.  <a href="http://www.hsrd.research.va.gov/for_researchers/womens_health/">http://www.hsrd.research.va.gov/for_researchers/womens_health/</a></p> <p>page 7 line 42 should state 4 areas have shown notable growth (including mental health)              line 46 could state that mental health also showed a shift to interventions</p> <p>page 11 line 19 please expand description of the network as described above</p> <p>p 24 line 25 I would remove the word “only” and simply report that the study contained 34% women since this study obviously oversampled and included a significant number of women.</p> <p>p.24 lines 40-41 I would clarify that the 2007 RTC was VA funded. You note above that none of the primary trials were VA funded but I’m not sure if you are including the CSP trial that was conducted in 2007</p> <p>P.26 line 33. I think it would be helpful outline the % of women in the depression trials. I’m assuming there was a range since less than 50% is reported. Since the number of women Veteran patients is so low I think it would be more useful to report greater than 10% or between specific percentage categories the actual percentages throughout.              Also the percentage of women in the studies is not consistently reported.</p> <p>P26 line 33 The sentence needs to be clarified. Specifically, what is being examined “the relationship between borderline personality disorder and depression with sexual assault and BMI” is not clear.</p> <p>P 26 line should determinants of mental health use be coded under access?</p>	<p>This section has been updated to more accurately reflect the areas of growth, including the shift to interventional research among mental health articles.</p> <p>The description of the Women’s Health Research Network has been expanded.</p> <p>“Only” deleted</p> <p>We reviewed the 2007 study and clarified the funding.</p> <p>We categorized studies as 10% or less, 11-50%, 51-99%, or 100% and therefore do not have specific numbers for all the studies. Given this particular request and the very small number of depression and anxiety studies, we added the percentage of women in those studies to the text.</p> <p>This has been clarified.</p> <p>Thank you, we agree – this article has been re-categorized under Access and Utilization: Barriers &amp; Facilitators of Care.</p>
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------



## **APPENDIX C. SUMMARY TABLES AND FIGURES**

Table 1. Overview of Studies by Healthcare Category

Table 2. Overview of Mental Health Studies

Table 3. Overview of Medical Studies

Figure 1. Mental Health Studies by Sub-category, Sample Size, Study Design, and Proportion of Women

Figure 2. Medical Condition Studies by Sub-category, Sample Size, Study Design, and Proportion of Women

**Table 1. Overview of Studies by Healthcare Category**

		Mental Health	Medical	Long-term Care/Adioma	Reproductive Health	Prevention/Screening	Healthcare Organization &	Rural Health	Access & Utilization	Post-deployment	Homeless-	Other	Total
<b>Study Design</b>	RCT/CCT	4	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	8
	Secondary or sub-group analysis of RCT/CCT	8	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
	Observational (prospective cohort)	13	5	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	23
	Observational (other)	177	69	11	22	14	24	2	23	14	9	10	375
	Qualitative	6	3	0	2	0	5	0	1	3	1	1	22
<b>Sample Size</b>	n < 100	28	7	2	3	1	10	1	2	3	1	1	59
	n = 100-1,000	81	13	3	6	5	8	0	4	6	5	0	131
	n > 1,000	98	58	8	15	12	13	2	18	9	6	10	249
	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b>Percent Women</b>	≤10%	33	29	1	0	6	6	1	2	1	6	1	86
	11-50%	61	26	1	2	4	2	0	8	10	3	2	119
	51-99%	14	6	1	1	1	1	0	3	3	0	2	32
	100%	99	16	10	19	6	12	2	10	4	3	6	187
	N/A (k = 13) or Not reported (k = 3)	1	1	0	2	1	10	0	1	0	0	0	16
<b>Reported race in women Veterans</b>		134	45	10	20	10	14	1	13	11	11	9	278
<b>Reported age in women Veterans</b>		147	49	12	22	11	14	2	15	11	11	9	303
<b>Special Populations</b>	Incarcerated	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	LGBT	7	1	0	0	0	2	0	3	0	0	1	14
	Racial/ethnic minorities	5	1	0	1	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	12
	Homeless	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	12	1	19
	Non-VA users	3	0	1	1	1	3	0	3	0	0	1	13
	Physically disabled	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	5
	None	186	74	12	22	16	23	3	15	17	0	8	376
<b>Research Setting</b>	Single site VA	46	12	1	0	1	5	0	1	3	1	0	70
	Multi-site VA	51	10	1	9	3	13	1	2	2	2	1	95
	Administrative database VA	68	48	9	10	9	6	2	9	6	7	5	179
	Non-VA healthcare setting	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	5
	Non-healthcare based	36	5	1	3	3	4	0	9	7	0	4	72
	Multiple	7	2	0	2	2	2	0	1	0	2	1	19
<b>Period of Service</b>	OEF/OIF/OND/Persian Gulf	45	15	0	7	1	1	0	9	13	3	1	95
	Vietnam	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	12
	WWII	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Not specified	153	63	13	17	17	30	3	15	4	9	9	333
<b>Population</b>	Patients	207	78	13	22	17	22	3	24	18	12	11	427
	Clinics	7	2	1	1	1	7	0	0	0	0	0	19
	Providers	2	0	1	2	1	9	0	0	1	0	1	17
	Policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Other	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b>Outcomes</b>	Clinical	199	77	13	20	11	17	2	12	15	11	9	386
	Resource utilization	51	25	3	14	9	14	3	23	7	4	6	159
	Cost	1	3	0	0	1	0	0	3	1	0	2	11
	Other	11	0	2	3	3	12	1	1	0	0	1	34
<b>Funding source</b>	VA	145	51	9	20	10	25	2	19	9	6	6	302
	DOD	19	4	0	1	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	29
	Other government (eg, NIH)	25	16	5	2	6	1	0	3	1	3	3	65
	Foundation	6	9	2	0	3	1	0	1	1	1	0	24
	Industry	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	University	4	8	1	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	18
	Not reported	47	14	2	1	3	5	1	3	7	5	2	90
	Unfunded	3	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	7



**Table 2. Overview of Mental Health Studies**

		Mental Health													Total	
		PTSD and Trauma	MST	Substance Abuse	Depression	IPV	Personality Disorders	Anxiety	Suicide	Disordered Eating	Reproductive	Serious Illness	Multiple Diagnoses	Other		Comorbid with Non-MH
Study Design	RCT/CCT	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
	Secondary or sub-group analysis of RCT/CCT	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	8	
	Observational (prospective cohort)	4	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	13	
	Observational (other)	57	27	20	3	7	0	1	12	5	4	3	15	3	21	178
	Qualitative	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Sample Size	n < 100	9	7	1	0	3	0	0	1	0	1	0	3	1	2	28
	n = 100-1,000	34	16	4	0	6	0	0	1	3	0	0	7	0	10	81
	n > 1,000	28	14	14	3	0	0	1	11	2	3	3	6	2	11	98
	N/A	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Percent Women	< / = 10%	7	4	6	1	0	0	1	6	0	0	1	2	2	3	33
	11-50%	24	8	6	2	0	0	0	6	3	0	2	5	1	4	61
	51-99%	4	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	14
	100%	36	18	6	0	9	0	0	1	2	4	0	9	0	14	99
	N/A or Not reported	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b>Reported race in women Veterans</b>		46	26	13	1	9	0	0	5	2	3	1	10	1	17	134
<b>Reported age in women Veterans</b>		51	25	15	1	9	0	0	8	2	4	1	12	2	18	147
Special Populations	Incarcerated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	
	LGBT	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	7
	Racial/ethnic minorities	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	5
	Homeless	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	5
	Non-VA users	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Physically disabled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	None	67	33	17	3	8	0	1	13	4	4	3	9	2	22	186
Research Setting	Single site VA	18	12	3	0	3	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	1	4	46
	Multi-site VA	19	8	6	0	4	0	0	1	3	0	0	6	1	3	51
	Administrative database VA	17	10	7	3	1	0	1	9	0	3	2	4	0	11	68
	Non-VA healthcare setting	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Non-healthcare based	17	7	2	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	2	1	3	36
	Multiple	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	2	7
Period of Service	OEF/OIF/OND	18	7	3	1	0	0	0	3	0	2	0	6	0	5	45
	Vietnam	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	10
	WWII	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Not specified	44	30	17	2	9	0	1	10	5	2	3	10	2	18	153
Population	Patients	71	37	19	3	9	0	1	13	5	4	3	16	3	23	207
	Clinics	1	1	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	Providers	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Outcomes	Clinical	68	35	17	3	9	0	1	13	5	4	2	16	3	23	199
	Resource utilization	16	11	4	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	5	0	8	51
	Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Other	5	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	11
Funding source	VA	49	25	19	2	7	0	1	8	3	2	3	7	1	18	145
	DOD	14	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	19
	Other government (eg, NIH)	10	1	2	1	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	6	25
	Foundation	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	6
	Industry	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
	University	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Not reported	17	11	1	1	2	0	0	1	2	1	0	5	2	4	47
	Unfunded	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	3



**Table 3. Overview of Medical Studies**

		Medical Conditions													Total	
		Chronic Pain	Cancer	SCI	TBI	HIV	MS	Tobacco	Obesity	Diabetes	Hyper-tension	CVD	Ampu-tation	Coron-bridities		Other
Study Design	RCT/CCT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Secondary or sub-group analysis of RCT/CCT	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Observational (prospective cohort)	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Observational (other)	5	6	1	5	4	4	4	6	2	0	11	1	7	13	69
	Qualitative	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Sample Size	n < 100	3	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7
	n = 100-1,000	1	1	0	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	0	1	3	13
	n > 1,000	3	3	1	4	5	4	3	7	3	0	9	1	6	9	58
	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Women	< / = 10%	1	0	1	3	4	1	4	2	2	0	5	1	2	3	29
	11-50%	3	1	0	0	1	3	0	6	1	0	2	0	3	6	26
	51-99%	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	6
	100%	1	4	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	4	0	2	2	16
	N/A or Not reported	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b>Reported race in women Veterans</b>		5	5	1	3	2	1	0	4	1	0	10	0	4	9	45
<b>Reported age in women Veterans</b>		6	6	1	4	2	0	1	4	2	0	9	1	4	9	49
Special Populations	Incarcerated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	LGBT	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Racial/ethnic minorities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Homeless	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Non-VA users	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Physically disabled	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
	None	7	5	0	5	5	4	6	9	3	0	10	0	7	13	74
Research Setting	Single site VA	3	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	4	12
	Multi-site VA	0	0	0	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	10
	Administrative database VA	3	5	1	2	3	4	1	9	3	0	5	1	7	4	48
	Non-VA healthcare setting	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	Non-healthcare based	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	5
	Multiple	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Period of	OEF/OIF/OND/Persian Gulf	2	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	3	3	15
	Vietnam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	WWII	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Not specified	5	6	1	3	5	2	6	7	3	0	11	0	4	10	63
Population	Patients	7	6	1	5	5	4	6	9	3	0	11	1	7	13	78
	Clinics	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
	Providers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Out-comes	Clinical	7	6	1	4	5	4	6	9	3	0	11	1	7	13	77
	Resource utilization	2	4	1	2	1	0	0	1	2	0	4	0	3	5	25
	Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	3
	Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Funding source	VA	5	2	1	5	3	4	3	7	3	0	6	0	6	6	51
	DOD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	4
	Other government. (eg, NIH)	2	1	0	0	2	0	1	0	2	0	3	0	3	2	16
	Foundation	0	0	0	0	1	3	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	9
	Industry	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	University	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	2	1	8
	Not reported	0	2	0	0	2	0	2	1	0	0	3	1	0	3	14
	Unfunded	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2





